

# Confronting the Profits and Legacies of Nuclear Violence

By [Ray Acheson](#)

Global Research, June 28, 2017

[Reaching Critical Will](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [United Nations](#)

In-depth Report: [CRIMINALIZE WAR](#),  
[Nuclear War](#)

*As the majority of the world's countries have been gathered at the United Nations negotiating the nuclear weapon ban treaty, the Center for Public Integrity has been releasing installments of a new [report](#) about workplace hazards at the US nuclear weapon laboratories. Monday's installment of the report [reveals](#) a "litany of mishaps" across the eight sites that involve workers inhaling radioactive particles, receiving electrical shocks, being burned by acid or in fires, splashed with toxic chemicals, or cut by debris from exploding metal drums. Los Alamos National Laboratory, where the plutonium cores for nuclear warheads are produced, has "[violated](#) nuclear industry rules for guarding against a criticality accident three times more often last year" than any of the country's other 23 nuclear installations combined.*

Contractors run Los Alamos and the other nuclear weapon labs. These corporations make between 15-60 million USD a year in pure profit. The work of making nuclear weapons is viewed as "extremely low risk," financially-speaking—"contractors commit 'virtually no financial investment,' contribute only a limited number of top executives, enjoy legal indemnification protections, and have 'relatively few' costs that are not completely reimbursed," [explains](#) the report. Violating safety standards and exposing workers or local communities to risk does not seem to hamper these profits at all. Los Alamos' criticality safety shortcomings have been so persistent that two years ago the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) [threatened](#) to fine the lab's managing contractors more than a half-million dollars. However, "In the end, the NNSA administrator decided to not to impose the fine, exemplifying what critics allege is a climate of impunity for mistakes."



Midwest City, Okla., Fire Department members use sandbags to stop simulated radioactive liquid waste from a spill from flowing downstream during the 2014 Hazmat Challenge. (Source: [Los Alamos National Laboratory](#))

Before Los Alamos took over the production of the plutonium component for US nuclear bombs, [Rocky Flats](#) near Denver Colorado was the nation's sole facility for plutonium core manufacturing. This facility, also managed by various contractors, had multiple fires including two major accidents involving plutonium in 1957 and 1969; on-site storage and burial of transuranic materials in leaking drums and unlined trenches contaminating the land and groundwater; radioactive contamination of nearby creeks and reservoirs; plutonium trapped in building ductwork, missing plutonium and so-called infinity rooms deemed too highly radioactive and dangerous to enter; and the incineration of plutonium

contaminated waste—which eventually brought the attention of the FBI, leading to a raid.

In 1989, Rocky Flats shuttered its operation, the buildings were taken down, and much radioactivity was borne away from the site, but much remains. The first six feet of level earth were partially “cleaned” of contamination, but below that, any amount of plutonium and other radioactive and toxic materials have been left on-site. The surface of land that comprises the former facility shifts often due to bioturbation, the handy work of burrowing animals. Ecologists have documented the presence of some 22 such species at Rocky Flats. These animals play a significant role in the redistribution and further dispersal of radioactive contaminants that remain in the ground. Despite all of this, in 2017 the re-named Rocky Flats Wildlife Refuge is slated to open, complete with a visitors center, picnic areas and 20 miles of hiking, biking, and riding trails.

These cases clearly demonstrate that while a handful of corporations make profits from building the bomb, their workers and the surrounding public suffer immediate, direct health-related costs. Meanwhile the rest of the world lives under the risk of environmental and humanitarian catastrophe.

This is where the ban treaty comes in.

Banning nuclear weapons is a threat to the nuclear weapon complex. Several of the draft treaty’s proposed legal prohibitions—such as on assistance, development, production, manufacture, testing, and of course possession—will impact directly on the economic, political, and social sustainability of this enterprise. An explicit prohibition against the financing of nuclear weapons, as a form of assistance, would be significant in terms of putting pressure on the companies currently running the nuclear weapon labs in the United States and equivalents in other countries. Taking the profitability out of manufacturing weapons of genocide is critical for impeding “modernisation” programmes and diverting human and economic resources away from weapons and towards meeting social needs.

Right now, nuclear ban negotiators are waiting for the release of the revised draft treaty text from the conference President. This revised text will be the basis for the remainder of negotiations. We have eight days left to achieve a ban treaty by 7 July. Keeping in mind the physical, economic, operational context of nuclear weapons out in the world is important for shaping an effective treaty inside the conference room.

*Thanks to Kathleen Sullivan for her contributions to this article.*

*Featured image from International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons*

The original source of this article is [Reaching Critical Will](#)  
Copyright © [Ray Acheson](#), [Reaching Critical Will](#), 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ray Acheson](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)