

Bolton Warns Next Chemical Attack by Assad Will Lead to Much Stronger Strike on Syria

By [Zero Hedge](#)
Global Research, September 11, 2018
[Zero Hedge](#) 10 September 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#) and
[WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)
In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Update: *Trump's national security advisor **John Bolton** indicated during a speech on Monday that the United States, Britain, and France have agreed that another use of chemical weapons by Syria **would be taken "very seriously" and will require a much "stronger" and harsher response.***

Trump's NSC head John Bolton warns Syria that US, France and Britain will hit Assad harder than last two times if he uses chemical weapons in looming battle for last major rebel stronghold in Idlib.

— Dion Nissenbaum (@DionNissenbaum) [September 10, 2018](#)

His remarks came during what's being described as his first major speech since joining the Trump administration at an event hosted by the conservative Federalist Society at a Washington, D.C., hotel.

Meanwhile Turkey has also weighed in regarding the ongoing Russian and Syrian allied assault on Al Qaeda-held Idlib, which began days ago when Russia initiated a bombing campaign ahead of an imminent and massive Syrian Army ground offensive.

Turkey's defense minister has called for all air and ground attacks on Idlib to stop immediately, calling for an urgent ceasefire to be established, according to Turkish state media.

Bolton's warning of a "third chemical attack" today comes on the heels of a Sunday [Wall Street Journal](#) story citing unnamed U.S. officials who claim to have intelligence showing Assad **"approved the use of chlorine gas in an offensive against the country's last major rebel stronghold."**

It appears the Trump White House is now signalling that an American attack on Syrian government forces and locations is all but inevitable.

But this time the stakes are higher as Russia has built up an unprecedented number of warships in the Mediterranean Sea along the Syrian coast in response to

prior reports that the U.S., France, and Great Britain could be preparing an attack.

* * *

Earlier:

At this point there's not even so much as feigning surprise or suspense in the now sadly all-too-familiar Syria script out of Washington.

The *Wall Street Journal* has just published a bombshell on Sunday evening as Russian and Syrian warplanes continue bombing raids over al-Qaeda held Idlib, citing [unnamed US officials who claim](#) **"President Bashar al-Assad of Syria has approved the use of chlorine gas in an offensive against the country's last major rebel stronghold."**

And perhaps more alarming is that the report details that **Trump is undecided over whether new retaliatory strikes could entail expanding the attack to hit Assad allies Russia and Iran this time around.**

That's right, unnamed US officials are now claiming to be in possession of intelligence which they say shows *Assad has already given the order* in an absolutely **unprecedented level of "pre-crime" telegraphing of events on the battlefield.**

And supposedly these officials have **even identified the type of chemical weapon to be used: chlorine gas.**

The anonymous officials told the WSJ of **"new U.S. intelligence" in what appears an eerily familiar repeat of precisely how the 2003 invasion of Iraq was sold to the American public** (namely, "anonymous officials" and vague assurances of unseen intelligence) — *albeit posturing over Idlib is now unfolding at [an intensely more rapid pace](#):*

Fears of a massacre have been fueled by **new U.S. intelligence indicating Mr. Assad has cleared the way for the military to use chlorine gas in any offensive, U.S. officials said.** It wasn't clear from the latest intelligence if Mr. Assad also had given **the military permission to use sarin gas**, the deadly nerve agent used several times in previous regime attacks on rebel-held areas. It is banned under international law.

It appears Washington is now saying ***an American attack on Syrian government forces and locations is all but inevitable.***

And according to the report, President Trump may actually give the order to attack even if there's no claim of a chemical attack, per the WSJ:

In a recent discussion about Syria, people familiar with the exchange said, **President Trump threatened to conduct a massive attack against Mr. Assad if he carries out a massacre in Idlib**, the northwestern province that has become the last refuge for more than three million people and as many as 70,000 opposition fighters that the regime considers to be terrorists.

And [further](#):

[The Pentagon is crafting military options](#), but **Mr. Trump hasn't decided what exactly would trigger a military response or whether the U.S. would target Russian or Iranian military forces aiding Mr. Assad in Syria**, U.S. officials said.

Crucially, this is the first such indication of the possibility that White House and defense officials are mulling over hitting "Russian or Iranian military forces" in what would be **a monumental escalation that would take the world to the brink of World War 3.**

"Lots of evidence"

Trump regime not even trying, they're just phoning this disinformation campaign in pic.twitter.com/YD9MtXK97c

— Mark Ames (@MarkAmesExiled) [September 7, 2018](#)

The WSJ report cites White House discussions of a third strike — in reference to US attacks on Syria during the last two Aprils after chemical allegations were made against Damascus — while indicating **it would "likely would be more expansive than the first two" and could include targeting Russia and Iran.**

The incredibly alarming report [continues](#):

During the debate this year over how to respond to the second attack, Mr. Trump's national-security team **weighed the idea of hitting Russian or Iranian targets in Syria**, people familiar with the discussions said. But the Pentagon pushed for a more measured response, U.S. officials said, and the idea was eventually rejected as too risky.

A third U.S. strike likely would be more expansive than the first two, and Mr. Trump would again have to consider whether or not to hit targets like Russian air defenses in an effort to deliver a more punishing blow to Mr. Assad's military.

Last week the French ambassador, whose country also vowed to strike Syria if what it deems credible chemical allegations emerge, said during a U.N. Security Council meeting on Idlib: **"Syria is once again at the edge of an abyss."**

With Russia and Iran now in the West's cross hairs over Idlib, indeed the entire world is again at the edge of the abyss.

developing...

The original source of this article is [Zero Hedge](#)

Copyright © [Zero Hedge](#), [Zero Hedge](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Zero Hedge**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca