

# Britain Has Blood on Its Hands for the Balochistan BLA's Terrorist Attack on China's Consulate in Pakistan

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, November 26, 2018

Region: [Asia](#), [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [PAKISTAN](#)

*The UK's granting of political asylum to "Balochistan Liberation Army" (BLA) leader Hyrbyair Marri and its hosting of this fugitive means that Britain has blood on its hands for the latest terrorist attack that he masterminded against the Chinese consulate in Karachi, but while Islamabad might seek his extradition, there's little that it can do to ensure London's compliance unless it convinces Beijing to discretely support it.*

The Pakistani authorities have [officially charged](#) "Balochistan Liberation Army" (BLA) leader Hyrbyair Marri and 12 others for last week's [terrorist attack](#) against the Chinese consulate in Karachi, which the group's fugitive UK-based leader is suspected of masterminding.

Marri received political asylum from the island nation in 2011 and has been living there since then, meaning that Britain has blood on its hands for the crime that he's accused of cooking up while under their protection. This doesn't necessarily mean that the British government had a role in carrying it out, but just that they're nevertheless culpable for at the very least indirectly facilitating it by granting him a safe haven from which to organize it. Understandably, Islamabad might seek his extradition, but there's little that it can do to ensure London's compliance.

The UK officially regards the terrorist mastermind as being a "political refugee", and it'll probably stick to its guns by refusing to extradite him on that basis. Even though that would [expose the West's double standards towards terrorism](#), hard power is ultimately more important for its leaders than soft power, and London probably believes that it has more to gain by keeping him on its territory than sending him back home to face justice in Pakistan. In fact, it could be argued that the UK might believe that its non-compliance with Pakistan's possibly forthcoming request could even improve some of its soft power in regards to strengthening its post-Brexit ties with the US and India, both of which also support the BLA and other anti-Pakistani terrorist groups in their own way.

Speaking of Brexit, it would be too scandalous in terms of the country's already polarized domestic politics for its leaders to risk appearing as though they're "accommodating" Pakistan, especially given the existing right-wing fury at the government's apparent sell-out to Brussels over last weekend's deal.

If anything, it would make Prime Minister May "look strong" and serve as a "convenient distraction" if her government loudly refuses to comply with any extradition request that Islamabad might make, which could show her constituents and even her Tory rivals that she

won't allow developing nations like Pakistan to "boss" her country around by "taking advantage" of its "perceived weakness" in the run-up to Brexit. One way or another, the British authorities will probably find a way to "justify" their refusal, all the while trying to reap political points at home.

The very high likelihood of this happening means that Pakistan must consider how to creatively respond to the UK's insistence on hosting Marri and other BLA terrorists, ergo the suggestion to commence a sustained information campaign aimed at raising awareness about this all throughout the island nation and the world at large. The patriotic Overseas Pakistanis residing in the UK could greatly aid these efforts by organizing peaceful protests against the government's hypocritical and immoral policy, as well as distributing leaflets and buying advertisements on buses and in other public places to inform as many people as possible about the UK's indirect support of BLA terrorism. Concurrent with this, Islamabad could also raise the issue at the UN, as well as consistently make mention of the UK's hosting of Marri and other terrorists whenever its media discusses the BLA.

Behind the scenes, Pakistani security officials can hold talks with their Chinese counterparts about the threat that UK-hosted BLA terrorists could pose to CPEC, encouraging Beijing to work through its own channels to pressure London into complying with Islamabad's possibly extradition request. It can't be taken for granted that this plan will succeed because China usually shirks away from doing anything that even remotely suggests that it's "interfering" with its partners' affairs, but the Marri case might be a notable exception because this terrorist masterminded an attack against its consulate and put its citizens' lives at risk. If there ever was a reason for China to discretely get involved in a bilateral disagreement between two countries, then this is certainly it, and Beijing's low-key involvement might actually get London to reconsider its refusal to extradite Marri to Islamabad.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*This article was originally published on [Eurasia Future](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2018

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

## About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)