

Canada's 'Liberals' Have a Disturbing Imperial Streak, Express Support for Regime Change in Venezuela

By [Prof. Vijay Prashad](#)

Global Research, June 11, 2019
[Portside](#) 4 June 2019

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Military](#) and [WMD](#)

*Canada's embassy in Venezuela will—at the end of this month—close. The spur for this closure is an open attempt by Canada's **Justin Trudeau** to overthrow Venezuela's **Nicolás Maduro**. Canada is one of the [leaders](#) of the Lima Group, a network of countries that came together in 2017 with the express purpose of regime change in Venezuela. Canada's diplomatic corps has played the role of facilitator for the Venezuelan opposition leader **Juan Guaidó**. Trudeau and Guaidó speak regularly. Their plot against Venezuela thickens.*

The Canadian government [held](#) the meeting of the Lima Group this year. It helped organize the speaking tours of Venezuelan opposition figures. But, most controversially, Canada's ambassador to Venezuela—**Ben Rowsell**—held an annual dinner and delivered a [human rights award](#) to people who amplified the voices of those opposed to the Bolivarian Revolution.

“The tradition here,” Rowsell [said](#) sanctimoniously, “is that Canada believes in the principles of human rights and democracy and takes pragmatic measures on the ground to unblock political situations.”

Unblock political situations is a uniquely Canadian way of saying promoting regime change.

Venezuela has been—as the most recent [dossier](#) from Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research argues—the target of a hybrid war. Canada has not been a bystander in this hybrid war, but it has functioned to give the entire maneuver the sheen of Canadian liberalism.

Canadian Weapons

Countries work hard to protect and promote their self-image. No state likes to be associated—for instance—with arms sales. Sweden, which gives out the Nobel Peace Prize, and Switzerland, which promotes itself as a neutral country, are leading sellers of arms—both in the [top twenty list](#). Canada sells fewer arms than Switzerland and Sweden, but the places that it sells arms to should raise eyebrows.

For all the talk of “human rights” and for all the hoopla about the human rights award from the Canadian embassy in Caracas, it is Canada's Justin Trudeau who championed a \$15 billion arms deal with Saudi Arabia despite the many criticisms of the Saudi war on Yemen. It is one thing to talk about human rights. It is another to live by that credo. Canada does

not.

The [Canada-Saudi arms deal](#) did not take place in another century. It was signed in 2014 and executed this year by the liberal government of Trudeau. The list of arms sold to Saudi Arabia by Canada's General Dynamics Land Systems includes the kind of Canadian-made weapons that Saudi Arabia is already using against the people of Yemen. It is quite one thing for a pompous Canadian Parliament to sanction people associated with the murder of the Saudi journalist Jamal Khashoggi (as they did in November last year) and another to sell arms to a regime that has killed thousands of civilians in a brutal and unending war.

Evidence of the brutality of the Saudi war does not necessarily need to be assembled for the Canadian foreign ministry. In July 2017, Canada's **Foreign Minister Chrystia Freeland** [said](#) that she was "deeply concerned" by the videos of Canadian weaponry used against the Yemeni people.

"If it is found that Canadian exports have been used to commit serious violations of human rights," said the Canadian foreign ministry, then Canada "will take action."

This is a curious standard. Only if *Canadian* weaponry is used to commit violations is this a concern. It is acceptable to sell weaponry to a country that is in the midst of prosecuting an inhumane war.

In June 2018, the Canadian Parliament's Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights produced a key [report](#). Three Canadian senators—a liberal, a conservative and an independent—found that "even though the Government of Canada advocates for the protection of human security abroad, it too often appears willing to compromise its values in order to advance economic and other foreign policy interests." In this report, the senators note that it is more than likely that Canadian weaponry was used by Saudi Arabia against the Bahraini population in 2011 and against the Saudi public. This—the standard developed by Freeland and underscored by the Canadian Senate—did not bother Freeland's department when it eventually provided export licenses.

No need to *unblock* the political situation in Saudi Arabia. No talk of "principles of human rights and democracy" when "Made in Canada" becomes the last phrase seen by a Yemeni child before the lights go out.

Canadian Mining

If you think about Canada, you don't always think about mining companies and their human rights violations.

But these mining companies play a fundamental role in driving much of Canadian foreign policy, notably when it comes to South America, Africa and Southern Asia. Some of the world's largest mining firms—as is noted in this [briefing](#) from Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research—are Canadian. Many of them operate in South America.

From one end of Latin America to another, Canadian mining companies have been involved in scandals upon scandals. The Working Group on Mining and Human Rights in Latin America filed a [report](#) with the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights that is damning. "The

Impact of Canadian Mining in Latin America and Canada's Responsibility" (2014) should have shaped the way the world sees Canada. But it has had almost no impact.

The report notes that "the mining sector plays a fundamental role in the Canadian government's efforts" in Latin America, to the extent of interference in the domestic affairs of a number of countries. In Colombia, Honduras and Peru, the Canadian government drove the drafting of the mining policies.

Anger at Venezuela has got to be understood in terms of Canada's mining interests. In 2007, Barrick Gold's Peter Munk wrote a letter to the Financial Times that summarized the view of the entire Canadian mining sector toward Venezuela. The letter called **Hugo Chávez** a "dangerous dictator" and called for intervention—"let us not give President Chávez a chance to do the same step-by-step transformation of Venezuela." What bothered Munk and his class of mining executives was Venezuela's push against foreign firms that sought to drain the wealth from countries like Venezuela.

Why was Munk so annoyed? Chávez's government had just pushed for foreign companies to surrender their majority control over Venezuela's oil reserves. This bothered Munk, but he was not alone. Canadian embassy officials in Venezuela [told](#) James Rochlin, a professor of the University of British Columbia, that they felt "burned" by Chávez. The Canadian government began to get cozy with Colombia against Venezuela. The roots of the Lima Group go back to the anger of the mining firms with Chávez's desire to use Venezuela's resources for the Venezuelan people. The Canadian mining bosses and the Canadian government wanted the Bolivarian Revolution overthrown so that they could take advantage of Venezuela's resources.

So much for high-minded principles. For those who want to understand Canada's foreign policy, don't spend years studying its human rights statements. Put your hand into the dirt, touch the ore under the soil—that's where Canadian foreign policy is rooted.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was produced by [Globetrotter](#), a project of the Independent Media Institute.

Vijay Prashad is the George and Martha Kellner Chair of South Asian History and Director of International Studies at Trinity College, Hartford, CT. His most recent book, *The Darker Nations: A People's History of the Third World*, won the Muzaffar Ahmad Book Prize for 2009; [Red Star Over the Third World](#) (LeftWord, 2017) and *The Death of the Nation and the Future of the Arab Revolution* (University of California Press, 2016); and the Chief Editor of [LeftWord Books](#).

The original source of this article is [Portside](#)
Copyright © [Prof. Vijay Prashad](#), [Portside](#), 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Prof. Vijay Prashad**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca