

## Canada 150: The Media's Obscene "Heroization" of Special Ops and the 'Longest Kill Shot'

The Canadian Forces who only "advise and assist" = arm, aim, assassinate, annihilate.

By [El Jones](#)

Global Research, July 02, 2017

[Tony Seed's Weblog](#) 1 July 2017

Region: [Canada](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Militarization and](#)

[WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)

*At the same time Trudeau and the monopoly media are "celebrating" killing people at distances of over three kilometres, the Trudeau Liberals blatantly [deny that we are even involved in combat operations](#). "One wonders what effect that has upon soldiers simultaneously being asked to kill people and then being told that what they are actually doing is 'advising and assisting'."*

Canadian media has been [celebrating](#) the world record for "longest kill shot" reportedly earned by a Canadian sniper in Iraq:

A Canadian sniper working alongside Iraqi forces in their fight against ISIS successfully struck a member of the militant group from a distance of 3,540 metres, Canada's military confirmed Thursday.

The sniper is a member of the elite Joint Task Force 2 special forces unit, but citing operation security the military provided no details about how or when the incident took place.

The Globe and Mail first reported the sniper record Thursday and quoted unnamed military sources who said the kill shot disrupted an ISIS attack on Iraqi security forces.

The shot surpasses the previous record held by a British soldier, who in 2009 shot a Taliban fighter in Afghanistan from a distance of 2,475 metres.



Photo from cbc.ca

This news comes amid [calls for a public inquiry](#) into the murder of Shanna, Brenda, and

Aaliyah by **Lionel Desmond**, and his suicide. Desmond was suffering from PTSD when he killed the women in his family and then took his own life, and [veterans advocates](#) have been critical of the mental health supports available:

**Peter Stoffer** says a judicial inquiry would shed light on the inner workings of many organizations including the Department of National Defence, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Nova Scotia health system, and the mental health care system.

The story about the sniper is clearly a piece of propaganda from military sources intended to boost the prestige of the Canadian military right when Trudeau is [increasing military spending](#) by 70 per cent over the next decade to \$32.7 billion dollars. This new spending particularly emphasizes funding for special forces operations, so the placement of a news story promoting the “elite” nature of Canada’s special forces is hardly coincidence.

As [Tony Seed](#) observes in his blog:

The more than \$30 billion in announced additional military spending over the next decade alone, most of which will be paid to the biggest arms monopolies, will result in the great parasitism of Canada’s economy and social wealth. This figure does not include costs related to future military deployments or any of the government’s subsequent “decisions related to continental defence and NORAD modernization.” Although it is a truism to note how far these sums of money would go towards providing for the people’s well-being, just as significant is the fact that this wealth is directed away from the all-sided development of the economy towards militarism.

Wondering where all the new spending is going to go? Ships, armoured vehicles, fighter jets, drones, etc [#cdnpoli pic.twitter.com/ZJe66iKhqo](#)

— Cormac Mac Sweeney (@cmaconthehill) [June 7, 2017](#)

This story also induces some cognitive dissonance. When “kill shots” are being treated in the national media as though they are simply levels in a video game and not human beings being called upon to kill other human beings, it shouldn’t be any wonder that troops are returning with severe PTSD.

In all the discussion of Lionel Desmond’s PTSD, there was little public discussion of what it is that we are sending troops into war to do. The vague idea that the Canadian public generally holds that we’re engaged in “peacekeeping” allows a distancing of the extreme and horrifying violence of combat, and the terrible things soldiers are called upon to do. There is little willingness to engage with the idea that if people are returning so deeply traumatized, maybe we should be questioning our involvement in military action and not just the mental health services available to veterans.

What happened to the previous record holder for longest sniper kill, **Sergeant Craig Harrison**? He [developed post-traumatic stress disorder after his discharge](#):

Sgt Craig Harrison, who served in the army for 23 years, told ABC news that he felt like he was “hung out to dry,” when he was discharged. “They didn’t even

say thank you," he said.

Over the course of his career, Sgt Harrison completed multiple deployments to Iraq, Afghanistan and the Balkans, the latter of which caused him to develop post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Sgt Harrison said that his nightmares were related to the people he had killed, that "I can smell them, I can see them. Every person who I have taken their life".

That, of course, is conveniently left out of the news coverage of the current record holder.

At the same time Canada is celebrating killing people at distances of over three kilometres, the government is [denying that we are even involved in combat operations](#). One wonders what effect that has upon soldiers simultaneously being asked to kill people and then being told that what they are actually doing is "advising and assisting."

In [this article](#) from 2014, it's suggested that the high rates of suicide and PTSD experienced by troops who served in Afghanistan is also impacted by public attitudes:

It's a vicious cycle as those who go to war feel extra mental stress when they sense their sacrifice is unappreciated, and their cause diminished by post-war indifference.

And it doesn't help when Canadians talk a bold game about "supporting the troops" but don't deliver.

If the public doesn't believe troops are actually engaged in combat, I imagine that makes it more difficult for people returning from war to actually speak about their experiences. If your family and friends believe you were out there "assisting and advising" and have no idea what you actually did, then I imagine it's even harder to try to explain to people why you are so traumatized now.

Meanwhile, spending for war is being increased while Canada buries the violent impacts of war, asking us to cheer on sniper shots like we're watching a Hollywood movie while pretending that war is benign and that our "150 years of being nice" means that we must be peacefully and politely going about the business of war.



So at the same time as we tell [Indigenous women](#) that equality [costs too much](#), we increase military spending. At the same time as we pledge to make more war across the planet, cause more refugees, and impoverish more women and children, we brag about our supposed international reputation for being "[nice and friendly](#)." We talk about the tragedy of the Desmond family, but turn around and rah rah killing in war like it's the same as getting the record for most puppies walking at the same time, or most children jumping through a skipping rope.

And while even talking about things like free community college education or a living wage or assistance levels that allow people some dignity is "[puppies and rainbows](#)," we can spend billions of dollars to stoke global conflict. We treat joining the military like it's a social program that solves issues of poverty or lack of access to education or "behaviour problems in youth," so we can't send mental health counsellors into Black communities, but we can tell Black men just to join up as the path to respectability and stability.

We are [turning women away from domestic violence shelters](#) because we don't fund enough beds, so women have no place to run to. We don't even talk about the lives of women who are shot to death and we bury them a day later and in private, but we have all the space in the world to celebrate "kill shots."

We won't pay for [inquiries](#) into deaths in prisons or deaths in nursing homes or from military PTSD - or pay to make the changes that presumably those inquiries would demand - but we have money for more drones. Austerity tells us there's just no money for housing or for drug treatment centres so I guess people will just have to die in prison un-investigated, but we are bringing democracy and civilization to the rest of the world.

And as we are inundated with celebrations of Canada 150, and told what a peaceful and welcoming country we live in, and how lucky we are to live in such a good country, and how we don't have violence and conflict like other places, and as we erase histories of Indigenous genocide, we publish military propaganda pretending that killing people is some kind of game, but just as long as Canada is on top in the killing game, it's all glorious and free.

**El Jones** is a poet, teacher and activist in Halifax. She writes the *Saturday Morning File* for the [Halifax Examiner](#), in which this article first appeared on June 24, 2017.

All images in this article are from the author unless otherwise stated.

The original source of this article is [Tony Seed's Weblog](#)  
Copyright © [El Jones](#), [Tony Seed's Weblog](#), 2017

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [El Jones](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)