

# Can Trump Legally Pardon Himself? The Constitution's So-called "Take Care Clause"

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, June 05, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

*No constitutional provision prohibits presidential self-pardons.*

*Article II, Section 3 states the president "shall take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed" - the Constitution's so-called Take Care Clause.*

No one is above the law, including US presidents. **They can be indicted for criminal offenses.** The issue of presidential self-pardoning authority is uncharted territory.

Legal scholars disagree on if it's permissible or not. Nothing in US law states it's not.

On June 4, Trump tweeted:

"As has been stated by numerous legal scholars, I have the absolute right to PARDON myself, but why would I do that when I have done nothing wrong?"

"In the meantime, the never ending Witch Hunt, led by 13 very Angry and Conflicted Democrats (& others) continues into the mid-terms!"

According to Law **Professor Jonathan Turley**, Trump can pardon anyone including himself. The Constitution's Article II, Section 2 states

"(t)he President...have Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offenses against the United States, except in Cases of Impeachment."

No constitutional provision or language prohibits presidential self-pardons. It's not protection from impeachment - affecting government office holders.

Pardons concern individuals in or out of government, Turley explained. Under the Constitution, anyone impeached and convicted "shall...be liable and subject to indictment, trial, judgment and punishment, according to law."

Former Justice Department attorney **Samuel Morison** agrees with Turley, saying

"(i)f there are any limits on (pardoning) power, it's got to be in the Constitution. It's nowhere in the Constitution."

Trump's attorney **Rudy Giuliani** said he has self-pardoning power, using it "unthinkable," he added.

Law **Professor Mark Tushnet** said much the same thing. In Federalist No. 74, **Alexander Hamilton** (the first US Treasury Secretary) said “humanity and good policy” require pardoning power to be exclusive presidential authority to be used “scrupulously (with) caution” – not for self-interest.

Law **Professor Andrew Wright** said

“(o)ne of the basic rules is that no man is a judge in his own case. (Trump isn’t) a king.”

Law **Professor Jessica Levinson** explained that the Constitution means what Supreme Court justices decide. In other words, the law of the land is what they say it is. A constitutional amendment would be required to change or override this interpretation.

Ahead of Nixon’s August 1974 resignation, the Justice Department’s Office of Legal Counsel said no one may judge his or her own case, claiming the president cannot pardon himself.

Law **Professor Asha Rangappa** interpreted this to mean that

“(t)he point of the pardon power (is) to bestow mercy on another, not to enrich oneself.”

Law **Professor Jimmy Gurule** said the notion of Trump pardoning himself isn’t the behavior of an innocent man, adding no one is above the law. No one can be criminally culpable with impunity, not even US presidents.

Not according to Law **Professor Susan Block**, saying presidents can self-pardon – but they cannot stop or interfere with an investigation. That would constitute obstruction of justice, an impeachable offense.

Emirta Professor of Law **Marjorie Cohn** called the notion of a presidential self-pardon an unsettled issue.

Yet “the fact that Trump is thinking about such pardons is an indication he is concerned about his own criminal liability,” adding:

“Rather than acting to fulfill his constitutional duty to faithfully execute the laws, Trump is violating or advocating violation of many of them. His law-breaking renders him vulnerable to criminal indictment and perhaps eventually to impeachment.”

Law **Professor Keith Whittington** calls presidential self-pardoning a murky area of law never before tested.

Many legal experts believe self-pardoning is an admission of guilt, risking a constitutional crisis – an issue the framers didn’t address.

A constitutional amendment or Supreme Court ruling would be required to correct the omission.

\*

**Stephen Lendman** is a Research Associate of the CRG, Correspondent of Global Research based in Chicago.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: [stephenlendman.org](http://stephenlendman.org) (Home - Stephen Lendman). Contact at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net).

My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."  
<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com). Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)