

CIA Discussed Assassination of Fidel Castro with UK Officials

Exclusive: British diplomats advised the CIA on the impact of killing the Cuban leader, just as the US was preparing a massive covert action campaign against Castro.

By John McEvoy

Global Research, September 26, 2022

Declassified UK

Region: Europe, Latin America &

Caribbean, USA

Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

First published on GR in April 2022.

Fidel Castro's secret service chief once <u>estimated</u> that 634 attempts were made against the Cuban leader's life.

From exploding cigars to poisoned pills, Washington's campaign to <u>assassinate</u> Castro remains an infamous case of Cold War covert action.

The presence of a revolutionary government in Cuba, just 90 miles off the coast of Florida, was intolerable for the US government.

What is less well known is that Britain collaborated with Washington's anti-Castro operations in the early 1960s.

A Foreign Office <u>document</u>, classified for six decades and only recently released at the National Archives, reveals British diplomats discussed the "disappearance" of Castro with the CIA.

In November 1961, **Thomas Brimelow**, a high-flying British diplomat in Washington, went with his colleague **Alan Clark** to meet the CIA.

Clark, who was visiting America from his post at the British embassy in Havana, offered US intelligence a window into Cuba – a country the state department had pulled out from.

After opening statements, the CIA men cut to the chase, asking Clark "whether the disappearance of Fidel Castro himself would have serious repercussions" in Cuba.

Brimelow's minutes of that meeting, marked "secret and personal", show the British duo did not protest the unsubtle allusion to Castro's assassination.

Clark responded that "Raoul Castro had been nominated as Fidel's successor", and "he might succeed in taking Fidel's place if adequate time were allowed".

More frankly, Clark added:

"If Fidel were to be assassinated, then it was less certain that there would be a smooth takeover. The [state] apparatus, which was apparently strong enough to cope with a gradual changeover, might not cope with a sudden crisis".

The revelations raise fresh <u>questions</u> about how much Britain knew of Washington's secret efforts to topple Castro.

The subversive discussion came months after the White House had cut off diplomatic relations with Havana and attempted a failed invasion at Cuba's Bay of Pigs.

Just days after the UK-CIA meeting, President John F. Kennedy authorised <u>Operation Mongoose</u> – a secret<u>programme</u> to remove Castro by any means necessary.

The following year, Clark became first secretary at the British embassy in Washington. Brimelow ultimately went on to run the Foreign Office and receive a life <u>peerage</u>.

'Most impressed'

While the UK was generally sympathetic to the White House's goal of removing Castro, British officials were more <u>critical</u> of US strategy – most pointedly during the botched Bay of Pigs invasion.

Diplomatic relations between the US and Britain also sourced over the issue of sanctioning Cuba throughout 1962.

Clark was not exclusively dismissive of the Castro government. At the CIA meeting, he argued that

"the revolution had brought some appreciable good to a large number of people", adding that "the people who had thus benefited did not yet realise the price that they were paying and would have to pay".

Nonetheless, declassified files show that the British embassy in Havana continued to share intelligence with Washington on the military, political and economic situation in Cuba.

In January 1962, Britain sent a report to the Pentagon on a military parade in Havana, replete with sketches of Cuba's military apparatus.

It was "largely based on our own direct observations; we had the Ambassador and Head of Chancery on the stands, three members of the staff in the crowds lining the route and two more watching the proceeding on television", one British cable noted.

The Americans were appreciative. "This is just to say how very grateful the Pentagon are for the excellent reports... about the military parade. They are most impressed by the effort put into it and the detailed results you all obtained", another telegram shows. In March 1962, the Pentagon insisted once again "how grateful they are for all the previous information on the military situation in Cuba".

Months later, the US outlined "priority targets" for Britain in its gathering of military information in Cuba.

"Almost all of these 'targets' are in the Havana area, and they have been selected as they are almost all in areas which members of the Embassy might be able to visit", wrote one British official following a top secret discussion with the Pentagon.

The Foreign Office declined to comment on the revelations.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

John McEvoy is an independent journalist who has written for International History Review, The Canary, Tribune Magazine, Jacobin and Brasil Wire.

The original source of this article is <u>Declassified UK</u> Copyright © <u>John McEvoy</u>, <u>Declassified UK</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: John McEvoy

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca