

Impacts of 5G Wireless Radiation on Children's Health: CHD Law Suit against FCC

Children's Health Defense Principal Brief in Landmark Case Against FCC on 5G and Wireless Harms: Submitted!

By [Children's Health Defense](#)

Global Research, August 07, 2020

[Children's Health Defense](#) 31 July 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

What we put in our bodies and our children's developing bodies is the most important and most personal choice we make as human beings. But when it comes to damaging levels of radiation, that right to choose has been stolen from us. The Children's Health Defense (CHD) mission to protect children from toxic exposure reached a major milestone on Wednesday, July, 29 with the [filing of the principal brief](#) in our case against the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. Our case also seeks justice for parents of kids who have suffered health impacts from wireless radiation.

The case challenges the [agency's refusal to review](#) its 25-year-old obsolete wireless "health guidelines" and adopt scientific, biologically based radio frequency emissions rules that adequately protect public health. **Robert F. Kennedy Jr.**, CHD's chairman and chief legal counsel, said,

"We are committed to making our government accountable, and giving a voice to injured children, their parents and doctors, and the scientists who have spoken out for justice on this issue." [Read our full press release.](#)

The FCC guidelines ignore human biology and are based on an obsolete, false and disproven scientific assumption that wireless radiation is not harmful unless causing thermal change in tissue. But CHD's brief proves that scientific and human evidence of harm from wireless and 5G well below thermal levels was presented to the FCC, but the agency officially closed the docket on the issue last year and ignored all submitted reports. Therefore, CHD contends the FCC's decision not to review the "health guidelines" is capricious, arbitrary, not evidence-based and an abuse of discretion.

"The most important evidence is the human evidence," says **Dafna Tachover**, director of 5G and Wireless Harms Project at Children's Health Defense. "Those who have been injured and died because of the FCC's abuse of power, have been invisible to the FCC like the wireless radiation that harms them. The purpose of our case is to make this invisible problem visible." Read Dafna Tachover's [Affidavit on behalf of the CHD](#)

CHD's principal brief cites thousands of studies and medical reports, including those conducted by U.S. government agencies, and references hundreds of testimonials by people who have been injured. The brief shows [clear evidence of harm](#) from wireless radiation from

exposure to wireless radiation sources such as cell phones, Wi-Fi and cell towers at levels well below current FCC emission limits. It also outlines scientifically the established mechanisms of harm from wireless radiation.

The petitioners in the case include parents of children injured, including a mother whose son died from a brain tumor from cell phones and cell tower exposure, **Virginia Farver**, who stated,

“If the FCC does its job now perhaps other mothers will not experience the pain and suffering I face every day. But the public is being told there is no evidence of harm, that these devices are safe.”

When a Petitioner in this case, **Michele Hertz**, developed Microwave Sickness and contacted the FCC to seek help, the man she spoke to responded,

“We don’t deal with humans, only frequencies.” and hung up.

Petitioners in this case also include physicians who see the epidemic of sickness in their clinics daily, like **Dr. David Carpenter**, a renowned professor, scientist and public health expert, who reports,

“The scientific consensus is that the FCC guidelines have no validity and are causing widespread illness and death,” Prof. David Carpenter is the director of the Institute for Health and Environment at the University of Albany and co-editor of the [BioInitiative Report](#), the most extensive review of the science on wireless health impacts written by 29 of the world’s leading scientists.

The outdated and false guidelines have enabled the uncontrolled proliferation of wireless technology, including 5G. The fast-tracked deployment of 5G will exponentially increase the forced exposure to this proven harmful radiation with 800,000 more cell towers, 50 billion devices that will be wirelessly interconnected and 1,000,000 on the ground antennas to communicate with [50,000 satellites](#).

In its decision not to review the guidelines, the FCC also astoundingly dismissed the results of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s funded [National Toxicology Program](#) study, a \$30 million, 10-year study that was supposed to give the American people the final answer as to whether or not wireless is harmful. This study, the largest of its kind, found “[clear evidence](#)” of cancer and [DNA damage](#). The results should have put to rest the notion that [non-ionizing radiation cannot break DNA](#). The World Health Organization [already classified](#) wireless radiation as a “possible” (2B) carcinogen in 2011. The National Toxicology Program study is the “missing link” needed to classify it as a human carcinogen. Courts, including [Italy’s Supreme Court](#), already determined that cell phones cause brain tumors.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [Children's Health Defense](#)
Copyright © [Children's Health Defense](#), [Children's Health Defense](#), 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Children's
Health Defense](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca