

# Civilian Drone Deaths Triple in Afghanistan, UN Agency Finds

By [Alice K Ross](#)

Global Research, February 10, 2014

[The Bureau of Investigative Journalism](#) 8  
February 2014

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

*Drones accounted for a third of all civilian deaths in air strikes. (Photo: Defence Images)*

Civilian drone deaths in Afghanistan tripled last year, according to a report by a UN agency. Forty-five civilians died in drone strikes in 2013.

The [report](#), by the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (Unama), found that drone strikes accounted for at least a third of all civilian deaths in air strikes last year. Unama notes that it is sometimes difficult to establish which type of aircraft carried out a strike, so the true total could be higher.

The UK and US are the only countries to operate armed drones in the conflict. A December 2012 [report](#) by the Bureau found that the two forces had carried out over 1,000 drone strikes in the country in the previous five years. British drones have carried out [over a fifth](#) of all these strikes, despite having a much smaller fleet.

Unama identifies 19 separate incidents in which civilians were killed. It raises concerns about 'possible negligence' by international troops over a drone strike on September 7 2013 in which local officials immediately claimed civilians had died. The governor of Kunar province, where the attack took place, [told Reuters](#): 'Four women, four children, two drivers, a merchant and three suspected (insurgents) were killed.'

'During Unama's initial meetings with Isaf on the incident Isaf denied the possibility of civilian casualties,' the report notes. Isaf claimed a senior insurgent had probably been killed and the dead were 'insurgents', although it did not identify them.

'After multiple meetings in which Unama called for a review of the incident, Isaf confirmed two civilian deaths "one female and one child" and "would not rule out the possibility of another woman's death,' Unama's report adds.

Unama conducted over 50 interviews with relatives of victims, community representatives, and Afghan and Isaf officials, and found that the attack killed 10 civilians and seriously injured a four-year-old girl. It notes that Isaf has not published the results of any investigation into the incident or indicated any change in its targeting policies.

'The apparent failure... of international forces to identify the presence of a group of women and children in a vehicle prior to engaging the vehicle with a UAV/RPA [drone] strike could suggest negligence,' Unama notes. 'Of further concern, was the apparent failure of

international military forces to confirm the identity and/or status of the men accompanying the fighter targeted by international military forces.'

Isaf spokesman Lieutenant Colonel Will Griffin told the Bureau that after Isaf investigated, it 'acknowledged responsibility for at least three civilian casualties'.

He added: 'Isaf identified a target individual in a vehicle and followed him to an area remote from villages and apparent civilians. In spite of persistent observation, unknown to ISAF there were at least three civilians located in the vehicle with the target. Although ISAF's engagement was successful against the insurgent target, regrettably the strike resulted in three other casualties. One of these was a four-year-old child, for whom ISAF took responsibility for sending to the US for advanced medical treatment.'

Asked about the disparity between Isaf's casualty count and Unama's, he pointed to 'variance between the sources and methodologies used by Isaf and Unama to verify information'. He added: 'We certainly respect the work that Unama does in this regard and agree that any civilian casualty is one too many.'

Unama does not state which force operated the drone. A [Los Angeles Times report](#) on the strike, published in December 2013, mentioned discussions with US officials about the strike. Philip Hammond, the British defence minister, [wrote in the Guardian](#) in December 2013 that only one attack by a British drone has killed civilians - a March 2011 attack, in which four farmers were killed.

The numbers killed in air strikes represented a tiny fraction of the overall violence captured in Unama's report. After a decline in civilian deaths in 2012, last year they rose again to approach 2011's record highs. Nearly 3,000 non-combatants were killed, including 1,300 women and children.

Three quarters of the deaths were caused by the Taliban and other insurgents, with improvised explosive devices alone killing almost 1,000 civilians. International air operations represented 2% of all civilian deaths, and declined by 10% compared to 2012.

The rising civilian toll in drone strikes is in stark contrast to the CIA's operations over the border in Pakistan, where the Bureau has found drones killed a [maximum of four civilians](#) in the year's 27 drone operations. However [civilian casualties also rose](#) in US drone operations in Yemen, where at least six civilians were killed in a US military strike that reportedly attacked a wedding procession.

Follow [Alice Ross](#) on Twitter. Sign up to the [drones newsletter](#).

The original source of this article is [The Bureau of Investigative Journalism](#)  
Copyright © [Alice K Ross](#), [The Bureau of Investigative Journalism](#), 2014

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Alice K Ross**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)