

Controversy Concerning the Korean Armistice Agreement: "A State of War Still Remains in Effect"

By Jim Naureckas

Global Research, March 14, 2013

FAIR

Region: <u>Asia</u>

In-depth Report: **NORTH KOREA**

The **New York Times** (3/8/13), writing about Korean tensions, reported:

The North said this week that it considered the 1953 armistice agreement that halted the Korean War to be null and void as of Monday because of the joint military exercises. The North has threatened to terminate that agreement before, but American and South Korean military officials pointed out that legally, no party [to an] armistice can unilaterally terminate or alter its terms.

"Nonsense," says Francis Boyle, professor of international law at the University of Illinois (Institute for Public Accuracy, <u>3/13/13</u>):

An armistice agreement is governed by the laws of war and the state of war still remains in effect despite the armistice agreement, even if the armistice text itself says additions have to be mutually agreed upon by the parties. Termination is not an addition.

Boyle pointed to both U.S. military regulations and international law as evidence that the **Times**' claim was wrong:

Under the U.S. Army Field Manual 27-10 and the Hague Regulations, the only requirement for termination of the Korean War Armistice Agreement is suitable notice so as to avoid the charge of "perfidy." North Korea has given that notice. The armistice is dead.

The <u>Army Field Manual</u> states, "In case it [the armistice] is indefinite, a belligerent may resume operations at any time after notice." <u>Article 36</u> of the Hague Regulations says:

An armistice suspends military operations by mutual agreement between the belligerent parties. If its duration is not fixed, the belligerent parties can resume operations at any time, provided always the enemy is warned within the time agreed upon, in accordance with the terms of the armistice.

The **New York Times** should let its readers know that it allowed anonymous officials to mislead them.

The original source of this article is <u>FAIR</u> Copyright © <u>Jim Naureckas</u>, <u>FAIR</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jim Naureckas

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca