

Citigroup Fails the Federal Reserve's Bankers' "Stress Test": The Real Story

"Too Big To Fail" ... Fails

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, March 27, 2014

Washington's Blog 27 March 2014

Region: USA

Theme: Global Economy, Law and Justice

Bloomberg reports that Citigroup has failed the Fed's new round of stress tests:

Citigroup Inc.'s capital plan was among five that failed Federal Reserve stress tests, while Goldman Sachs Group Inc. and Bank of America Corp. passed only after reducing their requests for buybacks and dividends.

Citigroup, as well as U.S. units of Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc, HSBC Holdings Plc and Banco Santander SA, failed because of qualitative concerns about their processes, the Fed said today in a <u>statement</u>. Zions Bancorporation was rejected as its capital fell below the minimum required. The central bank approved plans for 25 banks.

In reality, Citi "flat lined" - went totally bust - in 2008. It was insolvent.

And former FDIC chief Sheila Bair said that the whole bailout thing was <u>really</u> focused on <u>bringing</u> a very dead Citi back from the grave.

Indeed, the big banks - including Citi - have repeatedly gone bankrupt.

For example, the New York Times wrote in 2009:

Over the past 80 years, the United States government has engineered not one, not two, not three, but at least **four** rescues of the institution now known as Citigroup.

So why did the U.S. government give Citi a passing grade in previous stress tests?

Because they were rigged to give all of the students an "A".

Time Magazine <u>called</u> then Secretary Treasury Tim Geithner a "con man" and the stress tests a "confidence game" because those tests were so inaccurate.

But the bigger story is that <u>absolutely nothing</u> was done to address the causes of the 2008 financial crisis, or to fix the system:

• Even though everyone knows that <u>breaking up the big banks is essential</u> to stabilizing the economy, it hasn't happened. Indeed, they're <u>bigger than ever</u>

- The faulty incentive system huge bonuses that encourage reckless risk-taking by bankers - are still here
- Even though rampant speculation helped destabilize the economy, speculation has shot through the roof
- Another big problem shadow banking has only gotten worse
- Derivatives? Washington has poured gasoline on the fire
- Cracking down on fraud and holding criminals accountable is perhaps <u>the most</u> <u>important thing</u> to fix the economy. So has this happened? <u>Nope</u> ... just <u>phony</u> P.R.

Indeed, the *only* the government has done is to try to <u>cover up the problems</u> that created the 2008 crisis in the first place ... and to <u>throw huge amounts of money</u> at the <u>fattest of the fatcats</u>.

Remember, Nobel prize winning economist George Akerlof has <u>demonstrated</u> that failure to punish white collar criminals – and instead bailing them out- creates incentives for more economic crimes and further destruction of the economy in the future.

Indeed, professor of law and economics (and chief S&L prosecutor) William Black <u>notes</u> that we've known of this dynamic for "hundreds of years". (Actually, the government has ignored <u>several thousand years</u> of economic wisdom.)

Heck of a job, guys ...

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, Washington's Blog, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's

Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca