

# CIA “Black Budget” Funding: Never Constrained by its Congressional Budget

By [Prof Peter Dale Scott](#)

Global Research, December 15, 2014

[Who What Why](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Intelligence](#)

originally published by [Who What Why](#)

*How is it that the CIA has always found ways to spend past the means of its “black budget?”*

*In this fourth exclusive excerpt from author [Peter Dale Scott’s](#) new book “[The American Deep State: Wall Street, Big Oil and the Attack on U.S. Democracy](#),” the professor emeritus of English at Berkeley and former Canadian diplomat lays out how the CIA has run slush funds since its inception.*

*In it, Scott marshals evidence that the proceeds of several U.S.-Saudi arms deals are the common denominator tying together every major “deep state” event involving the U.S. since 1976.*

*Scott is considered the father of “deep politics”—the study of [hidden permanent institutions and interests](#) whose influence on the political realm transcends the elected. In “American Deep State,” he painstakingly details the facts lurking behind the official histories to uncover the real dynamics in play.*

*If you’d like to read more, please check out this excerpt detailing [the hidden hands of the Continuity of Government Group](#) aka the Doomsday Project, another on its [resurgence after 9-11](#), and this analysis of [how the revolving door between the CIA and Wall Street shapes global events](#).*

\*\*\*

Since it first began to be involved in covert operations, the CIA has always been able to draw on funds that were not specifically authorized by Congress for that purpose. Allen Dulles, while still a lawyer at the influential Wall Street firm of Sullivan and Cromwell in New York, was able to arrange for this.

In 1946, General Vandenberg, as Director of Central Intelligence (DCI), recruited Dulles “to draft proposals for the shape and organization of what was to become the Central Intelligence Agency in 1947.” (1) Even earlier, Dulles began campaigning successfully to reconstruct Western Europe through what became known as the Marshall Plan. (2) Together with George Kennan and James Forrestal, Dulles also “helped devise a secret codicil [to the Marshall Plan] that gave the CIA the capability to conduct political warfare. It let the agency skim millions of dollars from the plan.” (3)

Funds diverted from the Marshall Plan were soon used to establish a

“‘compatible left’ labor union in Marseilles with Pierre Ferri-Pisani. On behalf of Brown and the CIA, Ferri-Pisani (a drug smuggler connected with Marseilles crime lord Antoine Guerini), hired goons to shellack striking Communist dock workers.” [\(4\)](#)

The CIA also made systematic use in Asia of self-financing drug trafficking forces to increase its covert influence—in Thailand and Burma, then in Laos and Vietnam, and most recently in Afghanistan. [\(5\)](#) With America’s expansion overseas, we have seen more and more covert programs and agencies, all using drug traffickers to different and opposing ends.

In 2004, *Time* and *USA Today* ran major stories about two of the chief Afghan drug traffickers, Haji Juma Khan and Haji Bashir Noorzai, alleging that each was supporting al-Qaeda, and that Khan in particular “has helped al-Qaeda establish a smuggling network that is peddling Afghan heroin to buyers across the Middle East, Asia and Europe.” [\(6\)](#) Later it was revealed that both traffickers were simultaneously CIA assets, and that Khan in particular was “paid a large amount of cash by the United States,” even while he was reportedly helping al-Qaeda to establish smuggling networks. [\(7\)](#)




There is no longer anything surprising in the news that large U.S. payments were made to a drug trafficker who was himself funding the Taliban and al-Qaeda. The arrangement is no more bizarre than the CIA’s performance during the U.S. “war on drugs” in Venezuela in the 1990s, when the CIA first set up an anti-drug unit in Venezuela, and then helped its chief, Gen. Ramon Guillén Davila, smuggle at least one ton of pure cocaine into Miami International Airport. [\(8\)](#)

### **Lawyers, Guns and Money**

CIA clients, like Kodama Yoshio in Japan, also received and distributed millions of funds from Lockheed to secure military contracts, in which a percentage was kicked back to local agents who time after time were also, like Kodama, assets of the CIA. [\(9\)](#) The CIA knew about this operation but has never admitted involvement in it, even after it was revealed that the U.S. Air Force also had a hand in a Lockheed payoff program, code-named “Operation Buttercup.” [\(10\)](#) Lockheed’s system of payoffs was worldwide; and there was CIA involvement with it in at least four other countries: the Netherlands, Italy, Indonesia and Saudi Arabia. (Lockheed, the builder of the U-2, was a major CIA-cleared contractor.) [\(11\)](#)

Ten times as much money, \$106 millions in commissions, went from Lockheed to another important CIA asset, the Saudi arms dealer Adnan Khashoggi. Khashoggi’s political advisor for two decades was Miles Copeland, a veteran of first CIA and then the CIA’s contractor Booz, Allen, & Hamilton, a consulting company that also worked with big oil. Khashoggi in turn dished out money from Lockheed and other defense companies to political leaders

around the world, including America.



In the United States, of course, the CIA was forbidden to make the payments to right-wing politicians that characterized its behavior in the rest of the world. But no such prohibition applied to Khashoggi. According to Anthony Summers,

Khashoggi had courted Nixon in 1967 by putting a plane at his disposal to tour the Middle East after the Six-Day War. Soon afterward, using a proxy, he opened an account at Rebozo's [Charles "Bebe" Rebozo, Nixon's close confidante who owned a bank] in Florida. He did so, he explained to Watergate prosecutors, hoping to "curry favor with Rebozo," to get an entrée to the man who might become president, and to pursue business deals. (12)

Khashoggi in effect served as a "cutout," or representative, in a number of operations forbidden to the CIA and the companies he worked with. Lockheed, for one, was conspicuously absent from the list of military contractors who contributed illicitly to Nixon's 1972 election campaign. But there was no law prohibiting their official representative, Khashoggi, from cycling \$200 million through the bank of Nixon's friend Bebe Rebozo. (13) (Pierre Salinger heard from Khashoggi that in 1972 he had donated \$1 million to Nixon, corroborating the often-heard claim that Khashoggi had brought it in a briefcase to Nixon's western White House in San Clemente, and then "forgotten" to take it away.) (14)

Khashoggi's function as an agent of influence for the deep state in the Middle East and elsewhere was sharply limited after 1978, when the United States, by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, outlawed direct payments by U.S. corporations to foreign officials. Henceforward the function of bestowing money and sexual favors on client politicians passed primarily from Khashoggi to the bank he used, the recently created Bank of Credit and Commerce International (BCCI, also a CIA connection). (15) A major shareholder in BCCI was Saudi intelligence chief Kamal Adham, Khashoggi's friend and business partner and (according to the Senate BCCI Report) "the CIA's former principal contact in the Arab Middle East." (16)

### **From the Saudi to the Saudis**

In the Reagan administration, arrangements were made for payments to be returned, through either informal set-ups or secret codicils in the contracts, by the Saudi Arabian government itself. Two successive arms deals, the airborne warning and control system

(AWACS) sale of 1981 and the al-Yamamah one of 1985, considerably escalated the amount of available slush funds.

After a second proposed major U.S. arms sale met enhanced opposition in Congress in 1985 from the Israeli lobby, Saudi Arabia negotiated instead a multibillion pound long-term contract with the United Kingdom—the so-called “al-Yamamah deal.” Once again overpayments for the purchased weapons were siphoned off into a huge slush fund for political payoffs, including “hundreds of millions of pounds to the ex-Saudi ambassador to the United States, Prince Bandar bin Sultan.” (17)

According to Robert Lacey, the payments to Prince Bandar were said to total one billion pounds over more than a decade. (18) The money went through a Saudi Embassy account in the Riggs Bank in Washington. According to Trento, the Embassy’s use of the Riggs Bank dated back to the mid-1970s, when, in his words, “the Saudi royal family had taken over intelligence financing for the United States.” (19) More accurately, the financing was not “for the United States,” but for the supranational deep state, involving both Saudi and American officials.



I believe that these secret funds from Saudi arms deals—first Khashoggi’s from Lockheed, and then Prince Bandar’s from AWACS and al-Yamamah—are the common denominator in all of the major structural deep events that have afflicted America since 1976.

I am referring specifically to

1. The covert U.S. intervention in Afghanistan (which started before the Russian invasion),
2. The 1980 October Surprise, which together with an increase in Saudi oil prices and artificially induced shortages helped assure Reagan’s election and thus give us the Reagan Revolution,
3. Iran-Contra in 1984–1986, and
- 4 . Last but by no means least — 9/11, when two alleged hijackers, Khalid al-Mihdhar and Nawaz al-Hazmi, received monthly payments from a Riggs bank account held by Prince Bandar’s wife.

(The issue of Saudi Embassy funding of at least two and possibly more of the alleged 9/11 hijackers is so sensitive that, in the 800-page Joint Congressional Inquiry Report on 9/11, the [entire 28-page section dealing with Saudi financing](#) was very heavily redacted.) (20)

This limited exposure of the nefarious use of funds generated from Saudi arms contracts has

not created a desire in Washington to limit them. On the contrary, in 2010, the second year of the Obama administration,

The Defense Department . . . notified Congress that it wants to sell \$60 billion worth of advanced aircraft and weapons to Saudi Arabia. The proposed sale, which includes helicopters, fighter jets, radar equipment and satellite-guided bombs, would be the largest arms deal to another country in U.S. history if the sale goes through and all purchases are made. (21)

The sale did go through; only a few congressmen objected. (22) The deep state, it would appear, is alive and well, and impervious to exposures of it.

## Notes

1. Richard Helms, with William Hood, *A Look Over My Shoulder: A Life in the Central Intelligence Agency* (New York: Random House, 2003), 82-83. Cf. Scott, *American War Machine*, 26-28.

2. "In January 1946 Dulles outlined in some detail a reconstruction plan that is one of the earliest notions of what would, a year later, be known as the Marshall Plan" (Srodes, *Allen Dulles: Master of Spies*, 374).

3. Tim Weiner, *Legacy of Ashes: The History of the CIA* (New York: Doubleday, 2007), 28. Cf. Daniel J. Leab, *Orwell Subverted: The CIA and the Filming of Animal Farm* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2007), 18: "For each dollar in aid received, as a top ECA administrator later explained, 'the recipient had to contribute an equal amount in local currency, 95% would be used for Marshall Plan programs, and 5% (the counterpart of the dollars provided) would be used by the U.S. government.' . . . That 5 percent has been estimated to be close to \$200 million a year, much of which Wisner appropriated for OPC."

4. Douglas Valentine, "The French Connection Revisited: The CIA, Irving Brown, and Drug Smuggling as Political Warfare," *Covert Action Quarterly*, <http://www.covertaction.org/content/view/99/75/>

5. Peter Dale Scott, *American War Machine: Deep Politics, the CIA Global Drug Connection, and the Road to Afghanistan* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2010 ), 63-142, 239-53. The Karzai regime in Afghanistan is only the latest of CIA client governments to struggle to maintain itself with support from drug traffickers. Cf. Peter Dale Scott, "Can the US Pacify the Drug-Addicted War in Afghanistan? Opium, the CIA and the Karzai Administration," *The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus*, April 5, 2010, [http://japanfocus.org/-Peter\\_Dale-Scott/3340](http://japanfocus.org/-Peter_Dale-Scott/3340); Ryan Grim, "Karzai Releasing Scores Of Drug Traffickers In Afghanistan, WikiLeaks Cables Show," *Huffington Post*, December 31, 2010, [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/12/27/karzai-releasing-drug-tra\\_n\\_801587.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/12/27/karzai-releasing-drug-tra_n_801587.html).

6. Tim McGurk, *Time*, August 2, 2004; cf. *USA Today*, October 26, 2004.

7. James Risen, *New York Times*, December 11, 2010. Both traffickers were ultimately arrested by DEA officials: Noorzai in 2005, and Khan in 2008. The U.S. probably came to prefer Khan over Noorzai, because he was more closely allied to Ahmed Wali Karzai, another drug trafficker and CIA asset, as well as a central figure in the power apparatus of his brother Hamid Karzai, the U.S. client president of Afghanistan.

8. *Time*, November 29, 1993; Scott, *American War Machine*, 14-15.



9. David E. Kaplan and Alec Dubro, *Yakuza: Japan's Criminal Underworld* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003), 89-90. Cf. Jonathan Marshall, in William O. Walker, III, ed., *Drug control policy: essays in historical and comparative perspective* (University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1992), 108: "Yoshio Kodama's fortune, built of profits from tungsten and opium, established the party that today rules Japan.... Kodama contributed to the pervasive corruption of Japanese politics by steering huge corporate contributions into the coffers of favored LDP members. This pattern culminated in the Lockheed scandal, which revealed that multi-million-dollar payoff by American aerospace firms had swayed key procurement decisions by Japan's national airline and defense establishment and raised the possibility that the CIA had used Kodama and corporate funds to influence Japanese politics. The money-laundering channel used for Lockheed's bribes was favored both by the CIA and international drug traffickers."

10. *San Francisco Chronicle*, October 24, 1983, 22, described a USAF-Lockheed operation in Southeast Asia, "code-named 'Operation Buttercup' that operated out of Norton Air Force Base in California from 1965 to 1972." For the CIA's close involvement in Lockheed payoffs, cf. Anthony Sampson, *The Arms Bazaar* (New York: Viking Press, 1977), 137, 227-8, 238.

11. Anthony Sampson, *The Arms Bazaar* (New York: Viking Press, 1977), 137, 227-8, 238; cf. Thomas Fensch, ed. *The C.I.A. and the U-2 Program: 1954-1974* (The Woodlands, TX: New Century Books, 2001).

12. Anthony Summers with Robbyn Swan, *The Arrogance of Power: The Secret World of Richard Nixon* (New York: Viking, 2000), 283. Cf. Kessler, *The Richest Man in the World*, 171: Khashoggi told the prosecutors "that he churned millions through the tiny [Rebozo] bank to win favor with the president."

13. Investigative reporter Jim Hougan reports the incredulity of congressional investigators that Lockheed was the only large corporation not to have made a contribution to Nixon's 1972 election campaign (Jim Hougan, *Spooks: The Haunting of America: The Private Use of Secret Agents* [New York: William Morrow, 1978], 457-58).

14. Scott, *Road to 9/11*, 35; citing Summers, *Arrogance of Power*, 283; Robert Baer, *Sleeping with the Devil* (New York: Crown, 2003), 43. (Baer reports the year of the briefcase as 1968, not 1972.) Kolb ("unequivocally, and from personal experience") denies the briefcase story (Kolb, *Overworld: The Life and Times of a Reluctant Spy*, 299).

15. Peter Dale Scott, "Deep Events and the CIA's Global Drug Connection," 911truth.org, October 12, 2008, <http://www.911truth.org/deep-events-and-the-cias-global-drug-connection/>; American War Machine, 160-65.

16. "The BCCI Affair," [https://www.fas.org/irp/congress/1992\\_rpt/bcci/11intel.htm](https://www.fas.org/irp/congress/1992_rpt/bcci/11intel.htm). Khashoggi's status had declined, but by no means vanished. As late as 2003, Khashoggi was negotiating with Richard Perle, a member of the Cheney-Rumsfeld clique who at the time was still Chairman of the U.S. Defense Policy Board, to invest considerable Saudi money in Perle's company Trireme (Seymour Hersh, *New Yorker*, 3/17/03).

17. "Saudi prince 'received arms cash'," BBC, June 7, 2007, <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/business/6728773.stm>. It is unclear whether payments continued after 2001, when the UK signed the OECD's Anti-Bribery Convention, making such overpayments illegal.

18. Lacey, Inside the Kingdom, 108

19. Trento, Prelude to Terror, 102.

20. The Report of the Joint Congressional Inquiry into Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, 107th Congress, 2nd Session, S. Rept. 107-351 and H. Rept.

107-792, [http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/search/pagedetails.action?browsePath=107/HRPT/\[700%3b799\]&granuleId=CRPT-107hrpt792&packageId=CRPT-107hrpt792](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/search/pagedetails.action?browsePath=107/HRPT/[700%3b799]&granuleId=CRPT-107hrpt792&packageId=CRPT-107hrpt792), 173ss. Discussion in Ottaway, The King's Messenger, 198-99.

21. Dana Hedgpeth, "Pentagon plans \$60 billion weapons sale to Saudi Arabia," Washington Post, October 21, 2010.

22. The leader of the congressional opposition was Rep. Anthony Weiner of New York. Less than a year later, in 2011, he was forced to resign, after exposure of sexually provocative pictures that he had sent to female admirers on the Internet.

[IMAGE: Poppy Field](#)

[IMAGE: Khashoggi Time Cover](#)

[IMAGE: Prince Bandar](#)

The original source of this article is [Who What Why](#)

Copyright © [Prof Peter Dale Scott](#), [Who What Why](#), 2014

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Prof Peter Dale Scott](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)