

CODENAME: Operation Virus Identification 2019; the Elitist Plan to Remake Society

By [Mike Whitney](#)

Global Research, October 13, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Science and Medicine](#)

First published with foresight on August 18, 2020

Everything we were originally told about the Coronavirus has turned out to be wrong.

In fact, it's not a "novel" one-of-a-kind infection at all, but a member of a larger family of which there have been many iterations in the recent past.

It's also not the most contagious or most lethal virus we've ever seen, but a fairly-mild infection that has no impact on the majority of people and that only kills somewhere between 1 in every 200 to 1 in every 1,000 people. (CDC-IFR- 0.26%)

Also, there was no real danger that our public health system was going to collapse, because the projected number of potential deaths (1 to 2 million in the US) never approached the estimates of the flawed computer models that were used to decide the policy.

In short, just about everything we were told from the very beginning turned out to be demonstrably wrong. Why is that? Why do you think that the people who provided us with the information -many of them supposedly "experts" in their field- were so wrong about everything? And why haven't they made any effort to publicly correct their mistakes when they realize how much confusion they've caused?

It's politics, right? What other explanation could there be? Our leaders and their behind-the-scenes puppet-masters are using science as a vehicle for achieving their own narrow political objectives. In broader terms, COVID-19 or, should we say, CODENAME: Operation Virus Identification 2019, is the plan to manipulate virus-hysteria "to drastically and irrevocably" change the "fundamental structure of society" to establish a totalitarian world order. (Quote: from [CJ Hopkins](#)) That's what's happening, and the Democrats, the media and the many infectious disease experts are playing key roles in this operation that's bound to continue until its objectives are achieved.

But let's forgo the political analysis for now and review what we actually know about the virus itself. This, of course, would not be necessary if the media had been doing its job by providing accurate information rather than fueling public hysteria. Sadly, the majority of people are just as misinformed now as they were 6 months ago when the outbreak began. How could that be if the media was actually doing its job? It couldn't.

What we know for certain is that the doomsday scenarios never materialized. 2 million

Americans did not die and the world did not come to an abrupt end. We also know that the computer model predictions from the Imperial College were bogus just as we know that the countries that ignored those absurd models did better than the others. As Nobel prize winner Michael Levitt points out in an article at Haaretz:

“The same type of models predicted that in Sweden, the number of deaths from COVID-19 would reach about 100,000 by June, if the Swedish government continues to refuse to impose lockdown measures. Sweden rejected these models and bravely adopted... a democratic policy that broadly enabled normal life to continue. Despite the large nursing homes in Sweden...the number of deaths turned out to be 6% of the one predicted, about 6,000 people, at an average age of 81. Half of the victims were nursing home residents who, in Sweden, have a median life expectancy of 9 months after admission.” ([“Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions”](#) Haaretz)

Repeat: Sweden’s death toll turned out to be just 6% of the original estimate. By comparison, the US death toll (167,000) is not quite 10% of the original (Imperial College) estimate. Both estimates were catastrophically wrong, and yet, we shut down the economy, drove unemployment up to levels not seen since the Great Depression, and condemned the country to years of agonizing restructuring. And for what??

Well, to promote a ghastly, authoritarian political agenda, that’s why. And this just helps to underscore what **Covid-19 is really all about. It’s politics masquerading as science.**

The Haaretz article also sheds light on the issue of “herd immunity” which is routinely mischaracterized in the media as the point at which 60% (or more) of the population have been infected and therefore have developed antibodies to the virus. This is wrong, in fact, the threshold for herd immunity is much lower than that, perhaps 5 to 15% of the population.

But, how can that be, after all, we were told that this was an entirely new “novel” virus that our species had never before experienced and for which we had no built-up immunity?

That was another lie. Here’s Michael Levitt again:

“Widespread infection is not required for stopping the epidemic. **The argument that 60% of the population must be infected and becomes immune before the infection spread is halted is based on an incorrect mathematical calculation....**The most significant evidence - decidedly refuting the need for 60% infection rate - is **pre-immunity. For example, COVID-19 has several relatives (other coronaviruses) to which the population had been exposed, and such prior exposure can provide immunity to a significant segment of the population.** Back in April, two of us wrote an article about the postulated nature of this immunity and the statistical evidence that pointed to its existence. **We noted that in several closed communities that underwent testing, the infection rate was always capped at 20%, which statistically aligns with maximal infection rate in these communities rather than recurring coincidences.** About a month later, a group of researchers published corroborating evidence in Cell, one of the most prestigious journals in the life sciences. About 60% of people in California who had never been exposed to COVID-19, had immune memory cells that recognized the virus and are therefore likely to provide immunity.

Moreover, **a study in Germany showed that such immunity could reach a level as high as 81% of the population. ...This rate of pre-immunity to COVID-19 is also evident in the global rates of infection.** The virus began infecting humans more than eight months ago, and **the epidemic has already spread to most of the world. Yet in all countries, the infection rate remains below 20 percent of the general population. This limited rate of infection has remained unchanged regardless of social distancing measures (if any), such as quarantines, local or country-wide lockdown, mask-wearing, and so on.** In Sweden, for example, the infection rate did not exceed 20% and the percentage of people who survived the epidemic exceeds 99.9% (!) of the population. Such is the case in Belgium as well, the country with the highest population mortality rate, where less than 20% were infected, **and more than 99.9% of the population has survived the epidemic...The implications of these findings are of utmost importance. They call for immediate removal of most restrictions on the economy, immediate return to normal life of low-risk population while helping high-risk groups reduce the rate of social contacts.**" (["Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions"](#) Haaretz)

This is not some minor point. Our policy and the policies adopted by countries around the world are based on assumptions that are both unscientific and false. Do you really think the people responsible for implementing these policies don't know the science or don't know about "pre-immunity" or that that "prior exposure can provide immunity" or that "the infection rate was always capped at 20% in all countries" (which means that only 1 in every 5 people will contract the infection regardless of their exposure.) or that in all cases and all countries "more than 99.9% of the population has survived the epidemic"?

Admit it, most readers don't know anything about any of this because none of it has appeared in the MSM. Why is that? What malicious, evil forces are at work here? Why do our leaders and our media want to keep us in the dark about issues that are critical to our decision-making, critical to our livelihoods, and critical to our very survival? Why?

Again, do you really think our leaders and infectious disease experts- like the affable Anthony Fauci- are unaware of these facts? Do you think they have dismissed them as too trivial or too superficial to tell the public or do you think they are deliberately withholding any information that might mitigate the prevailing atmosphere of hysteria that is keeping the American people afraid, isolated and abjectly submissive to the manipulations of their paymasters?

That's what you call a "no-brainer". We have entered a period in which wealthy, globalist oligarchs are using fake science, amplified through their assets in the media and Democrat party, to fundamentally restructure society in a way that enhances their material interests while strengthening their grip on power. It's as plain as the nose on your face.

And there's more too, because censorship, manipulation and politics have real costs, and the costs can be calculated in terms of the lives that are lost due to the imposition of a ruthless and thoroughly-counterproductive policy: Lockdown. Here's Levitt again:

"The third argument - removing restrictions will result in a higher mortality than a policy of lockdowns and restrictions - is also incorrect. **A virus spreads in the population until enough people become infected and immune, or until a vaccine is found. Lockdowns and restrictions may only slow down its spread ("flatten the curve") but they do not lower the total number of infections or overall mortality.** If there is a risk of

overwhelming hospitals, there might be a need to slow the spread of the infection. Otherwise, **flattening the curve can only be harmful since the infection returns once the restrictions are removed. Moreover, efficient protection of high-risk groups is possible only for a limited period of time: The longer the time, the harder it is to prevent their exposure to the virus. Therefore, paradoxically, it is precisely lockdowns and restrictions that slow the building of herd immunity, which in turn is needed to stop the epidemic and protect high-risk groups. In the long run, such policy can lead to excessive mortality.**" (["Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions"](#) Haaretz)

In other words, **lockdowns can postpone infections but cannot prevent them.** A virus is going to do what a virus does. Period. Imposing lockdowns is as bound to fail as standing at the water's edge and ordering the tide to stop coming in. It's empty posturing that achieves nothing. As Levitt says, lockdowns are, in fact, a threat to older and vulnerable people because the longer they are in place, "the harder it is to prevent their exposure to the virus." **In short, the lockdowns actually kill the people they are supposed to save.**

Do you think our leaders know this? Of course, they know it. Levitt is not the only scientist who's able to think clearly and rationally. The others have merely shaped their approach to the demands of their employers. Does the name "Bill Gates" ring a bell?

Here's Levitt again:


"In Sweden...there is no "second wave" because there was no lockdown. Thus, the policy of imposing and easing restrictions only prolongs the crisis, destroys the economy, and eventually leads to a larger number of victims. It may even continue for years as long as a vaccine is not available. The alternative to lockdowns and restrictions must be seriously considered." (["Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions"](#) Haaretz)

Indeed, lockdowns cost lives, lockdowns cost money, and lockdowns are the wrong policy. Here's Levitt one last time:

"It can be assumed that the handling of the COVID-19 crisis will be scrutinized - both in terms of health aspects, but also in light of public outrage over the state of the economy. **So many people all over the world have lost their sources of income, livelihood, dignity and future. Poverty is a much more severe mortality risk factor than COVID-19,** and it affects children as much as adults. One of the key questions that will surely be asked is whether the leadership in each country has ever seriously considered a worthy alternative to resolving the crisis, which will not cost so many human lives or destroy the economy. **Countries such as Norway, Ireland, and Belgium have already declared that they will not impose further lockdowns as the obvious damage outweighs the doubtful benefit by a wide margin.**" (["Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions"](#) Haaretz)

By any measure, the United States is in worse condition than ever before. We have destroyed our economy, closed our schools, obliterated our small and medium-sized businesses, increased suicides, depression, domestic abuse, poverty, homelessness, alienation and destitution. The American people have been plunged into a strange world of

persistent fear and relentless manipulation by scheming elites who are resolutely committed to remaking society from the ground-up. COVID-19 is merely the vehicle they have chosen to achieve their nefarious objectives.



Does Hydroxychloroquine work? You decide?

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Author's Note: All excerpts taken from ("[Countering the Second Wave with Facts, not Misconceptions](#)" Haaretz) Udi Qimron, Uri Gavish, Eyal Shahr, Michael Levitt" See [this](#)

1- Prof. Udi Qimron is the (elected) Head of Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University

2- Dr. Uri Gavish is a Physicist, an expert in Algorithm Analysis and a Bio-medical consultant

3- Prof. (Emeritus) Eyal Shahr is an Epidemiologist, University of Arizona

4- Prof. Michael Levitt is a Nobel Prize-winning (Chemistry, 2013) Structural Biology professor, Stanford University The original article was published in Ha'aretz in Hebrew on July 20, 2020. The English text contains minor revisions.

This article was originally published on [The Unz Review](#).

Mike Whitney is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from The Freedom Articles

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Mike Whitney](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mike Whitney](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca