

Cambridge Academics Have Just Won an Important Battle for Free Speech

By [Nick Cohen](#)

Global Research, December 14, 2020

[The Spectator](#) 10 December 2020

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Academics at Cambridge won a cheering victory for free speech today when they voted by an overwhelming majority to reject plans from the vice-chancellor to change the rules governing debate at the university.

They rejected the university's proposals to insist [that](#) students and staff be 'respectful' of opposing views. They decided, instead, that the rules should say students and staff must 'tolerate' opposition. The [result](#) was as close to conclusive as you can get. Only 162 academics voted in favour of the university's plan, while 1316 voted in favour of the change. (A further 208 academics wanted neither.)

As I explained in [The Spectator](#) last week, the distinction between respect and tolerance goes to the heart of today's raging debates on free speech. To tolerate an opponent is to refrain from punishing him or her for their views. You remain free to offend and challenge them. You most certainly have no obligation to respect ideas you regard as ignorant or dangerous or both. 'Respect,' by contrast, is a slippery concept that should set off alarm bells. Respect can be hard earned and freely given. Yet gangsters also demand it at the point of a gun. What version of the word did Cambridge mean when it said staff and students must 'respect' differing opinions?

Read full article [here](#).

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Nick Cohen is a columnist for the Observer and author of [What's Left and You Can't Read This Book](#).

The original source of this article is [The Spectator](#)

Copyright © [Nick Cohen](#), [The Spectator](#), 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Nick Cohen](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca