

## Chief DHS Privacy Officer: Government Called Privacy Office "Terrorists"

By <u>Washington's Blog</u> Global Research, October 02, 2013 <u>Washington's Blog</u> Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Police State & Civil</u> <u>Rights</u>

## DHS Pretends It Still Has Privacy Officers ... When They've All Quit In Disgust

Wall Street Journal reporter Jennifer Valentino tweets:

Former DHS Privacy Officer Mary Ellen Callahan: DHS Privacy Office was accused monthly of being "terrorists" by DHS, IC

"DHS" stands for the Department of Homeland Security; "IC" stands for the <u>intelligence</u> <u>community</u>.

This is not an isolated or melodramatic statement. Rather, it is how the homeland security and intelligence communities look at privacy.

For example, former NSA and CIA boss Michael Hayden <u>compared privacy advocates to</u> <u>terrorists</u>:

"If and when our government grabs Edward Snowden, and brings him back here to the United States for trial, what does this group do?" said retired <u>air</u> <u>force</u> general Michael Hayden, who from 1999 to 2009 ran the NSA and then the CIA, referring to "nihilists, anarchists, activists, Lulzsec, Anonymous, twentysomethings who haven't talked to the opposite sex in five or six years".

"They may want to come after the US government, but frankly, you know, the dot-mil stuff is about the hardest target in the United States," Hayden said, using a shorthand for US military networks. "So if they can't create great harm to dot-mil, who are they going after? Who for them are the World Trade Centers? The World Trade Centers, as they were for al-Qaida."

Hayden provided his speculation during a speech on cybersecurity to a Washington group, the Bipartisan Policy Center, in which he confessed to being deliberately provocative.

Similarly, Slate reported last year:

If you've ever cared about privacy while using the Internet in public, you might be a terrorist. At least that's the message from the FBI and Justice Department's Communities Against Terrorism initiative. The project created flyers to help employees at several types of businesses—including <u>military</u> <u>surplus</u> stores, financial institutions, and even tattoo shops—recognize "warning signs" of terrorism or extremism. An admirable goal, perhaps, but the execution is flawed—particularly for the flyers intended to help suss out terrorists using Internet cafes.

The flyers haven't been publicly available online, but Public Intelligence, a project promoting the right to access information, <u>collected 25 documents</u> that it found elsewhere on the Web. As Public Intelligence <u>puts it</u>, "Do you like online privacy? You may be a terrorist."

Sadly, in its paranoid bunker mentality, the government considers just about all <u>Americans</u> to be terrorists.

Postscript (Irony Alert): University of Washington Law School professor <u>Ryan Calo</u> points out an <u>amusing irony</u> in this story:

Former DHS chief privacy officer says # of privacy officers at NSA, including the chief privacy officer, was zero.

(Calo was reporting on a statement made by former chief DHS Privacy Officer Mary Ellen Callahan at a<u>recent talk</u>.)

Tech Dirt <u>explains</u>:

<u>Mary Ellen Callahan</u> was the Chief Privacy Officer (and the Chief Freedom of Information Act Officer) at the Department of Homeland Security from 2009 until 2012 (though, don't tell DHS, since they still have <u>a page on their website</u> <u>about her</u> claiming she still has that role — even though she <u>left over a year</u> <u>ago</u>).

In other words, the DHS considers government privacy officers to be terrorists, doesn't have any ... and yet – in blatant propaganda – *pretends* it does.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2013

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's Blog

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca