

CIA: The Corrupt and Ignorant Agency

By [Wayne Madsen](#)

Global Research, June 02, 2016

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 1 June 2016

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NATO'S WAR ON LIBYA](#)

The American taxpayers have been fleeced for almost seventy years by a so-called «intelligence» agency that has systematically violated the US Constitution, broken practically every federal law on the books, and penetrated virtually every facet of American life. The Central Intelligence Agency's creation was bemoaned by its creator, President Harry S Truman, who, in a fit of personal angst following the 1963 assassination of President John F Kennedy, [wrote](#) in a newspaper column,

“I never had any thought that when I set up the CIA that it would be injected into peacetime cloak and dagger operations... I, therefore, would like to see the CIA be restored to its original assignment... and that its operational duties be terminated or properly used elsewhere”.

During a presidential election year, two of the three remaining major candidates – Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump – have given no indication that they will heed the words of President Truman. For example, Trump has indicated he will give the CIA authority to torture that even the CIA considers illegal.

As for Mrs Clinton, her zeal in supporting the violent overthrow and assassination of Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi in 2011 only gives added impetus to the out-of-control players inside the CIA to commit similar actions in a Clinton administration. Only Democratic candidate Bernie Sanders appears to channel the beliefs of Truman when it comes to reining in the CIA.

It is not known whether Trump, who has made favorable noises to interventionist neo-conservatives within the Republican Party, will stand by his comments that the war in Libya was a mistake. But Trump was one of the cheerleaders urging US intervention in 2011. He [said](#),

“Gaddafi in Libya is killing thousands of people, nobody knows how bad it is, and we're sitting around. We have soldiers all over the Middle East and not bringing them to stop this horrible carnage... We don't want to get involved and you're going to end up with something like you've never seen before”.

Trump, Mrs Clinton, and Obama were wrong about Libya and Gaddafi on all counts. Gaddafi was not killing “thousands of people”. That was being done by the jihadist rebels supported by the CIA. The late US ambassador/weapons smuggler in Libya, [Christopher Stevens](#), who was ironically killed by the very same jihadists with whom he was brokering deals to ship Libyan weapons to Syria, ensured that the latest NATO weaponry ended up in radical Islamist hands in Libya. It was these weapons that killed “thousands of people” – Libyan and

African guest workers and their families – in a country wracked by a civil war manufactured by Mrs Clinton and CIA director General David Petraeus.

The CIA, in particular, had for decades, fantasized about overthrowing Gaddafi. Never did this most incompetent of US government agencies contemplate the effects of Gaddafi's ouster: the spread of Saudi-financed jihadist terrorism across the Sahel region of Africa. The CIA's fanciful notions that Gaddafi's days were numbered were highlighted in a formerly Secret CIA report titled, "Libya: Will the Revolution Outlast Gaddafi?" Issued in June 1988, the report was obviously intended to bolster those within the Reagan administration who argued for a US military attack on Libya by taking advantage of what the CIA perceived was a weakened Gaddafi government at the end of the 1980s. The CIA, as usual, was extremely off-base in its assessment of Gaddafi's staying power.

The CIA report states: "Gaddafi's revolution has largely run its course, and he must rely on coercion to perpetuate his revolutionary vision". In fact, Libyans had the highest standard of living on the African continent with oil revenues being shared with the entire population. The CIA's erroneous assessment of Libya in 1988 continued: "Just as Libya in 1969 was ripe for a change to a more nationalistic and activist regime, we believe it now ripe for a return to normality". The call for "regime change" by the CIA in 1988 was, in fact, a call for the return to the status quo ante of 1968: a Libya governed by a corrupt oligarchy led by a feeble monarch. Today, a motley crew of United Nations-selected Libyan gangsters governs the country as a "paper tiger" entity called the "Government of National Accord". Meanwhile, jihadists of Ansar al-Sharia govern Benghazi while the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) governs Sirte, Derna, and a swath of territory southeast of Tripoli, the Libyan capital.

Just as the destruction of Saddam Hussein's Ba'ath Party and military infrastructures in Iraq following the US invasion and occupation led to the complete collapse of the Iraqi nation-state, the destruction of the four key institutions that united Libya under Gaddafi led to "failed state" status for the country. The CIA cited these key institutions in its 1988 report but no effort was made to preserve them after Gaddafi's assassination by CIA-supported forces.

The four key institutions in Libya were, according to the CIA, the tribally-based security battalions, the regular Armed Forces, the Military Intelligence service, and the revolutionary committees. These institutions were wiped out in order that the CIA's wish in 1988 could be realized: that Libya would return to the "normality" of 1968: government by a restored monarchy led by a pretender to the al-Senussi family's throne once occupied by King Idris I. However, Libya became a polyglot of warring tribes and jihadists, many of the latter imported from conflict zones abroad.

Whether governed by a restored monarchy or a military-dominated successor regime, the CIA saw a post-Gaddafi government desiring "a more constructive relationship with the United States and the West". The CIA added, "Libyan successors probably would turn first to Western Europe for better economic relations and some arms. They also would be likely to seek greater US participation in the Libyan economy". However, after Gaddafi agreed to abandon his so-called "weapons of mass destruction" chemical and nuclear programs, the United States and Western Europe had a more constructive relationship with Libya and the country was wide open for Western economic investment. After Mrs Clinton, Petraeus, Obama, Britain's David Cameron, and other Western leaders had their way with Libya, it is now a wasteland. The infrastructures of irrigation, road and air transport, social welfare,

public education, health care, and employment for African guest workers lie in ruins. The clearly demented Mrs Clinton's private e-mails show that she and her cabal of interventionists were clearly overjoyed by the misery that befell Libya and its people. When she was informed of Gaddafi's brutal assassination, one that involved his sodomization, Clinton uttered the preamble to what may be called the "Hillary Doctrine" - "[We came, we saw, he died!](#)" She followed her declaration with her signature hideous cackling laughter.

The 1988 CIA report does not seem to care much for Gaddafi's style of governance through some 2000 local "people's congresses", described by the CIA as "a hybrid of a New England town meeting and a Bedouin tribal gathering". This demonstration of the "people's will" in the Libyan "[Jamahiriya](#)" was anathema to the CIA's goals for Libya, even Libya's emulation of New England-style direct democracy.

A system of direct democracy in an Arab Muslim nation defied the CIA's wish for the region, which was and remains an alliance of pro-US theocratic states governed by corrupt elites that wield power through US-equipped armed forces. The CIA report on Libya admits that initially, Gaddafi's system of direct democracy and the exercising of the people's will "actually worked". Only in the jaundiced view of the United States was such a system of government a threat, not only to US interests and goals, but to those of Israel, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Kuwait, Morocco, and other corrupt and theocratic US client states in the region.

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)
Copyright © [Wayne Madsen](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Wayne Madsen](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca