

Bombshell: US House Bill to Cut Funding for WHO Entirely, Terminate Involvement in WEF, Considers Exiting WHO. Threatens Implementation of WHO “Pandemic Treaty”?

By [Peter Koenig](#)

Global Research, July 03, 2023

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#), [United Nations](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations (CoA), a highly influential US Congressional body on US budget proposals, has advised cutting government funding for the World Health Organization (WHO) entirely, as part of its budget proposal for fiscal year 2024.

The CoA’s bill would also block funding to the Chinese *Wuhan Institute of Virology*, the *EcoHealth Alliance*, as well as *gain-of-function* research. In addition, the legislation would terminate US government involvement in the World Economic Forum (WEF).

This bombshell report was published a few days ago by *RFK Jr’s Defender*, based on extensive interviews with concerned US Congress people. [See this](#) full report by Michael Nevradikis, Ph.D, a regular contributor to the Children’s Health Defense Newsletter.



By [Michael Nevradakis](#), July 03, 2023

The proposal would enhance the US government's attempt to ban "misinformation" and "disinformation" programs, and to retain - and even bring back - the US's Constitutional sovereignty and citizen's right to free speech and expression.

Cutting US funding to the WHO would amount to about US\$ 700 million savings per year. With Big Pharma, Bill Gates and other interest groups funding more than 80% of WHO's budget, the US\$ 700 million - though by far the largest country contribution to the "health organization", may not be a lot, but it has significant symbolic and political meaning.

Other nations look to the US, since many, especially from the Global South, are fed-up with WHO's scandalous Covid imposition during the past three years, WHO's impending health tyranny through the planned revised International Health Regulations (IHR) and the fully integrated so-called "Pandemic Treaty". If the US dares take this drastic, but necessary step, others who feel likewise may follow suit.

The new IHR *cum* Pandemic Treaty would literally deprive each of the 194 WHO member countries of their Constitutional sovereignty over national health issues, amounting to a WHO dictatorship, the extent of which can hardly be envisioned, but in the current neoliberal globalist Big Finance directed worldwide lawlessness, may well become a tyranny humanity has not experience in recent history.

*

Individual Congress people such as Rep. Ralph Norman (Rep. S.C.), a particular opponent of WHO's course towards dictatorial oppression of the worst kind, have voiced their strong opposition to WHO. In a special communication to House Speaker, Kevin McCarthy, Norman lauded the speaker for supporting the WHO defunding bill. Norman also urged Rep. Michael McCaul (R-Texas), chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, "*to conduct oversight and consider taking up legislation to address the United States' involvement*" in the WHO. This may be interpreted as suggesting exiting the pseudo-UN agency.

Norman, as well as other US Congress people, plus a number of medical doctors and scientists applauded the US move against the WHO's "health" aggression of world freedom. Though they realize more was needed to push the bill through the full House and Senate procedural act.

For example, Dr. Kat Lindley, president of the Global Health Project and director of the Global Covid Summit, welcomed the US legislation, and so did Dr. Francis Boyle, PhD, professor of international law at the University of Illinois and a bioweapons expert, who drafted the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989.

They stressed the significance of such proposals coming from the appropriations committee.

-

"This is the committee that counts in the House when it comes to funding everything," Boyle said and added, "This is a good first step, but it is not sufficient."

“Even if we do not pay our dues to the WHO and cut off the funding,” Boyle continued, “we will remain a contracting party to the WHO Constitution and bound by it. So, we have to terminate our participation in the WHO Constitution,” meaning – exiting WHO altogether.

“Congress has the Constitutional authority to do this”, Boyle stressed his point further.

Clearly, this US Congressional move, a Republican initiative, is a tremendous step in the right direction, sends signals to the rest of the world and needs now continuous vigilance, follow-up and vigorous support throughout the Congressional approval process.

It is a promising first step; but no victory yet. However, it is the legendary light that shines through the crack in the wall. Much more than what we have seen over the past several years.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Peter Koenig is a geopolitical analyst and a former Senior Economist at the World Bank and the World Health Organization (WHO), where he worked for over 30 years around the world. He lectures at universities in the US, Europe and South America. He writes regularly for online journals and is the author of [Implosion – An Economic Thriller about War, Environmental Destruction and Corporate Greed](#); and co-author of Cynthia McKinney’s book “When China Sneezes: From the Coronavirus Lockdown to the Global Politico-Economic Crisis” (Clarity Press – November 1, 2020).

Peter is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG). He is also a non-resident Senior Fellow of the Chongyang Institute of Renmin University, Beijing.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Peter Koenig](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Peter Koenig](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca