

# Ceasefire in Eastern Ukraine: What Role for the United Nations?

By [Jan Oberg](#)

Global Research, September 03, 2014

[Transnational Foundation for Peace & Future Research](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [United Nations](#), [US NATO War](#)  
[Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

*As announced just a few minutes ago, the Ukrainian and Russian president have agreed to what the first reports call a permanent ceasefire in Eastern Ukraine.*

*That's indeed the best piece of news from that region.*

*It places the NATO Summit in Wales this Thursday and Friday in a new light.*

The ceasefire must be solidified

However, an agreement over a phone is only a beginning; the devil is in the detail. Secondly, there is no mention - yet - as to whether the East Ukrainian fighters are on board with this agreement.

Time for UN peacekeeping

Third, a credible ceasefire should be monitored by neutral observers and competent people. The only ones who can do that is the UN peace-keepers - perhaps with some staff also from Russia and Ukraine.

The UN should have been present months ago - as TFF suggested even before the shooting started: Military 'blue helmets', civil police and civil affairs - thousands of them. No ceasefire will hold unless there is a rapid international deployment of impartial staff.

The proxy character of war in and about Ukraine *must* end.

In addition, calm in Eastern Ukraine must be seen as a precondition for political reforms in Kiev and discussions about the future structure and role of Ukraine. No coup-based government of the present type can lead the way to true democracy.

If Russia is militarily involved in Ukraine, it must withdraw

Fourth - there have been numerous reports about various kinds of Russian military presence inside Ukraine, some more credible than others.

All wars on the ground are accompanied by information wars and Psychological Operations, PSYOPs and planted stories - few media having any filters.

It would not be surprising if there is a Russian military presence inside Ukraine, given the

history and structure of this conflict since 1989 and during this year.

On the famous other hand, a [heavy-weight intelligence expertise](#) calls those reports 'dubious' and reminds us of the lies we were told before the invasion of Iraq.

It's obvious that *if* there is such a presence it must be withdrawn.

[GR Editor's Note: There is no evidence of Russian forces under Russian command in East Ukraine. A ceasefire agreement must be negotiated with the Donetsk and Lugansk governments ]

NATO should keep out

Fifth - a ceasefire agreed between Ukraine and Russia makes every attempt by NATO to continue interfering in Ukraine immoral.

The U.S., NATO and EU countries carry at least 80% of the responsibility for the Ukraine crisis due to the foreign provoked regime change in Kiev and the EU ultimatum to Ukraine about *either* joining the EU or the eastern Customs Union. And longterm - because of NATOs expansion from the Baltic republics to Tblisi.

These policies lack statesmanship, are reckless in the perspective of promises given to Gorbachev when the old Cold War ended and can be seen only as provocative in the eyes of Russia.

News has it that the US and/or NATO will:

- a) Set up a super 'spearhead' reaction force;
- b) pre-position weapons in various East European countries;
- c) deploy 1100 troops from 13 states including the US, England, Canada, Georgia, Germany within the next two weeks;
- d) conduct military exercises in Ukraine - Rapid Trident later this month;
- e) fighter planes have already been moved closer to Russia;
- f) various countries have agreed to let NATO planes pass over, and Sweden and Finland have signed host nation support agreements;
- g) general reinforcements by NATO all around Ukraine
- h) Ukraine has been promised military aid
- i) NATO's S-G Fogh Rasmussen - a man at least the Danes know are ready to lie to get a war going - keep on appealing to Ukraine about NATO membership.

All this is - without a doubt - counterproductive, escalatory steps that signals anything but a will to respect other peoples interests and create peace.

NATO should therefore discuss how to contribute to real peace in this situation at its summit Thursday and Friday and scrap what has been planned already according to media and

intelligence reports.

The original source of this article is [Transnational Foundation for Peace & Future Research](#)  
Copyright © [Jan Oberg](#), [Transnational Foundation for Peace & Future Research](#), 2014

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jan Oberg](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)