

Complicity in Genocide – The Legal Case Against the Biden Administration

Israel's mass bombardment of civilians in Gaza is being facilitated, aided and abetted by the United States government.

By [Azadeh Shahshahani](#) and [Sofia Veronica Montez](#)

Global Research, March 11, 2024

[In These Times](#) 26 February 2024

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice, United Nations](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Fundraising: Stop the Pentagon's Ides of March](#)

*Early this month, a federal judge dismissed a case brought by the **Center for Constitutional Rights (CCR)** [charging](#) U.S. **President Joe Biden, Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin, and Secretary of State Antony Blinken with complicity in the Israeli-led genocide in Gaza.***

But while many media outlets were quick to report on the case not moving forward, they largely missed a key aspect of the ruling:

the judge did not dismiss the case on its merits but rather because it fell “outside the court’s limited jurisdiction,” therefore rejecting it on technical grounds.

In fact, U.S. **District Court Judge Jeffrey White’s** statement appeared to uphold some of plaintiff’s key charges in the case:

“Both the uncontroverted testimony of the plaintiffs and the expert opinion proffered at the hearing on these motions as well as statements made by various officers of the Israeli government indicate that **the ongoing military siege in Gaza is intended to eradicate a whole people and therefore plausibly falls within the international prohibition against genocide.**”

The judge went further, urging Biden and his administration officials to scrutinize “the results of their unflinching support” for the Israeli government’s assault on Gaza.

Judge White was not alone in his appraisal. The case, first heard on January 26 in front of the

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, saw [roughly](#) 100 human rights and humanitarian aid groups write briefs supporting CCR's charges against the Biden administration.

These briefs make it abundantly clear that the Biden administration, in its steadfast support of the Israeli government, is complicit in the ongoing genocide, the displacement of [approximately 80%](#) of Palestinians from their homes and the [deaths of more than 29,000](#) so far in this latest chapter of a 76-year-long [Nakba \(catastrophe\) that never ended](#).

CCR's lawsuit underscored the plight of a Palestinian people asserting their humanity and refusing to be sacrificed at the altar of [U.S. geopolitical interests](#). By looking at the charges and evidence presented against the Biden administration, a clear picture emerges: The United States is actively aiding a campaign of mass slaughter in Gaza being carried out by the government of Israeli **Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu**.

Active U.S. Support

The suit by CCR referenced [the 1948 Genocide Convention](#)—which tasks governments with preventing genocides and forbids their complicity in genocides perpetrated by another party — and [the U.S. Genocide Convention Implementation Act](#), passed in 1988, which incorporates this mandate into U.S. law.

As multiple human rights [advocates](#) and experts such as Israeli historian and Associate Professor of Holocaust and Genocide Studies Raz Segal have laid out, Israel is [carrying out](#) a “textbook case of genocide” in Gaza, backed by clear genocidal intent, laid bare in Israeli **Minister of Defense Yoav Gallant's** Oct. 9 declaration:

“We are imposing a complete siege on Gaza. No electricity, no food, no water, no fuel. Everything is closed. We are fighting human animals and we will act accordingly.”

In response to the case, the Biden administration [countered that](#) CCR's lawsuit should not move forward because supporting Israel is a foreign policy decision reserved for the executive branch, free from judicial interference; that the United States is not responsible for how Israel, a foreign government, acts; and that there is no federal law allowing the plaintiffs to sue.

[CCR noted](#), first, that the issue is not whether the U.S. can make foreign policy decisions involving Israel but rather that the decision to aid in a genocide violates federal law, and the courts have a duty to uphold the law even against U.S. officials.

Second, CCR explained in detail how the Biden administration, far from a neutral spectator, is actively supporting the genocide through military, economic and diplomatic assistance.

Militarily, Secretary Blinken [exercised](#) emergency powers [twice](#) in December to approve the sale of armament worth approximately \$254 million. [According to the Defense Department](#), these supplies come from the [War Reserve Stocks for Allies-Israel \(WRSA-I\)](#), an [obscure](#) U.S. stockpile in Israel containing billions of dollars' worth of equipment.

The administration now seeks to [loosen WRSA-I restrictions for Israel](#), expanding access to

weaponry, increasing the annual stockpile limits, and removing legislative oversight, while adding to the privileges Israel already enjoys such as permission to withdraw WRSA-I items [without the prior justification](#) required of all other recipient countries.

The U.S. has provided (or is on track to provide) Israel [over 25,000 tons](#) of [military supplies: dozens of F-35 and F-15 fighter jets \(to be received in the coming years\), a dozen Apache helicopters, two thousand Hellfire missiles, MK-84 bombs](#) and [Joint Direct Attack Munitions](#) to guide them, [Spice bombs, M141 bunker-buster munitions](#), one million rounds of [7.62mm munitions](#) and thousands of [155mm artillery shells, 30mm cannon munitions, night-vision devices](#) and much more. Meanwhile, the presence of [U.S. surveillance drones in Gaza](#) suggests the possibility of greater U.S. military involvement than previously thought.

Financially, President Biden requested an emergency supplemental budget [exceeding \\$14 billion](#) to support Israel. The House of Representatives [responded with a bill](#) reflecting this amount plus billions of dollars for joint operations assistance. The Senate has now [passed a bill](#) for \$14.1 billion permitting the supply of currently forbidden military items to Israel, as well as waiving WRSA-I caps. These bills are currently being debated in Congress but enjoy broad bipartisan support.

And, diplomatically, the United States exercised its veto privilege at the United Nations Security Council to stall international calls for a cease-fire in Gaza on [October 18, December 8](#) and [February 20](#). The December instance followed UN **Secretary General António Guterres's invocation of Article 99** of the UN Charter to refer to the Security Council a “matter which, in [his] opinion, may aggravate existing threats to the maintenance of international peace and security.”

Article 99 was [last invoked in 1971](#) preceding the split of Bangladesh from Pakistan. Additionally, the UN General Assembly overwhelmingly supported cease-fire resolutions on [October 27](#) and [December 12](#), both of which the U.S. voted against. And, [on December 22](#), the U.S. abstained from a Security Council vote to direct humanitarian aid to Gaza after stalling for four days to remove a call for cease-fire from the resolution.

These various forms of support unequivocally constitute aiding and abetting of Israel's cataclysmic destruction of Gaza, and the CCR argued as much in establishing that the U.S. has been actively complicit in the ongoing genocide.

Relatedly, the CCR referenced this very aiding and abetting in claiming that they do have a federal right to sue under the Alien Tort Statute (ATS). As they explained, “aiding and abetting liability, particularly for U.S. defendants,” triggers the ATS goal of “provid[ing] a forum for violations of international law.”

Therefore, the CCR concluded, the courts do have a constitutional duty to put an end to the executive branch's complicity in genocide; the executive branch is complicit based on its clear aiding and abetting in the form of military, financial and diplomatic support; and the ATS permits plaintiffs to sue federal officials for their violations of the Genocide Convention.

No Conditions

CCR further charged Biden, Blinken and Austin with failure to prevent the genocide. The Genocide Convention and customary international law compel governments to exercise due diligence to prevent genocide, and self-defense is [legally insufficient](#) as a justification for

eradicating a population. U.S. officials are liable if they could likely influence Israel's conduct and if they should have known that Israel's acts raised a serious risk of genocide in Gaza.

In Gaza, the U.S. indisputably can influence Israel's conduct. The U.S. fills [92% of Israel's arms imports](#). Much of this equipment can only originate from the U.S. as it utilizes [proprietary technologies](#). Defense Minister Gallant [admitted](#) as much, when the U.S. pressured for humanitarian aid to Gaza, noting that "[t]he Americans insisted and we are not in a place where we can refuse them. We rely on them for planes and military equipment. What are we supposed to do? Tell them no?" The Biden administration [similarly boasted](#) about its influence in persuading Israel to pause aggressions for seven days in late November.

And the United States is doubtlessly aware of the ongoing genocide in Gaza. The CCR shared its [emergency legal briefing paper](#) with Biden, Blinken, and Austin in October explaining these exact points. **The International Court of Justice (ICJ) ruled in January that there is a plausible risk that Israel is carrying out genocide. Additionally, more than 800 public officials and diplomats across a range of countries, close to 80 of whom are based in the U.S. and work primarily within Blinken's State Department, warned in February that their governments were at risk of being complicit in genocide.**

The Biden administration blanketly denies the genocide charges against Israel while refusing to investigate them altogether. President Biden [vowed that](#) his "administration's support for Israel's security is rock solid and unwavering."

Secretary Blinken [has stated](#) his view that South Africa's "charge of genocide [against Israel before the ICJ] is meritless." And White House Coordinator for Strategic Communications [John Kirby said](#), on behalf of the Biden administration, that

"[w]e find [South Africa's] submission meritless, counterproductive and completely without any basis in fact, whatsoever," later [insisting that](#) "we find that that claim is unfounded."

More recently, former Speaker of the House **Nancy Pelosi** baselessly [claimed that](#)

"nothing [the U.S. has] sent since Oct. 7 [to Israel] has contributed to this brutality," despite [well recorded evidence](#) to the contrary.

The U.S. State Department [ordered officials](#) to refrain from using the phrases "de-escalation," "cease-fire," "end to violence," "end to bloodshed," and "restoring calm" in press releases, and Secretary Blinken [was found to have deleted](#) references to a cease-fire in his posts on X (formerly Twitter) after they had already been sent out.

Looks like the Secretary of State deleted this tweet and replaced it with below, removing the reference to advocacy for a cease-fire <https://t.co/5ao9ntSkYT>
<pic.twitter.com/roWlsCDV2P>

— Robbie Gramer (@RobbieGramer) [October 9, 2023](#)

Conspicuously, a State Department task force on preventing atrocities took [a full two weeks](#)

into the extremely brutal assault before meeting to discuss Israel and Palestine, and it was nevertheless sidelined by the administration.

According to Kirby, the U.S. imposes [no conditions](#) on weapons transfers to Israel even though the Foreign Assistance Act, the Leahy Law, and the Conventional Arms Transfer policy [prohibit transfers](#) when the weapons are likely intended to be used for genocide. Notably, transfers to most countries can be [put on hold](#) if one stakeholder suspects an item will be used unlawfully. In the case of Israel, multiple stakeholders, including the Bureau of Near East Affairs (NEA) and the U.S. Embassy in Jerusalem, must first agree that such risk exists, and the hold must be approved by the Deputy Secretary of State.

Moreover, these transfers [are shrouded in secrecy](#). Whereas the U.S. published pages detailing what weapons, and in what quantities, it provided to Ukraine, governmental disclosures concerning Israel amount to one brief sentence. Josh Paul, former director in the State Department's Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, [remarks that](#) there is no benefit in this secrecy except diminished oversight.

And the administration insists that it has remained close to the Israeli officials perpetrating the genocide. Kirby [claimed that](#) "we have, since the beginning of the conflict, in the early hours, maintained a level of communication with our Israeli counterparts to ascertain their intentions, their strategy, their aims." Secretary Blinken has held [hours-long conferences](#) with Israeli military officials, and Secretary Austin [had near-daily calls](#) with Minister Gallant "to meet Israel's needs, which include air defense, precision guided munitions, artillery and medical supplies."

Responsibility to Act

The U.S. District Court in California, spotlighting the ICJ's finding of plausible genocide, [implored](#) the administration to reconsider its course for the welfare of the Palestinian people, finding the judiciary to be lamentably powerless to interfere with foreign policy decisions.

Looking to the future, a group of South African lawyers [stated to](#) the Biden administration their intention to sue the U.S. government for "aiding, abetting and supporting, encouraging or providing material assistance and means to Israel" during a genocide. On February 12, the South African government [urgently requested](#) that the ICJ use its powers to prevent further genocidal acts by Israel in light of the most recent attack on Rafah, "the last refuge for surviving people in Gaza."

As the CCR case makes clear, the United States government is currently facilitating the annihilation of Gaza and the Palestinian people. In the face of this massacre, Congress has a responsibility to rein in the abuses of the Biden administration by exercising its review authority to end any further aid to the Israeli government.

While recent efforts to condition such aid have [failed](#), that should not prevent members of Congress from taking a clear stand: now is the time to hold the Biden administration accountable for its complicity in the crime of genocide.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter

and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Azadeh Shahshahani](#) is legal and advocacy director at Project South and a past president of the National Lawyers Guild. She tweets [@ashshahahani](#) and you can read her work at *In These Times* [here](#).

[Sofia Veronica Montez](#) is a Legal Fellow at Project South.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is [In These Times](#)

Copyright © [Azadeh Shahshahani](#) and [Sofia Veronica Montez](#), [In These Times](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Azadeh Shahshahani](#)** and **[Sofia Veronica Montez](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca