

Bush's America opposes verifiable ban on nuclear fission

By [Michael Carmichael](#)

Global Research, June 20, 2006

planetarymovement.org/ 20 June 2006

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

In 2005, Mohammad El Baradei was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for his outstanding work in the international control of nuclear weapons. In 2003, El Baradei had proposed a verifiable ban on the production of weapons grade fissile material – a positive move that would severely limit the proliferation of nuclear weapons.

In a vote of the Disarmament Committee of the United Nations (UN), one and only one nation voted against El Baradei's proposal – George Bush's America. In that same vote, Israel abstained, apparently fearing international interference with their own outlaw nuclear weapons programme, and Britain abstained in an act of diplomatic fealty to the 'special relationship' between Tony Blair and George Bush. The final tally was 147 nations to one with the two abstentions. In a later vote of the entire UN General Assembly, Israel and Britain abstained, while America and Palau voted against El Baradei's verifiable ban on fission, and 179 nations voted in favor of his proposal. The final vote on that occasion was 179 in favor, 2 opposed (US and Palau) and 2 abstentions (Israel and Britain).

El Baradei's proposal would monitor all nuclear fission and guarantee that non-nuclear weapons states would be able to obtain adequate supplies for their non-military usage of enriched plutonium.

One nation has publicly accepted El Baradei's proposal, Iran.

In light of this important back story, it is now perfectly obvious that the so-called 'negotiations' between America, its intermediaries and Iran have been designed to camouflage, distort and erase the historical record. America and Israel are opposed to El Baradei's proposal for a verifiable ban on nuclear fission (Fissban) apparently to prevent the intrusion of international inspectors into the Israeli nuclear industry.

Worryingly, the Bush White House has deliberately misled the American people concerning their suspicion of a threat from Iran. The Bush campaign against Iran is nothing more than a conspiracy theory.

Given the facts of the highly publicized "Iran Plans" for a massive American military intervention against the Iranian nuclear industry and the constant threat of bombing of Iran leveled by American authorities from George Bush and Condoleezza Rice to Richard Perle and John Bolton, it is equally clear that American policy is being driven by a Machiavellian political calculus.

Over the past two weeks there have been a chain of interlocked events: the execution of

Zarqawi; the Camp David summit on Iraq; Bush's secret flight to Baghdad and the narrow escape of Karl Rove from federal indictment in the Valerie Plame case. These events are fitting into a discernible pattern designed to resuscitate the dying political corpse of the Bush administration in time for the midterm elections this November.

Continuing weakness of the Bush administration as measured by the president's approval rating will embolden those proponents for the unilateral bombardment of Iran's nuclear industry as a measure that could precipitate the resurgence of the deeply unpopular president. With so little left to lose, Bush would press the button for war in hopes of gaining the approval in red state America where his political fate will be decided on the 6th of November.

Darker plans may even be afoot or so we are led to believe by scholars of the US intelligence industry. The former CIA official, Ray McGovern, has warned of 'staged' atrocities as part of a covert US programme for the manufacture of 'synthetic terror.' Robert Woodward warned an academic audience in Texas that the next major atrocity on US soil would reduce 9/11 to a footnote in world history. There are persistent back-channel rumors of Republican Party officials circulating memoranda longing for the return to the heady days in 2001 and the immediate aftermath of 9/11 to revive the ailing Bush presidency - even at the cost of a new 9/11.

Neither America's people nor the peoples of other nations are being adequately informed about the history of international planning for the control and ban on fissionable materials that are the essential ingredients for nuclear weapons. The global media is complicit in the increasing threats to peace of a deeply unpopular American president and his loyal cadre of neoconservative apparatchiks now threatening the future of the planet with a holocaust of gigantic proportions.

***Michael Carmichael is Chairman & Chief Executive Officer,
The Planetary Movement, Oxford, United Kingdom.***

Website www.planetarymovement.org

References

A negotiated solution to the Iranian nuclear crisis is within reach
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/story/0,,1800659,00.html>

GOP memo touts new terror attack as way to reverse party's decline
http://www.capitolhillblue.com/artman/publish/article_7639.shtml

Woodward warns of secrecy trend / 9/11 will be a footnote
<http://www.mysanantonio.com/news/education/stories/MYSA022206.01B.woodward.129cfa8d.html>

Schlesinger on Bush's next folly: Iran

<http://planetmove.blogspot.com/2006/04/schlesinger-on-bushs-next-folly-iran.html>

Former CIA Analyst Says Iran Strike Possibly Set For June Or July

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article13481.htm>

The original source of this article is planetarymovement.org/

Copyright © [Michael Carmichael](#), planetarymovement.org/, 2006

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Michael Carmichael](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca