

Canada's Online Harms Act Bill C-63: Life in Prison for Thought Criminals

By [Rick Thomas](#)

Global Research, May 13, 2024

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Wants to Hear From You!](#)

Bill C-63, otherwise known as the Online Harms Act, is the most dangerous piece of legislation ever foisted on Canadians, and possibly the most dangerous piece of legislation currently on the books anywhere in the world.

The Online Harms Act is a counter-intel propaganda offensive orchestrated by Canada's Zionist Deep State, specifically the Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs (CIJA). If this bill is passed, this article will be considered hate speech, punishable by a maximum sentence of life imprisonment in a federal penitentiary.

This bill does not threaten to punish criminals, but those guilty of thought crime.

If [Bill C-63](#) passes, it will empower the Canadian Human Rights Commission to prosecute Canadians for non-criminal hate speech.

The punishment for an offence is up to LIFE IN PRISON:

Hate Crime

Offence motivated by hatred

320.1001 (1) Everyone who commits an offence under this Act or any other Act of Parliament, if the commission of the offence is motivated by hatred based on race, national or ethnic origin, language, colour, religion, sex, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation, or gender identity or expression, [is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for life.](#)

Hate Is the New Political Heresy

Hate has become the buzzword of our times. But hate has taken on entirely new political significance. Hate is now being used as a political weapon by lobby groups to silence, smear and attack their opponents and critics.

As a political and social phenomenon, hate speech is often defined as any form of expression through which speakers intend to vilify, humiliate or incite hatred against a group or a class of persons on the basis of race, religion, skin colour, sexual identity, gender identity, ethnicity, disability, or national origin.

Bill C-63 amends the Criminal Code to define hatred as *“the emotion that involves detestation or vilification”* that is *“stronger than disdain or dislike.”*

Any enlightened individual or society is opposed to hate, but hate is a subjective emotional reaction and it cannot be measured or quantified. We cannot measure the amount of hate in pounds or inches.

People can watch a politician speak and gain entirely different views of the same speech. One person might say it was a marvellously liberating speech, while another might say it was a hateful and undemocratic speech.

It is very dangerous to empower unelected bureaucrats to make subjective assessments of what is or is not hateful, and then to further empower a committee to selectively and arbitrarily imprison people for expressing strong emotion.

Furthermore, Bill C-63 is designed to punish hate speech that *“is likely to foment detestation or vilification of an individual or group of individuals on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination.”* This is also highly subjective. How do we measure whether someone’s online comments are likely to foment hate towards individuals or groups?

Paying Anonymous Informants \$20,000

The Online Harms Act would incentivize prosecution of political dissidents with hard cold cash. If it passes, we will see thousands of Canadians getting prosecuted by this new commission, and with a penalty of up to \$50,000, payable to the Federal Government and \$20,000 payable to the complainant (informant). Complaints can be anonymous and the informants do not have to face the person accused.

You do not have to have the defence of truth, or the other safeguards that you would normally have in criminal proceedings. It will have a huge chilling effect on free speech in Canada.

Digital Thought Police

The Online Harms Act gives the Federal Government new powers to pass censorship regulations to censor speech on social media. Social media companies will be required to remove offensive posts within 24 hours of being ordered to do so by the Digital Safety Commission, or face fines of millions of dollars.


The new Digital Safety Commission will be created, with an [army of bureaucrats](#) to enforce these Federal censorship regulations:

New regulators

The proposal envisioned the creation of a new Digital Safety Commission of Canada to support three bodies that would operationalize, oversee, and enforce the new regime: the Digital Safety Commissioner of Canada, the Digital Recourse Council of Canada, and an Advisory Board. The Digital Safety Commissioner of Canada would administer, oversee, and enforce the new regime requirements. The Digital Recourse Council of Canada would provide people in Canada with independent recourse for the content moderation decisions of regulated entities like social media platforms. Finally, the Advisory Board would provide both the Commissioner and the Recourse Council with expert advice to inform their processes and decision-making, such as advice on emerging industry trends and technologies and content-moderation standards.

Thought Crime Equal to Murder

The penalty for the crime of advocating for genocide is life in prison. There is no way to pretend that this is not targeted at the Pro-Palestine, Anti-Israel protests that have driven millions of people out onto the streets since October 7. University campuses all over the world now have [occupy-style encampments](#).



Clockwise from top:

Columbia University · Harvard University · University of California, Los Angeles · George Washington University · University of California, Berkeley

There are now 140 camps in 45 states in the United States, another 25 universities in Europe and many more throughout the rest of the world. Over [2900 students](#) have been arrested in the USA alone. Police and state troopers have used pepper spray, tasers and tear gas to disperse the protestors. Many [university professors and staff](#) have also been arrested.

The ongoing claim that the protest slogan, "*From the river to the sea,*" is advocating genocide against Israel is the main target of this attempt to silence critics of the massacre in Gaza.



Mary Anne Murray @MurrayMam · May 5

...

[#news24](#)

The call " from the **river to the sea**" is a **genocide** call by Hamas against God's chosen people: Hamas was set up with purpose of destroying **Israel**.

Hamas started the war gory war crimes, **Israel is** defending their country which was destabilized by tunnels under schools! 🙏



Currently, 5 years in prison is the maximum penalty, but the Online Harms Act proposes to change that to life imprisonment. This is a sentence reserved for first degree murderers. Uttering a threat is a punishable offence in Canada and can get you a maximum penalty of up to 5 years imprisonment and/or a fine of up to \$5,000.

This is not carrying out any plans to actually kill someone. It is just making a statement—if you say that a certain group of people should be killed, you could spend up to a lifetime in prison.

This will be the new reality. It will make the Covid fines and arrests look like a walk in the park.

People Guilty of Crimes They Haven't Committed Yet

The Online Harms Act, if it passes, is going to allow people to file a complaint outside of the court system.

If someone fears their next door neighbour might commit a hate speech crime and say something hateful, or anti-semitic, or advocate for genocide; if someone believes their next door neighbour is going to say something bad, they can have their neighbour hauled before the Digital Safety Commission, and their neighbour could be ordered to wear an ankle bracelet and be placed under house arrest, obey a curfew, or be legally prohibited from contacting certain people, from going to certain places, or ordered to turn in their firearms, even if they are legally permitted to own them.

All of these restrictions can be placed on an individual who has not committed any crime. This is a radical departure from centuries of common law protection for all citizens, and more darkly, it would turn Canada into a police state overnight, and Canadians would, for the first time, face a future worse than an average citizen in Stalinist USSR.

A Wonderful Range of Sentences

In a February [interview](#) with Justice Minister Arif Virani the Globe and Mail reported that Virani defended *"a new power in the online harms bill to impose house arrest on someone who is feared to commit a hate crime in the future, even if they have not yet done so already."*

The person could be made to wear an electronic tag, if the attorney-general requests it, or ordered by a judge to remain at home.

"What's really critical is that it gives the judge a wonderful range of sentences. This is not a mandatory minimum of a life sentence, this is just a larger range, including what would be the maximum sentence," Virani said.

If you do not comply with these restrictions, you could spend up to 2 years in prison, without having committed any crime. This is pre-emptive punishment. The implications are truly frightening, and people should contact their MP immediately to urge him or her to vote against this horrific legislation.

The Wizard of Oz Behind the Curtain

The organization behind Bill C-63 is the Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs (CIJA). Shimon Koffler Fogel, the CEO of CIJA, is a [registered consultant](#) to the Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Commons, and he has been a [member](#) of the Round-Table on Global Security under the Department of National Defence. These two groups have enormous influence on the direction and agenda of Canadian foreign policy.

He is also an advisor to the [Minister of National Defence Advisory Panel on Systemic Racism and Discrimination](#) and he acted as a witness for the [House Standing Committee on Procedure and House Affairs](#).

CIJA received \$820,949.15 in [funding](#) from the Government of Canada, the Government of Ontario, and the Ministry of Canadian Heritage in 2022:

Government funding

End date of the last completed financial year: 2022-06-30

Government Institution	Funding Received in Last Financial Year	Funding Expected in Current Financial Year
Canada Revenue Agency (CRA)	\$545,939.15	Yes
Canadian Heritage (PCH)	\$49,210.00	No
Government of Ontario	\$225,800.00	Yes

CIJA received this funding as part of the [Digital Citizen Contribution Program \(DCCP\)](#):

“The objective of the project is to combat online disinformation and hate, specifically, antisemitism and antisemitic conspiracy theories related to COVID-19 where it is spreading: online via social media. Antisemitism cannot be allowed to permeate civil discourse and become mainstream.”

The DCCP has a \$31 million budget over 4 years, and it is offering [successful recipients](#) up to \$380,000 per approved project. The Program may award up to \$1,000,000 in funding for some projects with budgets of over \$1,000,000.

The CIJA openly admit on their [website](#) the extent of their lobbying:

“Over the past several years, CIJA has worked intensively both domestically and internationally to ensure legislation addresses the hateful rhetoric, harassment, and intimidation of Jewish and other vulnerable communities face online, as well as to target those who use the internet to prey on and radicalize individuals for terror.”

CIJA is one of the 7 founding members of the J7 Global Task Force.

[The Large Communities' Task Force Against Antisemitism](#), which was formed in July 2023, in response to increasing rates of antisemitism around the world.

The J7 Global Task Force aligns efforts to combat antisemitism and protect the quality of Jewish life around the world.

J7 founding members are:

- Canada, [Centre for Israel and Jewish Affairs \(CIJA\)](#)
- Argentina, [Delegación de Asociaciones Israelitas Argentinas \(DAIA\)](#)
- Australia, [Executive Council of Australian Jewry \(ECAJ\)](#)
- France, [Conseil Représentatif des Institutions Juives de France \(CRIF\)](#)
- Germany, [Zentralrat de Juden in Deutschland](#) (Central Council of Jews in Germany)
- United Kingdom, [Board of Deputies of British Jews](#)
- United States, [Anti-Defamation League \(ADL\)](#)
- [Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations](#)

Zionist Power

The full extent, scope and influence of Zionist Power in Canada, as well as in the United States, is being brought into sharp focus because of the massacre in Gaza. The invisible hand is being made visible. When the State cracks down on protestors with such a heavy hand, it becomes obvious that the State views the protestors as an existential threat, and secondly, the true nature of the State is made real.

In Ottawa, in 2021, when the Canadian State stampeded over peaceful truckers' right to freedom of speech and assembly, by literally stampeding over them with armed RCMP on horseback, the true nature of Justin Trudeau's regime was revealed to the whole world. Despite his soft appeal, manicured hair and cute socks, it exposed the lizard underbelly of a vicious tyrant.

Zionist Power has maintained its legitimacy for decades due to its loudly proclaimed victimhood during World War II, which the European Zionist aristocracy used to great effect, by eliciting international sympathy for the establishment of a national state in Palestine for the Jewish Europeans.

However, playing the victim card only works if you actually are the victim.

The world sway of public opinion has shifted *against Israel*, due to its wholesale slaughter of over 40,000 Palestinians in Gaza, made even more tragic by the deaths of 15,000 children blown to dust, buried under the rubble and having their bodies parts eviscerated with 2000-pound American-made bombs.

The utter arrogance and genocidal lunacy of the State of Israel has reared its ugly head. It only remains for the citizens of the world to come together, and proclaim "Enough is enough!" and chop off the ugly head.

(My last statement, under the Online Harms Act could get me life in prison because some would claim I am advocating for a literal head chopping. Anyone with a brain knows it is a rhetorical metaphor, and I am advocating for the end of hostilities in Gaza, and the end of Israel's arrogance.)

Shalom שלום and Salaam سلام, Peace on Earth.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Rick Thomas is a musician, activist and the author of [How to Defeat the New World Order](#). For all articles www.freepolitik.com. Social activism: [Freedom House](#). He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Rick Thomas](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Rick Thomas](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca