

Can Japanese 'Patriot' Missiles Help Kiev Regime?

By <u>Drago Bosnic</u> Global Research, December 24, 2023 Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>Europe</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> <u>WMD</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

For nearly two years, the political West has been spreading all <u>sorts of propaganda</u> <u>nonsense</u> about the Russian military running out of food, fuel, shells, missiles (essentially all types of munitions), etc. Moscow supposedly had to "beg" Iran, China and North Korea for weapons in order to keep its special military operation (SMO) running. And yet, <u>not only has</u> <u>all this been debunked a long time ago</u>, but it turns out the opposite is true. While Moscow is packed with everything it needs, the United States is forced to turn to its vassals and satellite states to keep supplying the Kiev regime with enough weapons. The situation is so <u>bad</u> that their field commanders are allowed to call in artillery support only against large Russian formations, as engaging smaller ones is considered a "waste of shells".

In order to ameliorate its lack of production capacity (the result of decades of outsourcing manufacturing), the political West has to turn to countries such as Japan and South Korea. Tokyo has a sizeable stockpile of all sorts of American missiles, while <u>Seoul is apparently producing more shells than the entire NATO</u>. As Japanese laws severely restrict the possibility of arms sales, Tokyo is now working on setting up a new legal framework that would allow the transfer of air defense missiles to the Neo-Nazi junta. Officially, this policy shift should enable the export of "Patriot" missiles to the US, supposedly to help with Washington DC's shortages. <u>On December 20, local media reported</u> that the Japanese government made the decision under US pressure. Hardly surprising, given the nature of their relations.

Namely, Tokyo has been an American vassal for nearly 80 years now. Given its advanced technological base, many American companies, particularly those from their infamous Military Industrial Complex, have allowed licensed domestic production of their weapons and munitions in Japan. The US is now looking to tap into such a resource in order to help the Kiev regime that was forced to go on the defense in the aftermath of its failed counteroffensive. Various American media claim that the move includes the export of PAC-2

and PAC-3 interceptors. The mainstream propaganda machine admits that this is a significant departure from Tokyo's current laws that prohibit the export of weapons to countries in conflict. Such claims immediately indicate that the actual customer is the Neo-Nazi junta.

In other words, if we know that the US is currently not in conflict with any nation (officially, at least), Japan shouldn't have a problem with exporting its missiles to the belligerent thalassocracy. Obviously, unless the customer is "someone else". Given the losses of "Patriot" SAM (surface-to-air missile) systems in Ukraine, one could wonder why doesn't Tokyo simply send the entire system instead of just interceptors. American sources claim that the existing legal framework allows only the transfer of separate components for equipment produced under a US license, as the export of whole systems is not allowed. However, a much more likely scenario is that Washington DC is simply trying to avoid the possible destruction of the entire Japanese-built "Patriots" in Ukraine.

The Russian military has repeatedly demonstrated the <u>ability to target and destroy supplies</u> of Western weapons with its long-range precision-guided munitions (PGMs). This is a particularly important issue, as the <u>Kiev regime's air defense capabilities have been</u> <u>degraded significantly</u>. Its massive Soviet-era stockpile of SAMs has mostly been exhausted, while <u>the salad of Western systems it got is inferior in both qualitative and quantitative</u> <u>sense</u>. The escalating conflict in the Middle East has only <u>exacerbated this issue</u>, but the Neo-Nazi junta will need to make do with what its NATO overlords provide. However, will this be enough to protect strategically important military infrastructure? Obviously, the question is rhetorical, as several "Patriots" have already been destroyed.

Nonetheless, the mainstream propaganda machine keeps insisting these missiles will make a difference. The Kiev regime is also trying its best to contribute to these myths with regular reports of alleged shootdowns of advanced Russian weapons, <u>including hypersonic missiles</u>. However, the sheer magnitude of panic unleashed among the Neo-Nazi junta forces and their NATO overlords <u>whenever a MiG-31K/I lifts off</u> tells a completely different story. Russia has a plethora of possibilities to saturate an area with strike weapons, be it missiles, drones or decoys that invariably force the Kiev regime troops to expend their dwindling stockpile of air defense missiles. There are zero reasons to think that Japanese-built "Patriot" SAMs will perform better than the US-made ones that were previously destroyed.

After all, they're based on the same flawed technology that has been <u>failing everywhere for</u> <u>over three decades now</u>, be it against Iraq during the (First) Gulf War or against Houthi missiles and drones targeting Saudi Arabia. The system is so bad that NATO member Turkey chose the Russian-built S-400 over the "Patriot". It should be noted that the export version of this system is less capable than the one used by the Russian military. Ankara still opted for it, despite the threat of being expelled from the F-35 program, although this <u>could be</u> <u>considered a blessing of sorts</u>, given that this extremely overhyped US fighter jet is <u>actually</u> an even worse failure than the "Patriot". Either way, the Kiev regime will most likely get these missiles, <u>while the country</u> and whatever's left of its military is <u>failing apart</u>.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles. This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>Drago Bosnic</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca