

# Twitter Users: A Choice for Elon Musk: Principles or Profit? Losing Money or Losing Face

By [Kim Petersen](#)

Global Research, November 20, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

First published on October 30, 2022

\*\*\*

*In recent developments, Elon Musk has restored Donald Trump's Twitter account after holding of a ridiculous poll "that asked Twitter users to click "yes" or "no" on whether Trump's account should be restored"*

*The "yes" vote won, with 51.8 per cent.*

*Previously, Musk had said Twitter would establish new procedures and a "content moderation council" before making decisions to restore suspended accounts."*

\*\*\*

*Back in April of this year, the world's richest man, **Elon Musk**, stated,*

*"Free speech is the bedrock of a functioning democracy, and Twitter is the digital town square where matters vital to the future of humanity are debated."*

A question: can you declare that you are for freedom of speech/expression and ban, or maintain a ban, on a person from expressing himself in a purportedly [public forum](#) and preserve your integrity? Whether the new owner of Twitter, Musk, steadfastly stands on the principle of freedom of expression looks like it is about to be revealed.

One question is whether the former president **Donald Trump** will be allowed back onto the Twitter platform.

Musk was critical of Twitter's ban of Trump. He called it a "morally bad decision" and "foolish in the extreme."

A section of the corporate sector (obviously, the corporate sector is not a monolith, as Trump and Musk both belong to this sector) is threatening a [boycott of its advertising dollar](#) if Musk allows Trump back on Twitter. This has set the stage for what could turn out to be a showcase of corporate infighting.

Does one section of the corpocracy predominate? Politically, the answer would seem to be no. In the United States, the Democrats and Republicans represent two wings of the corpocracy that alternate between them in forming the government, with, what many would contend, is minimal separation politically.

*USA Today*, the newspaper with the [largest circulation](#) in the US, [pointed the finger](#) at Trump as the instigator behind the riot on Capitol Hill that led to him being banned from Twitter. This is an allegation — borne out by [the panoply of media takes](#) on the Capitol Hill riot and who is to blame. Allegations, however, do not carry the imprimatur of certitude.

While supporting the principle of freedom of speech/expression is fine in the abstract, it should not be an absolute. For instance, the 2004 Halifax Symposium on Media and Disinformation participants unanimously held disinformation to be a [crime against humanity and a crime against peace](#). In a moral world, lies that cause death and suffering must not be condoned or given a deceitful, argumentative free pass.

**So the billionaire Musk seemingly finds himself on the horns of a dilemma: losing money or losing face. Musk has a choice.** He can give in to corporate blackmail and uphold the ban on Trump and preserve advertising revenue for Twitter or he can reinstate Trump and, at least on this measure, maintain his integrity.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

***Kim Petersen** is an independent writer and former co-editor of the *Dissident Voice* newsletter. He can be emailed at: kimohp at [gmail.com](#). He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from [YugaTech](#)*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Kim Petersen](#), Global Research, 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kim Petersen](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)