

# Blackwater Founder Returns to Save Europe from Refugees

By <u>Belén Fernández</u> Global Research, January 08, 2017 <u>Middle East Eye</u> 5 January 2017 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Militarization and WMD</u>, <u>Police</u> <u>State & Civil Rights</u>

Starting the new year off with a bang, the Financial Times has just published a <u>dispatch</u> by **Erik Prince**, notorious founder and former CEO of the private security contracting firm <u>Blackwater</u>, the outfit responsible for projects such as the 2007 <u>Nisour Square</u> <u>massacre</u> of Iraqi children and other civilians.

The company has undergone a series of rebranding efforts over the years as an apparent means of distancing itself from overtly toxic connotations.

Prince's Financial Times bio discreetly identifies him as simply "a former US Navy SEAL [and] executive chairman of Frontier Services Group," a Hong Kong-headquartered entity.

According to its <u>website</u>, FSG offers "security and logistics services in frontier markets".

In an <u>investigation</u> by The Intercept, Prince's activities at FSG were reported to include endeavouring to sell weaponised crop dusters in Africa as part of "what one colleague called his 'obsession' with building his own private air force". As with many of Prince's operations, a facade of legality has often proved elusive.

Suffice it to say that the Financial Times isn't racking up huge points on the ethical front by promoting a man whose modus operandi has essentially been to make a killing off of killing.

In his <u>memoir</u>, *Civilian Warriors: The Inside Story of Blackwater and the Unsung Heroes of the War on Terror*, Prince writes that, by 2009, his company had received more than \$1bn for its services in Iraq from the US State Department alone.

This is not counting copious other contracts in Afghanistan and elsewhere, including contributions to the CIA's drone strike programme.

### Saving the EU

In his Financial Times debut, Prince sounds the alarm that Europe has been overwhelmed with refugees and that the "very existence of the EU is in danger". Luckily for humanity, however, Prince has "a solution that will restore stability to Libya and mitigate the crisis" – a solution he says is "based on many years' experience in military and civilian business".

Never mind that Prince himself is implicated in a fair amount of destruction and havocwreaking in Iraq, Afghanistan, and other locales that now serve as primary sources of – you guessed it – Europe-bound migration. Prince's proposed refugee "solution" involves a "public-private partnership" – a euphemism of sorts for what appears to boil down to a privatised war on refugees. He envisions "base camps" for security personnel "alongside a new border fence" in Libya, with border police "consist[ing] of mentors with a European law enforcement background, supported by locals trained in key basic skills".

Prince writes: "All personnel would be armed and have agreed-upon rules of engagement and migrant detention and repatriation policy. Each base would have airborne surveillance and search and rescue as well as armed vehicle quick reaction forces. Air operations would be provided by third-party professional providers, as would medical evacuation services." The "mentors", Prince specifies, "would be the skeleton structure of the unit providing key leadership, intelligence co-ordination, communications, medical and logistics expertise".

As for what global entity might be called upon to supervise the whole shebang, maybe something with the words "frontier services" in its title?

So much for paid advertising.

In Prince's reality, the present dearth of Libyan border security means that any old migrant can "travel unchecked" to the coast and hop on a boat for the "short, if dangerous" ride to Europe.

Call me a party pooper, but I wouldn't file the regular <u>imprisonment, torture and rape</u> of migrants in Libya under the category "travelling unchecked".

## Free market obsession

Meanwhile, Prince's fervent commitment to stanching the flow of certain humans naturally does not translate into an across-the-board opposition to human movement.

Private security mercenaries, for one, should evidently be permitted to transcend borders at will – as should persons with the last name of Prince who <u>relocate to Abu Dhabi</u> to set up secret armies.

In his memoir, Prince reminisces fondly about Ronald Reagan's free market obsession and anti-communist "aggressive military policy", quoting Reagan's 1985 State of the Union <u>address</u> in which the president once again obliterated any pretences to a separation of church and state in the US: "Freedom is not the sole prerogative of a chosen few; it is the universal right of all God's children."

But just as the anti-communist version of "freedom" meant freedom for capital rather than people, Prince's conception is similarly exclusive.

While Prince and his bank account are apparently eligible for unfettered intercontinental exploitation of conflict and misery, poor folks fleeing war and economic persecution must be stopped at all costs.

Nor is "freedom" a detectable option for Iraqis slaughtered by US security contractors or Pakistanis killed by US drones.

In the end, Prince's refugee "solution" is hardly surprising coming from someone who has also proposed combating Ebola with private contractors.

And who knows: perhaps mercenaries also hold the key to other persistent global issues like climate change and snoring and erectile dysfunction.

One thing is for certain, though: that Prince's "solutions" aren't aimed at any sort of resolution but rather at the perpetuation of strife in the interest of financial gain.

In peddling his alleged antidotes to crisis, Prince is symptomatic of a far more profound one.

**Belen Fernandez** is the author of The Imperial Messenger: Thomas Friedman at Work, published by Verso. She is a contributing editor at Jacobin magazine.

The original source of this article is <u>Middle East Eye</u> Copyright © <u>Belén Fernández</u>, <u>Middle East Eye</u>, 2017

### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Belén Fernández

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca