

Bye-den - Afghanistan to be Used as the Pretext for a Harris Administration?

By <u>Gavin OReilly</u> Global Research, August 31, 2021 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <u>@crg_globalresearch.</u>

Over the past two weeks, the world has looked on in ominous horror at emotive scenes of Afghans desperately clinging to departing US warplanes in a bid to escape incoming Taliban rule, as the Washington-led occupation of the Middle Eastern nation draws to a close after 20 years; a situation exacerbated even further by Thursday's suicide attack on Kabul airport, resulting in the deaths of 169 Afghan civilians and 13 members of US occupation forces, and subsequently claimed by the hitherto little-known ISIS-K (Khorasan) group, the Afghanistan branch of the wider Salafist network based mainly in Iraq and Syria.

In an unusual turn of events for the Middle East, the unfolding situation in Afghanistan has garnered widespread mainstream media attention, with the focus mainly being on women's rights under the incoming regime, the influx of refugees the situation looks set to create, and in what is beginning to look the most tellingly of all, the competency of US **President Joe Biden** in handling the situation.

Having entered the White House in January of this year, it was widely expected by onlookers that Biden – Vice-President during the hawkish Obama administration – would take a far more interventionist stance on foreign policy than his predecessor Donald Trump, who despite having launched cruise missiles and air strikes at Syrian government forces in 2017 and 2018 respectively, both in response to alleged chemical attacks, and having assassinated Quds Force commander **Qasem Soleimani** in a January 2020 drone strike on Baghdad International Airport, resulting in a retaliatory Iranian missile strike on the US Ain Al-Asad airbase, would ultimately not launch any new wars or full-scale military interventions during his four year tenure as President.

True to form, Biden was in office little more than a month when his administration launched airstrikes against the Iran-aligned Iraqi PMU (Popular Mobillsation Units) in eastern Syria – the group operating in the Arab Republic in an anti-terror capacity under official invitation from Damascus – in a move that would play to the interests of Washington's Neocons and the then-Israeli Premiership of **Benjamin Netanyahu**, both of whom had previously expressed a desire for US Forces to counter what they seen as 'Iranian expansionism' in Syria.

However, Biden's advancing age has long drawn questions of his mental capacity in leading the United States, especially in terms of foreign policy; with his demeanour in a press conference called in response to the Kabul Airport attack coming in from widespread criticism, the current Commander In Chief seemingly shifting the blame for the current crisis onto the previous Trump administration, and also drawing questions from puzzled onlookers with his opening statement that he had been 'instructed' to call on NBC journalist Kelly O'Donnell first.

Therefore, it would seem that the current focus by the mainstream media on Afghanistan, and the 'Biden is incompetent' narrative direction it has begun to take, is being done with the intention of forcing the Delaware native to step down as US President and be replaced with his current second-in-command Kamala Harris – 22 years Biden's junior and as equally hawkish on the Middle East.

A former US Senator from California, Harris was one of the notable Democrats to express <u>bipartisan support</u> for the Donald Trump's aforementioned 2017 cruise missile strike against a Syrian government airbase – carried out in spite of the Trump administration's noticeably pulled back approach to the original Neocon goal of removing **Bashar al-Assad** from power, implemented during the Obama era via <u>Timber Sycamore</u>, a programme which seen the arming, funding and training of Salafist seeking to depose the Damascus's secular leadership and replace it with a Western-friendly leadership which would allow White Houseally Qatar to build a <u>pipeline</u> through the Arab Republic – a programme halted during the Trump administration, but should Harris soon assume office amid the current Afghanistan debacle, looks very likely to make a comeback.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Gavin O'Reilly is an activist from Dublin, Ireland, with a strong interest in the effects of British and US Imperialism. Secretary of the Dublin Anti-Internment Committee, a campaign group set up to raise awareness of Irish Republican political prisoners in British and 26 County jails. His work has previously appeared on American Herald Tribune, The Duran, Al-Masdar and MintPress News.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Gavin OReilly</u>, Global Research, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Gavin OReilly

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca