

# COVID-19 “Aggressive Measures” Advocacy Bias in the BMJ Misled the World and Caused Harm. Dr. Peter McCullough

Evidence of Massive Editorial Bias for Failed Contagion Control and Vaccines

By [Dr. Peter McCullough](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, June 27, 2024

[Courageous Discourse](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**[Give Truth a Chance. Secure Your Access to Unchained News, Donate to Global Research.](#)**

\*\*\*

*Why are doctors some of the most fearful, mask-wearing, vaccine accepting individuals on earth?*

*A recent analysis from [Dr. John Ioannidis and colleagues from Stanford of the British Medical Journal](#) has concluded there was **intentional “editorial nepotism” or favoritism for the same group of frequent editorialist who espoused “COVID-19 zero” policies.***

**COVID-19 zero was the fantasy that one could mask, social distance, lock-down, and take vaccines every six months and avoid contracting SARS-CoV-2.**

This was a ridiculous notion once it became clear we were all going to become ill with the virus no matter what we did in our lives. In fact, the vaccines created the resistant Omicron strain which has dominated the pandemic for over two years allowing us to become ill with COVID-19 over and over again regardless of how many vaccines were taken.

Follow this preprint

Previous

## COVID-19 advocacy bias in the *BMJ*: meta-research evaluation

Posted June 14, 2024.


Kasper P. Kepp, Ioana Alina Cristea, Taulant Muka, John P.A. Ioannidis

doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.12.24308823>

Download PDF

Print/Save Options

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.12.24308823>; this version posted June 14, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license](#).



**Figure 1. Most-prolific authors.** Left: 122 authors with more than 5 *BMJ* COVID-19 related publications. Middle: 41 authors with more than 10 *BMJ* COVID-19-related publications. Right: 100 authors with 74 or more (up to 253) COVID-19-related publications with any UK address published in any venue (journalists excluded). One indieSAGE member subsequently advocated restricted measures (CG) in 2024; this special case was classified as aggressive measures advocacy, as best reflecting the *BMJ* publications of the study period.

COVID-19 advocacy bias in the *BMJ*: meta-research evaluation. Kasper P. Kepp, Ioana Alina Cristea, Taulant Muka, John P.A. Ioannidis. medRxiv 2024.06.12.24308823; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.12.24308823>

You can see from the figure, that the *BMJ* had intentionally biased their array of articles and was very different from the literature at large.

The blue parts of the pie were authors pushing “aggressive measures” including the failed lockdowns, masks, and vaccines.


### What was in the minds of *BMJ* editors and the publisher? Did they think the world would not discover this obvious bias?

I would conjecture that *Lancet*, *New England Journal of Medicine*, and the other high impact journals were very similar in this systematic publication bias. Now it is up to investigative reporters to find out if and how the [Bio-Pharmaceutical Complex](#) was pulling the strings.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from NaturalNews.com



## **The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity**

**by Michel Chossudovsky**

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

*“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”*

### **Reviews**

*This is an in-depth resource of great interest if it is the wider perspective you are motivated to understand a little better, the author is very knowledgeable about geopolitics and this comes out in the way Covid is contextualized. —Dr. Mike Yeadon*

*In this war against humanity in which we find ourselves, in this singular, irregular and massive assault against liberty and the goodness of people, Chossudovsky’s book is a rock upon which to sustain our fight. —Dr. Emanuel Garcia*

*In fifteen concise science-based chapters, Michel traces the false covid pandemic, explaining how a PCR test, producing up to 97% proven false positives, combined with a relentless 24/7 fear campaign, was able to create a worldwide panic-laden “plandemic”; that this plandemic would never have been possible without the infamous DNA-modifying Polymerase Chain Reaction test - which to this day is being pushed on a majority of innocent people who have no clue. His conclusions are evidenced by renown scientists. —Peter Koenig*

*Professor Chossudovsky exposes the truth that “there is no causal relationship between the virus and economic variables.” In other words, it was not COVID-19 but, rather, the deliberate implementation of the illogical, scientifically baseless lockdowns that caused the shutdown of the global economy. –David Skripac*

*A reading of Chossudovsky’s book provides a comprehensive lesson in how there is a global coup d’état under way called “The Great Reset” that if not resisted and defeated by freedom loving people everywhere will result in a dystopian future not yet imagined. Pass on this free gift from Professor Chossudovsky before it’s too late. You will not find so much valuable information and analysis in one place. –Edward Curtin*

**ISBN:** 978-0-9879389-3-0, **Year:** 2022, PDF Ebook, **Pages:** 164, 15 Chapters

**Price:** \$11.50 FREE COPY! [Click here \(docsend\) and download.](#)

[You may also access the online version of the e-Book by clicking here.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research’s [DonorBox “Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page.](#)

The original source of this article is [Courageous Discourse](#)  
Copyright © [Dr. Peter McCullough](#), [Courageous Discourse](#), 2024

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Peter McCullough](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)