

# The Nancy Pelosi Trip to Taiwan: “Not a Good Idea” according to the Pentagon. Is Joe Biden Intent upon Triggering a “Military Clash” in the Taiwan Strait?

Is a China Crisis Now Inevitable?

By [Daniel Davis](#)

Global Research, July 29, 2022

[19FortyFive](#) 28 July 2022

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*U.S. President Joe Biden and Chinese President [Xi Jinping](#) held their fifth call today since Biden’s inauguration. According to the [White House readout](#) of the conversation, on the thorny issue of House Speaker Nancy Pelosi’s reported trip to [Taiwan](#), Biden told Xi simply “that the United States policy has not changed.” Xi, in contrast, was [direct and blunt](#): “Those who play with fire will perish by it. It is hoped that the US will be clear-eyed about this,” with [Beijing’s readout](#) adding China should be “prepared for the worst scenario, especially military preparation,” as the best way to deter the U.S.*

What happens in August will have much of the world’s attention: will Rep. Nancy Pelosi defer to the [Pentagon’s admonition](#) that this is “not a good idea” and cancel the trip—or ignore Xi’s threats and visit Taiwan, potentially sparking a military clash in the Taiwan Strait? The stakes couldn’t be higher.

As the United States and China careen towards a potential showdown over Pelosi’s proposed visit, much of establishment Washington wants to resolve the issue with its knee-jerk favorite tool: flexing military muscles. Over-reliance on coercion as the lead tool in international relations has served U.S. interests poorly over the past two decades.

Especially at a time of economic turmoil in much of the Western world – along with the persistent threat of the war between Ukraine and Russia [spilling over](#) the borders into NATO territory – the United States cannot afford to see its already-tense relationship with China devolve into a potential military clash. Yet hawks in both Washington and Beijing seem intent on pushing the envelope of such risk. Speaker Pelosi’s potential visit to Taipei is the latest flashpoint, but far from the only one by U.S. and allied leaders in recent months.

Over the past number of months, a raft of former high-ranking U.S. officials has visited

Taiwan. Former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Adm. Mike Mullen, along with former Deputy National Security Advisor and Undersecretary of Defense Meghan O'Sullivan and Michelle Flournoy, went at [Biden's behest](#) in February. In March, former Secretary of State Mike Pompeo [made the trip](#), and earlier this month, former Secretary of Defense [Mike Esper](#) did the same. On Thursday, a rare [high-level visit](#) from Japanese lawmakers and two former ministers of defense met with the Taiwanese president discussing regional security.

China, which has long claimed it views such high-level visits as contributing to "separatist" elements on Taiwan in seeking independence, has reacted with unusually strong vitriol against reports that Pelosi may visit Taipei. Wang Wenbin, Chinese Foreign Ministry spokesperson, [said](#) if Pelosi goes through with the visit, "China will take strong countermeasures. We mean what we say." Col. Tan Kefei, spokesperson for China's Ministry of National Defense, [ominously warned](#) that "the Chinese military will never sit idle (if Pelosi visits Taiwan) and will take strong measures to thwart any external interference."

Perhaps strongest of all, however, Hu Xijin, long-time editor of the semi-official mouthpiece of the Chinese Communist Party, the Global Times, [tweeted](#) "the mainland's response to Pelosi's visit will be unprecedented and will involve shocking military response." Without question, the United States should not form its foreign policy based on whether another country does or doesn't like our actions.

But Washington very much *must* base its actions on policies that have the best chance to produce positive outcomes for our country, strengthening U.S. national security and economic opportunity. Needlessly poking China on an emotional issue that could result in military action against our nation, however, is unwise and must be avoided. Unfortunately, the way this situation has unfolded has put both Pelosi and the United States in a tough situation - one that must be navigated with skill and wisdom.

Because Beijing's demarches have been so firm and public, Pelosi cannot easily back out of the trip. Already she has significant support from two notable Republicans, [Pompeo](#) and [Sen. Tom Cotton](#), both of whom have strongly and publicly advised her to make the trip. Pelosi will almost certainly face public censure from the right if she is seen as "backing down" to Chinese bullying. Yet, if she does proceed with the visit, the U.S. and Taiwan face the risk that China might make good on its threats and take some sort of military action. Wars have been started over much less.

Recent satellite imagery has shown increasing Chinese air force activity at the Longtian Airbase - a seven minute flight to Taiwan - featuring a tarmac [that was](#) "packed with Flanker-series fighter jets, Russian-made Su-27s and the Chinese variants of J-11 or J-16 series."

Meanwhile, the United States has reportedly begun military movement of its own, dispatching an [aircraft carrier group](#) to the South China Sea. Defense officials told the [Associated Press](#) that if Pelosi makes the trip to Taiwan, the Pentagon would also dispatch "fighter jets, ships, surveillance assets and other military systems would likely be used to provide overlapping rings of protection for her flight to Taiwan." Owing to such volatile conditions in and around the Taiwan Straits, the wisest thing the Administration and Pelosi could do is to scotch the trip.

Face-saving ways can be found - such as "postponing the trip until a later time" - but this

specific trip should be canceled for one overriding reason: the risk to American security represented by Pelosi's visit is in no way commensurate with any potential benefit to the U.S. In fact, there is virtually *no* upside for America with this visit. As pointed out above, there has been no shortage of official and semi-official contacts between Washington and Taipei recently that no additional benefit could accrue to the U.S. by adding the Speaker of the House to the list.

But her visit could result in a belligerent China taking rash action that could change the security status quo in the Taiwan Straits, putting both American and Taiwanese interests in danger. The risk simply isn't worth it.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Now a 1945 Contributing Editor, [Daniel L. Davis](#) is a Senior Fellow for Defense Priorities and a former Lt. Col. in the U.S. Army who deployed into combat zones four times. He is the author of "[The Eleventh Hour in 2020 America](#)." Follow him [@DaniellDavis](#)*

*Featured image is from OneWorld*

The original source of this article is [19FortyFive](#)  
Copyright © [Daniel Davis](#), [19FortyFive](#), 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Daniel Davis](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)