

CNN and Huffington Post Are "External Stakeholders" In Nuclear Regulatory Commission

By <u>Washington's Blog</u> Global Research, December 16, 2013 <u>Washington's Blog</u> Theme: Media Disinformation

Painting by Anthony Freda: www.AnthonyFreda.com

It is well-documented that the claim that nuclear power is a low-carbon source of energy is <u>merepropaganda</u>.

The archaic nuclear reactor design used at Fukushima and in most reactors in the United States and throughout the world was <u>chosen solely</u> because it <u>helps to make nuclear bombs</u>.

Our <u>health has been sacrificed</u> – and the dangers of radiation covered up – for 68 years ... for the sake of nuclear weapons.

The mainstream media – and gatekeeper "alternative" media – are pro-war. They may occasionally criticize one tiny aspect of the war-fighting machinery, but never the overall war effort.

As such, it should not be entirely surprising that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission lists CNN and Huffington Post as "external stakeholders" in the NRC.

As EneNews <u>reports</u>:

Independent Evaluation of NRC's Use and Security of Social Media, Office of the Inspector General, Jan. 2013:

Social Media Evaluation Interview List [Appendix VI, pg. 82]

- Internal Stakeholders (NRC staff) [...]
- External Stakeholders (Press) Energy Editor, AOL, Huffington Post — Nuclear Writer, Huffington Post — Producer, CNN News
- External Stakeholders (Digital Influencers) Blogger, Atomic Power Review — Blogger, Idaho Samizdat: Nuke Notes — Blogger, Yes Vermont Yankee
- External Stakeholders (Nuclear Industry) [...] Senior Manager for Social — Media, Nuclear Energy Institute [...]
- External Stakeholders (US Government and US Senate Staff) US-CERT Representative, United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team — Policy Director, US Senate

Excerpts from the evaluation:

 As part of the press, I have to be able to quickly communicate a lot of technical information into something our readers will grasp. But it helps if NRC had strong info graphics or a section that provided a breakdown of technical info so I can understand the translation from its source. — Huffington Post

- NRC's materials are very basic and not very viral. Other agencies do a better job of including information graphics, photos, even clickable links. There's no extra. It's not influential. — Managing Editor, Huffington Post
- One producer from Cable News Network (CNN) suggested that what was currently offered on Flickr does not compel him to return and urged NRC to provide more content that did not involve people in a conference room or of the chairperson speaking from a podium.

Read the report here (pdf)

See also: <u>Paper: CNN's nuclear propaganda film "is dishonest to its core" — It's</u> <u>"actually an infomercial"</u>

Remember, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is a pro-industry group which is <u>largely funded</u> by the nuclear companies. (This is true of <u>all nuclear agencies</u>).

The nuclear industry in Japan – and elsewhere – <u>spends more on pr than on safety</u> <u>measures</u>. Indeed, nuclear power is a form of crony capitalism, where taxpayers fund a market which would <u>not even exist in a free market</u>.

The <u>presstitute media</u> once again shills for the powers-that-be.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca