

Contracting Fraud by KBR during War in Iraq. Whistleblower Seeks Release of Documents

By [Global Research News](#)

Global Research, July 28, 2014
whistleblowers.org

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)

National Whistleblowers Center
P.O. Box 25074
Washington, D.C. 20027
<http://www.whistleblowers.org>

Full Court Review Requested in KBR Contracting Case

Washington, D.C. July 28, 2014. Today, Mr. Harry Barko, a whistleblower who alleged that defense contractor KBR had engaged in widespread fraud during the War in Iraq, requested the full U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit to review a major court ruling that impacts the integrity of corporate compliance programs nation-wide.

In the case, *In re: Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., et al*, a three-judge panel of the Court ruled that corporations could keep internal corporate compliance records secret under the attorney-client privilege, even though the documents demonstrated widespread defense contracting fraud. The documents in question contained direct evidence of bid-rigging, conflicts of interest, and overcharging the U.S. Government by KBR during the Iraq War.

A federal district court judge had ordered the release of the documents finding that the compliance documents were business records and they were not created to obtain legal advice, but the three-judge panel of the appeals court reversed, significantly expanding the ability of corporations to conceal internal compliance documents.

“If the Court’s initial ruling is sustained, companies will be able to use compliance investigations to hide fraud and discredit whistleblowers,” stated [Stephen M. Kohn](#), the Executive Director of the National Whistleblower Center and one of the attorneys for the whistleblower who had requested that the documents be released.

Links:

[En Banc Appeal Brief](#)

[June 27, 2014 decision of Three Judge Panel upholding confidentiality](#)

[March 6](#) and [March 11](#), 2014 lower court rulings finding that the compliance documents should be released

The original source of this article is whistleblowers.org
Copyright © Global Research News, whistleblowers.org, 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Global Research News](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca