

Capitol Hill Violence: America's Reichstag Fire?

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, January 08, 2021

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>

GR Editor's Note

With regard to the coverage of the Wednesday Capitol Event, Global Research will be publishing opposing and contradictory points of view by several of our authors.

We are dealing with a complex and far-reaching political process. We are at the crossroads of a major political, economic and social crisis which has bearing on the future of the United States. This crisis must be the object of debate and analysis rather than confrontation of opposing political narratives.

A week before 1933 German general elections, a strategically timed Reichstag fire was falsely blamed on communists.

In response to what happened, President Paul von Hindenburg signed an emergency decree.

Civil liberties were suspended. Weimar Republic democracy died, and Hitler assumed power after enough Nazis were elected to assure it.

As the saying goes, the rest is history.

The fullness of time will tell what's unclear now about Wednesday's Capitol Hill violence while Congress was debating whether or not to certify November's stolen election for Biden/Harris over Trump.

Make no mistake. The evidence showed that he won. Dem challengers lost, but things didn't turn out that way.

Was Wednesday's Capitol Hill riot an orchestrated scheme to undermine congressional debate to elevate Biden/Harris to power, case closed?

Was what happened Wednesday an American Reichstag fire — a false flag — or an incident staged by over-the-top Trump supporters?

Note the unacceptable aftermath so far.

Twitter locked Trump's account for 12 hours, threatening permanent blockage if his "offending" tweets aren't removed.

Its unprecedented action against a head of state — perhaps urged by hostile-to-Trump dark

forces — is a flagrant First Amendment right to free expression breach, without which all other rights are threatened.

Voltaire understood, saying: "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it."

Will the aftermath of what happened on Capitol Hill Wednesday one day be remembered as the beginning of the end of speech, media and academic freedom in the US?

What Trump tweets did Twitter consider offensive and remove? Here they are, saying:

"I know how you feel, but go home and go home in peace. I know you're in pain. I know you're hurt."

"We had an election that was stolen from us."

"It was a landslide election, and everyone knows it, especially the other side."

"But you have to go home now. We have to have peace. We have to have law and order."

"We have to respect our great people in law and order. We don't want anybody hurt."

"These are the things and events that happen when a sacred landslide election victory is so unceremoniously & viciously stripped away from great patriots who have been badly & unfairly treated for so long."

"Go home with love & in peace. Remember this day forever!"

Facebook acted in unison with Twitter, removing Trump's video and blocking him from posting for 24 hours — based on a Big Lie, claiming:

It was for "inciting violence" — polar opposite what Trump said in a videotaped message and tweets.

Instagram — owned by Facebook — responded the same way, instituting a 24-hour ban on postings by Trump.

Three of America's most popular social media acted in cahoots to unconstitutionally silence Trump short-term, perhaps with longer-term aims in mind for others.

The anti-Trump NYT falsely accused him of "publish(ing) a string of inaccurate and inflammatory messages on a day of violence in the nation's capital."

Calls are growing to ban Trump from tweeting permanently. Notably he has 88.7 million followers.

With two weeks remaining in his tenure, over two dozen undemocratic Dems on Wednesday called for impeaching him again for reasons as unjustifiable as a year ago December.

Rep. Illhan Omar tweeted:

"Donald J. Trump should be impeached by the House of Representatives & removed from office by the United States Senate."

"I am drawing up articles of impeachment."

"Donald J. Trump should be impeached by the House of Representatives & removed from office by the United States Senate."

"We can't allow him to remain in office. It's a matter of preserving our republic and we need to fulfill our oath (sic)."

Did she unwittingly call for destroying the republic to save it?

Along with Omar, the following House Dems also support impeachment:

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, Ayanna Pressley, Rashida Tlaib, Kai Kahele, David Cicilline, Seth Moulton, Jamaal Bowman, Mark Takano, Mondaire Jones, Bonnie Coleman, Earl Blumenauer, Steve Cohen, Pramila Jayapal, and Jennifer Wexton.

Dem **Reps. Ted Lieu, Mark Pocan**, and others called for invoking the 25th Amendment that states:

"In case of the removal of the President from office or of his death or resignation, the Vice President shall become President."

The Constitution's Article II, Section 4 states that "(t)he President, Vice President and all civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors."

Were Trump's Wednesday tweets and video message against Capitol Hill violence an impeachable offense?

Clearly not! Will he be impeached again with days remaining in his term and become the first sitting US president to be removed from office this way?

John Adams once said that impeaching and removing a US president from office would cause a national convulsion.

Trump impeachment 2.0, if occurs, is highly likely to fail like the year-ago attempt for invented reasons.

What's most important is the threat of where things may be heading.

Already an increasingly totalitarian police state, will full-blown tyranny follow?

Challenging a US president's constitutionally guaranteed right of free expression threatens it for all Americans.

Will speech, media, and academic free expression that US ruling authorities find offensive henceforth be declared unlawful?

According to the Constitution, publicly stated views on all issues aren't impeachable

offenses — no matter how extreme, offensive or different from most others in government.

Will that standard be abolished ahead?

Were pro-Trump supporters on Capitol Hill Wednesday infiltrated by disruptive rioters to blame Trump for what happened, smoothing the was for Biden/Harris to assume power unobstructed by a legitimate GOP congressional challenge?

In response to what happened, establishment media blamed Trump and his supporters for attempting to destroy American values.

Unverified reports suggested that anti-Trump elements infiltrated Capitol Hill to incite violence for this purpose.

According to Real Clear Investigations reporter Paul Sperry, an unnamed former FBI special agent texted him to say that at least one "bus load" of anti-Trump elements were sent to Capitol Hill Wednesday as part of a planned false flag that unfolded.

One America News correspondent Jack Posobiec reported what he called eyewitness accounts of anti-government chants by elements, inciting the crowd of at least largely nonviolent Trump supporters.

False flags are a US tradition since the mid-19th century.

9/11 was the mother of them all until events of last year — what I call 9/11 2.0.

Seasonal flu/influenza renamed covid last year and what followed was responsible for economic collapse — the Greatest US Main Street Depression.

It caused unprecedented unemployment, under-employment, food insecurity, hunger, and overall deprivation with no end of it in prospect.

It's part of a new millennium New World Order Great Reset, aiming to create ruler-serf societies in the US and worldwide if successful.

Trump apparantly was considered an obstacle to what dark forces have in mind so he had to go.

What may have been orchestrated Capitol Hill violence appears to have been the coup de grace to his tenure.

Anti-Trump NYT editors blamed him for Wednesday's violence.

They falsely accused him of "incit(ing) his followers (sic)," adding:

"There must be consequences (sic)...(He) needs to be held accountable (sic) — through impeachment proceedings or criminal prosecution (sic)."

The Times and other hostile-to-Trump media — along with Dems — ignored the message his tweets and video urging nonviolence, reinventing his remarks.

After Dems Raphael Warnock and Jon Ossoff were declared winners of Georgia's US Senate run-off elections — giving the party White House, Senate and House control later this month,

Biden said the following:

Wednesday's Capitol Hill violence "borders on sedition (sic)...It's not protest (sic). It's insurrection (sic)" — demand(ing) (Trump) end" what he didn't incite.

What may have been orchestrated violence on Wednesday perhaps indeed was a coup de grace to make Trump a one-term president.

As of now, it's virtually certain — even though he legitimately won. Biden/Harris lost.

Yet on January 20, he'll return to private life after they're illegitimately inaugurated to replace him.

It's further evidence of fantasy US democracy, never the real thing from inception.

A Final Comment

Overnight, Election 2020 officially ended after Congress "certified" Biden/Harris' win (sic).

Over half of Republicans joined with Dems.

Events on Capitol Hill Wednesday shifted uncertainty to there never was any doubt.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author **Stephen Lendman** lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from Massoud Nayeri

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Stephen**

Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca