

# Canada Missing in Action on Israel's Proposed Annexation of the West Bank

By [Michael Lynk](#)

Global Research, May 21, 2020

[The Conversation](#) 20 May 2020

Region: [Canada, Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence, Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

*The leaders of the two of Israel's largest political parties — **Benjamin Netanyahu and Benny Gantz** — [have formed a coalition government](#).*

*In an initial six-month period, the coalition will address only two issues: [fighting COVID-19](#) and annexing significant parts of the West Bank.*

[The annexation agreement was met with swift condemnation](#) by an array of countries and institutions, as well as Israeli human rights activists. In contrast, Canada has developed a debilitating case of diplomatic laryngitis on this issue.

**Josep Borrell**, the High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs, [stated on April 23 that](#):

“... any annexation would constitute a serious violation of international law. The European Union will continue to monitor the situation and its broader implications, and will act accordingly.”

At the UN Security Council, the French ambassador offered [a strong denunciation](#) on the same day:

“It would constitute a blatant violation of international law, which strictly prohibits the acquisition by force of occupied territories. Such steps if implemented would not pass unchallenged and shall not be overlooked in our relationship with Israel.”

The other four European members of the Security Council — the [United Kingdom](#), [Belgium](#), [Germany](#) and [Estonia](#) — also criticized the looming threat of annexation.

## **Ireland, Norway speak up**

[Ireland](#) and [Norway](#), the two countries Canada is competing against for two open United Nations Security Council seats in 2021-22, have both publicly opposed Israel's annexation plans.

Leading Israeli human rights organizations, including [B'Tselem](#) and [Yesh Din](#) — have spoken out against the proposed annexation. A [joint letter](#) by prominent liberal Israelis — including former ambassadors, the former speaker of the Israeli Knesset and prominent writers —

said:

“For too long the world has sufficed with issuing condemnations in response to the government of Israel’s ongoing breach of international law and its human rights violations against Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza Strip.”

But where’s Canada? As a Special Rapporteur for the United Nations Human Rights Council on the situation in the Palestinian territory, I argue that Canada is missing in action.

No public statements against Israel’s annexation proposal have been issued. No planned accountability measures have been floated. No criticism, however mild, has been offered.

In mid-March, **Foreign Minister François-Philippe Champagne** did, however, issue a statement related to illegal annexation. [He marked](#) the sixth anniversary of the Russian annexation of Crimea by saying that:

“Canada unequivocally condemns this violation of Ukraine’s sovereignty and territorial integrity and of international law.”

### **Violation of international law**

The unilateral annexation of territory is [strictly prohibited](#) in international law. This is a centrepiece of the 1945 Charter of the United Nations, and has been consolidated by treaties and resolutions, judicial rulings and scholarly writings ever since.

Indeed, this prohibition has acquired the status of a [jus cogens](#) norm in international law, meaning that it is accepted as a fundamental principle of law by the international community and no exceptions are permitted.

Territorial conquest and annexation are now regarded as intolerable scourges from darker times because they invariably incite devastating wars, political instability, economic ruin, systematic discrimination and widespread human suffering.

Speaking specifically to the five-decade-long Israeli occupation, the UN Security Council has affirmed, on eight occasions since 1967, the principle of “the inadmissibility of the acquisition of territory” by war or force. This principle was cited by the council to condemn as unlawful Israel’s two prior annexations of [East Jerusalem, in 1980](#), and the [Syrian Golan Heights in 1981](#).

When Russia invaded and annexed Crimea in 2014, Canada, along with most of its western allies, swiftly followed their unreserved condemnations [with substantive economic and political counter-measures](#).

Russia was [expelled from the G8](#), import and export bans were imposed for goods manufactured in Crimea, an array of economic sanctions and restrictions were enforced and targeted individuals faced travel bans and asset freezes.

### **Part of Trump’s ‘peace’ plan**

The Israeli annexation of parts of the West Bank is a central feature of U.S. **President**

**Donald Trump**'s so-called [Peace to Prosperity](#) Plan on the Middle East, announced in late January 2020.

In response, the [European Union](#) stated that the plan broke with "internationally agreed parameters," while [Pope Francis](#) warned about the "danger of inequitable solutions."

According to an [open letter](#) from 50 former European prime ministers and foreign ministers:

"The plan envisages a formalization of the current reality in the occupied Palestinian territory, in which two peoples are living side by side without equal rights. Such an outcome has characteristics similar to apartheid — a term we don't use lightly."

[Canada's official response](#) was a vanilla statement by Champagne that would have left no one in the White House unhappy. He said:

"Canada recognizes the urgent need to renew efforts toward a negotiated solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, and will carefully examine the details of the U.S. initiative for the Middle East peace process."

In 2010, Canada [lost its prior bid](#) for a Security Council seat partly because of the Stephen Harper government's supine embrace of Israel.

In 2015, newly elected **Prime Minister Justin Trudeau** announced that "Canada is back" on the world stage and [promised to support](#) a rules-based international order.

### **Same as it ever was under Trudeau**

Yet [under Trudeau](#), Canada has maintained Harper's consistent pro-Israel voting record at the UN General Assembly, and avoided even polite criticism of Israeli behaviour in the occupied Palestinian territory that most other middle powers routinely censure.

In 2018, Canada's Parliament renewed its free-trade agreement with Israel, which continues to allow goods from the illegal Israeli settlements to enter the Canadian market tariff-free, notwithstanding [domestic legislation](#) that designates civilian settlements in occupied territory to be war crimes.

In its current Security Council bid, Canada faces two serious challengers in Norway and Ireland that have solid international reputations, the built-in support of their European neighbours and a principled position on the protracted Israeli occupation of Palestine.

If Canada's campaign for a council seat is once again unsuccessful, its taciturn approach to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will surely have been a contributing factor.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

**Michael Lynk** is Associate Professor of Law at Western University. He was appointed by the United Nations Human Rights Council in March 2016 as the Special Rapporteur for human

*rights in the Occupied Palestinian Territory.*

The original source of this article is [The Conversation](#)  
Copyright © [Michael Lynk](#), [The Conversation](#), 2020

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Michael Lynk](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)