

Britain Covertly Bombing Syria

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, July 19, 2015

Region: [Europe](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)

In August 2013, UK parliamentarians rejected military intervention in Syria - voting 285 - 272 against it.

At the time, Prime Minister David Cameron said voting reflected popular sentiment. "(T)he government will act accordingly." He lied.

On July 17, the UK-based human rights group [Relieve](#) reported UK pilots bombing Syria - despite Cameron's earlier pledge not to and recent claim about refraining from intervention without parliamentary approval, illegal whenever authorized without Security Council approval.

Documents Relieve obtained through a Freedom of Information (FOI) request to Britain's Defense Ministry revealed UK pilots "operating in Syrian airspace...flying...(s)trike missions" illegally.

Defense Secretary Michael Fallon told MPs government policy would require their approval. None was gotten. Clandestine bombing continues - not against ISIS, against Syrian infrastructure like US airstrikes, waging war on Assad, not imported terrorists ravaging Syria, now with US/UK/Canadian air support.

In response to Relieve's FOI request, Britain's Defense Ministry said in part:

"UK military personnel embedded with the USA, French and Canadian armed forces have been authorized to deploy with their units to participate in coalition operations against ISIL" - self-authorized targeting Syrian and Iraqi infrastructure targets, not IS terrorists as claimed.

"These personnel include pilots flying ISR and Strike missions against ISIL targets using the equipment of those units. Of these three nations only the USA and Canada are operating in Syrian airspace" - a bandit operation in both countries.

Claiming "UK Embeds operate as if they were the host nation's personnel, under that nation's chain of command" belies reality.

Iraq may or may not have willingly accepted what's ongoing - for sure not Syria, objecting strongly to largely US bombing without its permission.

Britain's Defense Ministry contributed to IS hysteria, saying it "poses a direct threat to the UK and to countries around the world."

Apparently before FOI documents were revealed, he lied saying Britain "is not conducting

airstrikes in Syria.” Now we know they’ve been ongoing since last year.

Tory MP John Barron outspokenly said “no to military intervention. These troops or individuals should be withdrawn from the embedded program whilst this vote holds sway, while it stills hold authority, until we vote again.”

Tim Farron replaced Nick Clegg as Lib Dem leader. He said unauthorized Syria airstrikes were “done with our name and therefore should be done with our approval.”

Convenor of the UK-based Stop the War Coalition Lindsey German condemned covert Britain bombing, saying:

“Parliament voted against bombing Syria two years ago. Now we find that the government ignored this and allowed British pilots to bomb under US command.”

“This was a political, not a military decision and shows the contempt our Prime Minister has for democratic decisions. This should stop now and we should oppose all further attempts to bomb Syria.”

On Friday, Cameron’s spokeswoman admitted UK warplanes have been bombing Syria covertly since September 2014 – operating from a Persian Gulf-based US aircraft carrier.

Defense Secretary Fallon ludicrously compared IS terrorists to Nazi fascists during WW II. New Labour officials want Cameron to explain UK involvement in Syria by Monday.

Reprieve staff attorney Jennifer Gibson said the following:

“Documents obtained by Reprieve indicate that UK personnel have already been involved in bombing missions over Syria for some time – making the current debate over whether Britain should carry out such strikes somewhat obsolete.”

“It is alarming that Parliament and the public have been kept in the dark about this for so long. Yet more worrying is the fact that the UK seems to have turned over its personnel to the US wholesale, without the slightest idea as to what they are actually doing, and whether it is legal.”

“We need an open and honest debate about UK involvement in Iraq and Syria. We can’t have that, though, until the UK comes clean about what actions its personnel are already undertaking.”

A Final Comment

Britain and America won’t feed their hungry, house their homeless or provide universal healthcare to all their citizens – in Britain, the way its National Health Service once operated before massive neoliberal cuts and increasing privatization of a publicly run program.

But both countries spend billions of dollars annually bombing one country after another to rubble along with other military operations. War-making takes precedence over social justice. So does serving corporate interests over public ones.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled “Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for

Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.

It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."
<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca