

# Brexit Lobby Meetings Dominated by Big Business, Civil Society Marginalised

By [True Publica](#)

Global Research, December 21, 2017

[TruePublica](#) 20 December 2017

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

*Updated analysis released by **Corporate Europe Observatory and Global Justice** Now exposes how official lobby meetings about Brexit and post-Brexit trade are being dominated by big corporate interests at the expense of civil society.*

As EU leaders start to gather in Brussels to agree on opening talks regarding post-Brexit transition and trade, the figures shine a light on the risk of Brexit being shaped by the concerns of big business, rather than those of the population as a whole.

The analysis of official statistics on lobby meetings with ministers from the UK's Department for Exiting the EU (DExEU) and the Department for International Trade (DIT) between October 2016 and June 2017 reveals a shared willingness to meet the representatives of big corporations above all others. The analysis shows:

## Department for Exiting the European Union (DExEU)

- **Finance sector and audit firms are prominent in the list of firms lobbying DExEU**, with lobby organisation TheCityUK (8 meetings) and HSBC (7 meetings) near the top of the chart. Lloyds Bank, Goldman Sachs, Deloitte and PriceWaterhouseCoopers also all made the list (4 meetings each).
- **70% of all meetings held by DExEU ministers were with business representatives.** This figure may even just be the tip of the iceberg, as Brexit lobby meetings are also likely to take place with DExEU officials not required to disclose meetings.
- While representatives of the small-business sector, including the Federation of Small Business and the British Chambers of Commerce, feature among the list of the most frequent DExEU lobbyists, meetings with larger corporations are prominent in ministers' diaries.
- **The Brexit secretary David Davis has met business representatives 29 times.** However, he failed to even once meet groups representing those people most affected by Brexit – the over 4 million EU citizens in the UK and the British citizens living across the EU.

## Department for International Trade

- **Over 90% of all meetings held by DIT ministers were with corporate lobbyists.** Civil society organisations were included in just 3% of meetings
- **Financial sector and audit firms** figure prominently on the list of top lobbyists of DIT ministers with **HSBC** (10 meetings) and **PriceWaterhouseCoopers** (10

meetings) topping the chart. **Barclays**, **KPMG** and **Standard Life** (6 meetings each) all make the list.

- **Oil and gas firms** also made the top lobbyists' list with **BP** (8 meetings) and **Shell** (6 meetings).
- Other firms in the top lobbyists' list include **Rolls Royce** (10 meetings) and the pharmaceutical giant **GlaxoSmithKline** (6 meetings)

The full list of meetings highlights just how rarely citizens and civil society have been heard by the negotiators, despite the fact that Brexit will directly affect the everyday lives of all UK residents.

A similar pattern emerges at the EU level, with 72% of meetings of **Chief Negotiator Michel Barnier** and his task force held with corporate interest representatives, with the finance sector as well as the food / agriculture sector dominating.

The research also highlights the lack of lobby transparency around these meetings. Decision makers refuse to release participant lists, agendas, minutes and other documents from lobby meetings, making it impossible to know who exactly is in the room and which specific policy options are being discussed.

Corporate Europe Observatory's transparency campaigner **Vicky Cann** said:

"Brexit will strongly affect people's private and professional lives in the UK, so it is vital that the process is as transparent as possible and that many different interests are consulted.

"But we observe a clear corporate dominance in the lobby meetings of the UK Brexit negotiators. And this pattern is replicated by EU negotiators as well. Civil society has had far less face-time with ministers and negotiators to voice the public's needs, concerns, and proposals around Brexit."

**Alex Scrivener**, a campaigner at Global Justice Now, added:

"The corporate bias that has been exposed in this list of meetings shows that we are veering dangerously towards a 'big business Brexit' that ignores the wider needs of UK society. Unless there is some sense of transparency and accountability in this process, there is every chance that the UK government will use Brexit as an opportunity to do away with existing, albeit imperfect, protections relating to labour rights, consumer standards and the environment."

"The fact that David Davis has found time to meet business representatives 29 times since October 2016 but has not once met with citizens' rights advocates for EU citizens in the UK and British people in Europe is shocking and shows that this government has its priorities totally wrong on Brexit."

*Featured image is from TruePublica.*

The original source of this article is [TruePublica](#)  
Copyright © [True Publica](#), [TruePublica](#), 2017

---

## [Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

## [Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [True Publica](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)