

## California: Bay Area Population to be Sprayed with New Unregistered Pesticide

By [Barbara H. Peterson](#)

Global Research, April 03, 2008

3 April 2008

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#)

The people of the Bay area of California are about to be sprayed with a new pesticide not registered with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in a pre-emptive strike against a perceived threat from the Light Brown Apple Moth.

“In August, the California Department of Food and Agriculture plans to spray pesticides in five Bay Area counties for the invasive species” (CBS 5, 2008). One of the chemicals being used is Checkmate, manufactured by Suterra, LLC, which is owned by Stewart Resnick, one of the richest men in California, and owner of the largest farming operation of tree crops in the world. Mr. Resnick is also included in California Governor Schwarzenegger’s top 100 donors. (Arnold Watch, 2008)

*Mr. Resnick has developed and owns a number of successful companies including Paramount Agribusiness, the largest farming operation of tree crops in the world, which includes Paramount Citrus, Paramount Farming and Paramount Farms, growers, processors and marketers of citrus, almonds and pistachios; POM Wonderful, grower of pomegranates and maker of the all-natural POM Wonderful pomegranate juice; Teleflora, the largest floral wire service in the world; Fiji Water, the second largest imported bottled water in the United States and the newest member of the Roll family of companies; The Franklin Mint, a leader in high-quality collectibles; and **Suterra, the largest biorational pest control company in the United States.** (Political Friendster, 2006)*

The pesticide used to spray Santa Cruz, CA last year was the same product, but without a new active ingredient. The new and improved Checkmate contains two active ingredients. It is this new version that will be used on Bay Area residents. The new version of the pesticide contains an active ingredient that has not been approved by the EPA. The picture below shows the original ingredient, (E)-11-tetradecen-1-yl acetate, which was in the original product, and the second ingredient, (E,E)-9,11-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate, which was not, and is the ingredient currently under exemption from EPA registration.



(C&EN, 2007)

Tests have been conducted with the original version of Checkmate, but not on such a large scale.

It is the second active ingredient, (E,E)-9,11-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate, that is the wild card. The EPA has not approved this new ingredient! In fact, the EPA has granted an exemption just for the Bay Area spraying and waived the public comment period due to the

“emergency situation.” The following is taken from the EPA site:

*SUMMARY: EPA has received a quarantine exemption request from the United States Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA/APHIS) to use the pesticide (E,E)-9,11-tetradecadien-1-yl acetate (CAS No. 30562-09-5) to treat host plants to control the Light Brown Apple Moth (LBAM). **The Applicant proposes the use of a new chemical which has not been registered by EPA.** Due to the unique nature of this emergency situation, in which the time to review the conditions of this situation was short, it was not possible to issue a solicitation for public comment, in accordance with 40 CFR 166.24, prior to the Agency’s decision to grant these exemptions.*

*DATES: **EPA is waiving the public comment period**, as allowed in 40 CFR 166.24, due to the short period of time available with which to review this situation and render a timely decision. However, comments may still be submitted and will be evaluated. (EPA, 2007)*

This exemption is dated July 2007. The spraying is to begin in August 2008, over a year after the exemption was granted. “Because of that exemption, the spraying program isn’t subject to state approval, according to representatives of the state Department of Pesticide Regulation” (Kay, 2008).

Just what is this emergency situation? Some say that it is no emergency at all. According to botanist Daniel Harder, Executive Director of the Arboretum at UC Santa Cruz, “It’s not such a nasty pest. You’re not going to see a plant succumbing to the Light Brown Apple Moth.” The bug is considered a minor pest in New Zealand, where it arrived from Australia, they say. (Kay, 2008)

No eradication measures have been taken against the moth in New Zealand, and none are planned. The moth is a minor pest whose larvae are eaten by earwigs, birds, and spiders. Furthermore, “there is no widespread infestation of the light brown apple moth [in the U.S.], but U.S. Department of Agriculture officials say they are trying to head off a potential disaster” (Kay, 2008). Therefore, Bay Area residents will be sprayed with an untested unregulated pesticide on the pretense of this non-existent emergency by Stewart Resnick, owner of the largest farming operation of tree crops in the world, and one of Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger’s top 100 contributors, and are basically told to just shut up and take it.

Cities to be sprayed are as follows:

**Alameda County:**

Albany  
Alameda  
Piedmont  
Emeryville  
Oakland

**Contra Costa County:**

El Cerrito  
El Sobrante  
Hercules  
Kensington  
Pinole-N. Richmond  
San Pablo

**San Francisco County:**

San Francisco

**San Mateo County:**

Daly City  
Colma  
Pacifica  
San Bruno  
South San Francisco

**Marin County:**

Tiburon  
Belvedere  
San Pablo  
Corte Madera  
Larkspur  
Sausalito

**References:**

Arnold Watch. (2008). Arnold's Top 100 Donors. Retrieved from: [http://www.arnoldwatch.org/special\\_interests/index.html](http://www.arnoldwatch.org/special_interests/index.html)

C&EN. (2007). Rancor over Pesticide Spraying in California. Retrieved from: <http://pubs.acs.org/cen/news/85/i46/8546news3.html>

CBS 5. (2008). Outrage over Planned Bay Area Apple Moth Spraying. Retrieved from: <http://cbs5.com/local/apple.moth.spraying.2.662703.html>

EPA. (2007). Federal Register Environmental Documents. Retrieved from: <http://www.epa.gov/EPA-PEST/2007/July/Day-06/p12872.htm>

Free Patents Online. (2008). Communication Disturbing Agents and Method for Disturbing Communication. Retrieved from: <http://www.freepatentsonline.com/EP0962137.html>

Kay, J. (2008). Experts Question Plan to Spray to Fight Moths. San Francisco Chronicle. Retrieved from: <http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/03/06/MN69VD309.DTL>

Kay, J. (2008). State Plans Bay Area Pesticide Spraying. San Francisco Chronicle. Retrieved from: <http://www.sfgate.com:80/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/02/15/MN99V2PMN.DTL>

Political Friendster. (2006). Rate the Roll International Corporation - Stewart Resnick Connection. Retrieved from: <http://www.politicalfriendster.com/rateConnection.php?id1=5223&id2=5220>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Barbara H. Peterson](#), Global Research, 2008

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Barbara H. Peterson](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)