

Mind Control Technologies: The Convention on Artificial Intelligence (AI) Does Not Prevent the Abuse of Fundamental Human Rights, Mojmir Babacek

By [Mojmir Babacek](#)

Global Research, November 09, 2024

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

On September 5th, the European Commission representative signed the [Council of Europe Framework Convention on Artificial Intelligence](#) and human rights, democracy, and the rule of law on behalf of the European Union. According to the statement by the European Commission the Convention will be implemented in the EU by means of the [European Union Artificial Intelligence Act](#).

In the Convention preamble, it is stated that one of the reasons why this convention should be approved is due to the concern that the artificial intelligence systems can be used “for repressive purposes in violation of international human rights law, including through arbitrary or unlawful surveillance and censorship practices that erode privacy and individual autonomy”.

It also states that the AI systems “have the potential to interfere with human rights, democracy and the rule of law”, that the Convention is meant to oblige the government signatories to introduce in their legal systems measures that would prevent such abuse of AI systems.

It says:

“Each Party shall adopt or maintain measures to respect human dignity and individual autonomy”

...

“Each Party shall adopt or maintain measures to ensure that adequate transparency and oversight requirements...are in place ... including with regard to the identification of content generated by artificial intelligence systems “

...

“Each Party shall adopt or maintain measures to ensure accountability and responsibility for adverse impacts on human rights, democracy and the rule of law resulting from activities within the lifecycle of artificial intelligence systems”

...

“Each Party shall ensure that, where an artificial intelligence system significantly

impacts upon the enjoyment of human rights, effective procedural guarantees, safeguards and rights, in accordance with the applicable international and domestic law, are available to persons affected thereby”

...

“Each Party shall seek to ensure that important questions raised in relation to artificial intelligence systems are, as appropriate, duly considered through public discussion and multi-stakeholder consultation in the light of social, economic, legal, ethical, environmental and other relevant implications”.

The Convention is supposed to enter into force on December 5, 2024 in the USA, EU, United Kingdom and six small European countries, which signed it on September 5.

It is hardly questionable, whether signature of this convention will stop the abuse of human rights, which is already ongoing in the world for up to 40 years according to the hearing entitled “Silent weapons: Examining foreign anomalous health incidents, [targeting Americans in the homeland](#)”, that was held this past May by the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security, where the Havana syndrome was discussed.

The witness **Christo Grozev** from Bellingcat investigative group said there that Russian diplomats made similar complaints in the 1980s. A Member of the Homeland Security Committee **Eliah James Crane** asked witnesses, if the use of the same weapons against Russians “might have something to do with part of the CIA’s motive to cover up the existence of this tech and these weapons”. Grozev replied “that is a very logical possibility”.

Mark Zaid, American attorney, who represents several patients with Havana syndrome symptoms and who holds a security clearance replied to the question on whether there are people outside of the U.S. Government employees in the USA, who complain about Havana Syndrome attacks:

“There are many people, who believe they are victims of AHI [anomalous health incidents]. All you have to do is to look at my Twitter feed, whenever I post on the topic”.

On June 10, 2016, the New York Times published the article [“United States of Paranoia”](#), about Americans claiming to be victims of neuro-technological attacks. It wrote about a “group organized around the conviction that its members are victims of a sprawling conspiracy to harass thousands of everyday Americans with mind-control weapons and armies of so-called gang stalkers. The goal, as one gang-stalking website puts it, is ‘to destroy every aspect of a targeted individual’s life’. The New York Times stated: “The community, conservatively estimated to exceed 10,000 members, has proliferated since 9/11”.

It is rather evident that to those people the Convention on Artificial Intelligence will not help, since it states:

“Matters relating to national defence do not fall within the scope of this Convention”.

The Russian politician **Vladimir Lopatin**, who was fighting for the ban of those

neurotechnologies by the end of the last millennium, in 1999 wrote in the book [“Psychotronic weapon and the security of Russia”](#) that psychotronic war is “actually taking place without declaration of war”. This may easily mean that people, who are part of this war or people who are being experimented on as part of preparations for this war, will be considered to be a part of government activity related to national defense.

Under such circumstances the war will continue until one of the superpowers wins it and will control the brain activity of people around the world.

Why do you believe that the CIA and the U.S. Government would otherwise prevent the U.S. Congress from publication of the use of those technologies against American diplomats and employees of security agencies?

Why do you believe the U.S. Government would allow the continuation of Havana syndrome attacks on its employees?

Why do you think the USA is refusing the use of Chinese Huawei systems for 5G technology and American government employees must not use Chinese Tik Tok?

What do you think the U.S. computers, Facebook and other components of internet could be used for?

Can you guess what will happen if 6G will connect human brains to the internet?

It is high time for the world to wake up and demand the international ban of the use of those technologies, controlled by the democratic United Nations Organization without veto powers.

Another severe warning people should take into account is that the Convention does not disclose what technology AI could use to produce adverse impacts on “human rights, democracy and the rule of law”. How can the general public participate in the discussions on the dangers connected with the use or abuse of AI, if they do not know, how those impacts could be produced? And where is the transparency that was promised?

In August of this year, 12 human rights organizations from around the world proposed to [1790 world media](#) for publication an [article](#) explaining, [how the artificial intelligence systems could be used for this purpose](#) and only few alternative servers afforded to publish it.

In the mass e-mailed letters to editors we suggested that they should join other addressees of this article to participate in the rebellion against the classification of mind control technologies, since collective rebellion against the rise of new totalitarian superpowers cannot be punished in the democratic world. There seems to be only a little hope that those technologies will be declassified and the ban of the use of mind control technologies will be publicly discussed and implemented in a way that will allow the public or the human rights organizations and the UN to oversee the implementation of this ban.

Without public control of the use of AI systems, there will be no guarantee that the governments will observe the measures introduced to prevent the use of those technologies against its own or foreign citizens or for experimentation on their citizens for “defense purposes”.

The European parliament's [Artificial Intelligence Act](#) does not present any positive changes. When reading the Report of the Human Rights Advisory Committee of the UN Human Rights Council entitled "[Impact, opportunities and challenges of neurotechnology with regard to the promotion and protection of all human rights](#)" you will realise that the United Nations are not authorized to disclose the principles of functioning of neurotechnologies that could be used to abuse the fundamental human rights and destroy democratic political systems. However the UN human rights chief **Michelle Bachelet** said in September 2021,

"AI technologies can have negative, even catastrophic, effects if they are used [without sufficient regard to how they affect people's human rights](#)".

Readers can sign [the petition asking the European Union to declassify the mind control technologies](#) and can also help introduce the international ban of the use of neurotechnologies abusing the fundamental human rights by disseminating information about those neurotechnologies on Facebook, Twitter, Instagram etc.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Birds Not Bombs: Let's Fight for a World of Peace, Not War](#)

Mojmir Babacek was born in 1947 in Prague, Czech Republic. Graduated in 1972 at Charles University in Prague in philosophy and political economy. In 1978 signed the document defending human rights in communist Czechoslovakia „Charter 77“. Since 1981 until 1988 lived in emigration in the USA. Since 1996 he has published articles on different subjects mostly in the Czech and international alternative media.

In 2010, he published a book on the 9/11 attacks in the Czech language. Since the 1990's he has been striving to help to achieve the international ban of remote control of the activity of the human nervous system and human minds with the use of neurotechnology.

Featured image copyright Markus Schneeberger

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Mojmir Babacek](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mojmir Babacek](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca