

British Intelligence Predicted Ukraine War 30 Years Ago

By **Phil Miller**

Global Research, October 04, 2022

Declassified UK 3 October 2022

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>Intelligence</u>

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate This Article** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The UK anticipated a "serious confrontation between Russia and Ukraine" as far back as 1992, declassified files show. One senior official even questioned whether Ukraine was "a real country".

When British intelligence warned that **Vladimir Putin** was about to attack Ukraine earlier this year, the spooks' foresight won many plaudits. Yet their prediction mirrored a scenario Whitehall had long known might unfold.

In May 1992, just six months after the Soviet Union broke up, Britain's then Prime Minister **John Major** was being <u>briefed</u> by his staff. They were concerned about a potential clash between Russia and Ukraine over Crimea.

The peninsula in the Black Sea had belonged to Russia until the Soviet Union gifted it to Ukraine in 1954. For the rest of the Cold War, Crimea retained a significant Russian presence, including a strategically important warm water port for the Kremlin's navy.

Such was the strength of Russia's legacy in the area that during the 1990s local politicians wanted a referendum on independence from Ukraine. "Most of the population in Crimea is Russian," an advisor told Major in a handwritten note.

They warned:

"If Crimea becomes independent, Ukraine's ability to control the Black Sea fleet – based at Sevastopol – goes with it."

Gordon Barrass, a senior UK intelligence official, added:

"The Ukrainians will try to prevent the referendum from being held...The issue will arouse passions among nationalists in Kiev and Moscow and could stir up inter-ethnic

conflict within Crimea."

Among those living in Crimea were the Tartars, an historic Muslim community that had been brutally oppressed under Soviet rule and wanted to remain part of Ukraine.

Percy Cradock, a veteran British diplomat and intelligence supremo, warned the situation "excites strong emotions in Crimea and amongst the powerful nationalist lobby in Kiev (as well as in Moscow)."

He believed:

"There must be a real possibility that the situation will slip out of control. That could mean violence in Crimea, and serious confrontation between Russia and Ukraine."

Ukraine's sovereignty questioned

In the event, there was a messy compromise. Crimea's parliament declared independence, while also acknowledging Ukraine's authority. Yet the situation remained volatile.

Major's foreign policy advisor and former <u>ambassador</u> to Moscow, **Rodric Braithwaite**, wrote a confidential background note that would today be considered heretical.

"It is not entirely clear, even to the Ukrainians, still less to the Russians, that Ukraine is a real country," Braithwaite noted. "Hence the tensions between the two."

Braithwaite, who went on to chair the Joint Intelligence Committee later in 1992, gave the Prime Minister a potted history of the region, stretching back to the middle ages. He highlighted the "artificial famine which [Soviet leader Joseph] Stalin imposed on the Ukraine in 1930-31, when many millions of peasants were deported or starved to death."

"So it was not surprising then very many Ukrainians greeted the Germans as liberators in 1941, and that large numbers agreed to join the German army", Braithwaite reasoned, referring to Nazi collaborators during World War II.

'An integral part of Russia'

Although these resistance groups were ultimately defeated by Stalin, Ukrainian nationalism survived as a political movement.

"Throughout 1990 the number and size of popular demonstrations for independence swelled," Braithwaite noted, adding that Russia looked like an "empire" to Ukrainians.

On the other hand, he said:

"Russians would simply not recognise the picture. For Russians, the Ukraine is an integral part of Russia, its history and its culture. The Ukrainian language is no more than a dialect".

He went on:

"I have not met a single Russian, even among the most sophisticated, who really believes that the Ukraine is now permanently severed from the motherland."

In a candid remark, Braithwaite said:

"The Ukrainians know that. They also know that Ukraine itself is divided: between the ultra-nationalist...Western Ukraine...and the East which is predominantly inhabited by ethnic Russians."

As tensions escalated, a confidential Whitehall planning paper warned: "We need to pay more attention to Ukraine." It noted there were "fears over long term allegiance of ethnic Russian minority (22% of population)" and concerns that President Yeltsin "will be replaced by nationalists/neo-imperialists" in the Kremlin.

'Reabsorbed by Russia'

At the end of 1993, Foreign Office planners thought "Ukraine might be reabsorbed by Russia unless it faced up to the need for painful economic and political reforms" to make it less dependent on money from Moscow.

Ukraine's deputy foreign minister, **Borys Tarasyuk**, did not dispute the analysis when British diplomats came to Kiev to meet him in early 1994 for "some six hours of confidential exchanges".

Tarasyuk believed Moscow "was determined to try to assert as much control as possible over all the republics of the former Soviet Union", and would "use the well tried strategy of destabilising their neighbours in order to justify intervention".

The Ukrainian politician was apparently "particularly exercised about the Crimea where recent elections have demonstrated the strength of pro-Russian separatist feeling."

Roger Bone, a senior British diplomat who would later run arms giant Boeing, "reassured Tarasyuk that the West was very much alive to the risk of a shift in Russian foreign policy" and "would not acquiesce in the re-establishment of a Russian sphere of influence."

Battle for influence

Plans were made to bring Ukraine's economy into the Western orbit, through promoting privatisation and engagement with the International Monetary Fund. Financial aid was to be conditional on Ukraine restructuring its economy towards a free market model.

It would take another 20 or 30 years for the significance of these discussions to become fully apparent. In 2014, Ukraine's democratically elected president was toppled in a popular "coup", after he opted for an economic deal with Russia instead of the European Union.

Russia, now led by the nationalist Vladimir Putin, reacted to the loss of his ally by annexing Crimea. Residents supposedly voted by 97% to join Russia in a referendum, which was boycotted by Tartars and not recognised by Britain.

Meanwhile, Moscow helped destabilise Ukraine's eastern Donbas region, by backing separatist rebels in Donetsk and Luhansk. Peace talks failed and the conflict dramatically escalated this February when Putin launched a full scale invasion of Ukraine.

Putin is now repeating his referendum tactic by holding disputed polls in the Donbas, despite the ongoing war. While British intelligence has recently won credit for predicting the

conflict, the declassified files make clear this was a risk Whitehall had long known about.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Phil Miller is Declassified UK's chief reporter. He is the author of Keenie Meenie: The British Mercenaries Who Got Away With War Crimes. Follow him on Twitter at @pmillerinfo.

Featured image is from New Eastern Outlook

The original source of this article is <u>Declassified UK</u> Copyright © <u>Phil Miller</u>, <u>Declassified UK</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Phil Miller

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca