

Canada's Foreign Policy: "Copy and Paste" of Washington's War Crimes Agenda

By [Mark Taliano](#)

Global Research, July 04, 2016

Region: [Canada](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

Canada's warmongering Defence Minister, [Harjit Sajjan](#), recently announced an increased Canadian presence in NATO, predicated on the narrative that Russia "annexed the Crimean Peninsula in Ukraine and backed pro-Russian separatist forces in that country's eastern region."

Presumably his "[Assad must go](#)" narrative about Syria is wearing thin. After all, NATO member [Turkey](#) is a training ground for ISIS, a transit point for terrorists entering Syria, and, like the Canadian government, all of NATO, the Gulf monarchies, Wahhabi Saudi Arabia, and apartheid [Israel](#), a staunch supporter of the terrorists; plus, it has also been long established that there are no "[moderates.](#)"

Presumably, too, Sajjan needs to rebrand and recycle the "threat" narrative, and the "NATO are the good guys" meme, so he is now reintroducing Russia as a threat.

Corporate media presstitutes, naturally, are all too willing to go along for the ride, even though reality and solid evidence contradicts the Western narrative.

The "Russia is a threat" narrative may have legs though, since any government that actually opposes terrorism is a perfect scapegoat for Empire: Libya, Iraq, Syria, and the Ukraine all successfully opposed terrorism before being attacked by NATO and its terrorist proxies.

When Russia bombs ISIS positions in Syria, ISIS territory actually decreases, and towns are liberated, as might be expected. When the U.S "bombs ISIS" in Syria, on the other hand, [ISIS territory increases](#), thus revealing barely disguised neo-con ambitions, and exposing the [Big Lie](#).

The re-invigorated "Russia is a threat" meme contradicts all solid evidence about the Ukraine, just as it contradicts all the [lies](#) about Syria, and President Assad.

Either Sajjan is totally ignorant of Ukraine's recent history - which is possible — or he is lying about the Ukraine as well.

Even the Head of [Stratfor](#), George Friedman, publicly admitted that that the crisis in the Ukraine was initiated by "the most blatant coup in history."

Michel Chossudovsky confirms this assessment by explaining in ["Two Year Ago: The U.S. has](#)

[Installed a Neo-Nazi Government in Ukraine](#)” that,

Confirmed by Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, key organizations in the Ukraine including the Neo-Nazi party Svoboda were generously supported by Washington: ‘We have invested more than 5 billion dollars to help Ukraine to achieve these and other goals. ... We will continue to promote Ukraine to the future it deserves.’

A video by St. Pete’s for Peace graphically uncloaks the lies perpetrated by NATO warmongers and their prostitute accomplices.

Crimea, home to Russia’s Black Sea Naval Base - and likely the real target of the coup — exercised its right to self-determination on March 16, 2014, by way of voting in a referendum and opting for independence from the neo-Nazi infested, illegal Kiev government. Russia did not invade or “annex” the peninsula. Western protestations to the contrary ring hollow.

Paul Craig Roberts explains in [“Washington Has Set The World On A Path To War”](#) that

What Washington has made completely obvious is that “self-determination” is a weapon used by Washington in behalf of its agenda. If self-determination advances Washington’s agenda, Washington is for it. If self-determination does not advance Washington’s agenda, Washington is against it.

He argues further that,

Washington claims, falsely, that the referendum cannot be valid unless the entire population of Ukraine votes and agrees with the decision by Crimeans. Note that when Washington stole Kosovo from Serbia, Washington did not let Serbians vote,” and that, “Under the logic of Washington’s UN resolution, large parts of Ukraine are not legitimately part of Ukraine. They have remained parts of Russia, because Russians were not allowed to vote on their transfer to Ukraine. Thus, there is no issue about ‘Russia annexing Crimea,’ because, according to Washington’s logic, Crimea is still a part of Russia.

Needless to say, all of this begs some important questions:

What would happen if the roles were reversed and Russia was trying to impose its military will on land occupied by a NATO military base?

What would happen if Russia placed its missile systems close to the U.S border?

NATO, with its missiles, and its military bases, and its proxy wars, are a threat to Russia, and to all of us. Russia is not the threat.

For the sake of peace and sanity, Canada’s government needs to reacquaint itself with recent historical records, and it needs to reduce, or totally reject its NATO “commitments”.

We are supposed to be a sovereign, self-determining, peaceful nation. Our foreign policy demonstrates otherwise.

Notes

1. <http://www.paulcraigroberts.org/2014/03/16/washington-set-world-path-war-paul-craig-roberts/>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Mark Taliano](#), Global Research, 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mark Taliano](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca