

Congressman Introduces Bill to Force FDA to Release Pfizer Documents Within 100 Days, Instead of 55 Years

By [Seth Hancock](#)

Global Research, December 06, 2021

[Children's Health Defense](#) 3 December 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

U.S. Rep. Ralph Norman (R-S.C.) on Thursday introduced legislation to require the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to release, within 100 days, all records of information related to Pfizer COVID vaccines. The FDA had asked to be allowed to take up to 55 years to release the documents.

U.S. Rep. Ralph Norman (R-S.C.) on Thursday [introduced legislation](#) that would require the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to release, within 100 days, all records of information submitted to the agency regarding the Emergency Use Authorization of, or licensing of all Pfizer [COVID-19](#) vaccines.

The [legislation](#) stems from the FDA’s appeal to delay — by up to 55 years — the release of documents requested in August, under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), by the [Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency](#) (PHMPT).

PHMPT, a [group](#) of more than 30 scientists, medical professionals, international public health professionals and journalists, [asked the FDA for](#) “all data and information for the Pfizer vaccine,” including safety and effectiveness data, [adverse reaction](#) reports and a list of active and inactive ingredients.

In September, PHMPT [sued the FDA, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas](#), for failing to respond to the FOIA request.

Norman [called](#) the FDA’s request to delay release of the documents “the beginning of a very bad joke.”

In a [statement](#), Norman said:

“The FDA’s only priority should be the health and safety of consumers. The agency has

compromised its integrity by delaying information that belongs to the public. Since the Biden administration is hell-bent on forcing these vaccine mandates on us, the public has every right to know how this vaccine was approved, especially in such a short amount of time.

“After all, the FDA managed to consider all 329,000 pages of data and grant emergency approval of the Pfizer vaccine within just 108 days. So it’s hard to rationalize why it now needs 55 years to fully release that information to the public.”

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., chairman and chief legal counsel of [Children’s Health Defense](#), said [Dr. Anthony Fauci](#) “promised total transparency” to the American people.

“Hiding the data for 55 years is the opposite of transparency,” Kennedy said. “It’s no wonder Americans no longer trust these vaccines or the governmental agencies that regulate them.”

PHMPT’s [lawsuit](#) referenced the Aug. 23 approval of [Pfizer’s Comirnaty COVID vaccine](#) for individual 16 and older. The lawsuit alleges that while the FDA claims the vaccine “meets the high standards for safety, effectiveness and manufacturing quality,” medical experts and others have questions regarding the data.

According to the complaint:

“The medical and scientific community and the public have a substantial interest in reviewing the data and information underlying the FDA’s approval of the Pfizer Vaccine. Reviewing this information will settle the ongoing public debate regarding the adequacy of the FDA’s review process. Releasing this data should also confirm the FDA’s conclusion that the Pfizer Vaccine is safe and effective and, thus, increase confidence in the Pfizer Vaccine.

“The public’s need for this information is urgent given the fact that COVID-19 vaccines are being mandated to individuals across the country by federal, state and local governments as well as private businesses.”

The FDA [responded](#) on Nov. 15 stating there are more than 329,000 pages of documents, which would require the agency to process more than 80,000 pages a month to fulfill the FOIA request.

The FDA asked to be allowed to provide 500 pages a month, which would mean 658 months — or just under 55 years — for the full release.

The FDA said that from its experience with other FOIA requests, “such records can be expected to contain both confidential business and trade secret information of Pfizer or BioNTech and personal privacy information of patients who participated in clinical trials.”

The FDA said it’s a “specious argument” that the process to release documents can be done in the same timeframe it took the FDA to review the documents for the approval of Pfizer’s COVID vaccine — as the agency has only 10 employees who process FOIA requests.

In its response, the FDA said:

“Increasing the volume to more than 80,000 pages per month (if such rate is even possible — and it likely is not), as Plaintiff requests, would result in Plaintiff monopolizing essentially all of FDA’s resources and leaving little resources to process other FOIA requests. Indeed, the D.C. Circuit has recognized that another agency’s policy of processing 500 pages per request per month ‘serves to promote efficient responses to a larger number of requesters.’”

Redactions raise questions about what FDA deems ‘confidential,’ attorney says

Aaron Siri, one of the lawyers representing PHMPT, said the lawsuit was filed in September after the FDA “produced nothing” from the August FOIA request.

Siri wrote on his [Injecting Freedom](#) Substack page:

“So, let’s get this straight. The federal government shields Pfizer from [liability](#). Gives it billions of [dollars](#). Makes Americans take its [product](#). But won’t let you see the data supporting its product’s safety and efficacy. Who does the government work for?”

“The lesson yet again is that civil and individual rights should never be contingent upon a medical procedure. Everyone who wants to get vaccinated and boosted should be free to do so. But nobody should be coerced by the government to partake in any medical procedure. Certainly not one where the government wants to hide the full information relied upon for its licensure until the year 2076!”

In a Nov. 19 [blog post](#), Siri wrote that the first 91 pages had been produced. However, some information was redacted, raising questions about what the FDA views as “confidential.”

Siri wrote:

“Pfizer explains, on page 6, that ‘Due to the large numbers of spontaneous adverse event reports received for the product, [Pfizer] has prioritized the processing of serious cases ...’ and that Pfizer ‘has also taken a [sic] multiple actions to help alleviate the large increase of adverse event reports’ including ‘increasing the number of data entry and case processing colleagues’ and ‘has onboarded approximately [REDACTED] additional full-time employees (FTEs).’”

Siri also asked why it would be proprietary to share how many people Pfizer had to hire to track all of the adverse events being reported shortly after launching its product.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from CHD

The original source of this article is [Children's Health Defense](#)
Copyright © [Seth Hancock](#), [Children's Health Defense](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Seth Hancock](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca