

# Bill Gates' Temporary Sterilization Microchip In Beta Female Testing. 2015 Report

By [Heather Callaghan](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, April 13, 2021

[Activist Post](#) 2 July 2015

First published by GR on July 3, 2015

*Earlier this week, I wrote about a deal reached that would soon be pumping out [medical microchip implants](#). Meet the new face of medicine that polygamously marries Big Pharma, biotech, nanotech and wireless remote technology. Maybe hooking oneself into the Internet of Things will be an additional app, although this sounds like a passive form of medicine where someone else gets to call the shots, so to speak.*

The same developers who are bringing wireless remotely controlled microchip implants are actually focusing on their first flagship product: Gates Foundation-funded [birth-control microchip implants](#).

Wireless technology allows the remotely controlled chip to turn a woman's ability to conceive off or on at will – temporary sterilization. Of course with remote technology funded by eugenics depopulation fanatics, the first questions should always be, “the ability to conceive by whose will?” This would be the complete antithesis of female empowerment or a “woman's right to choose” – would it not?

The encryption is alleged to be so safe, that cyberhackers cannot break entry – that means you too.

The chip can be implanted into the hip, arms or beneath the back. Mum was the word during the last few years of development until it was finally publicly confirmed that the beta testing for the birth control chip would be starting towards the end of this year. Indeed, human volunteers will be sought for real-life chip testing.

[TWCN Tech News reports](#) more details on the microchip birth-control implant:

The birth control chip is the brain child of a professor, Robert Langer, from Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Bill Gates and Melinda foundation has funded the research and the prototype is ready for human testing. The chips will be ready for sale by the year 2018 according to Robert Langer. The institute's Chip Foundation and Bill Gates' foundation have been working on the birth control chip for past three years.

The chip's size is 20mm by 20mm by 7mm. The reservoirs of hormones would be stored on a microchip of 1.5cm. The chip's data would always be encrypted so that others (cybercriminals or hackers) cannot access the information contained in the chip or misuse/alter or destroy it.

[...]

The release of hormones will be done by melting a part of solid hormonal stock using a small electric shock which won't be noticed by humans.

[...]

The safety tests would begin by the end of year 2015 and Robert Langer is confident that the chips will hit market sometime in 2018.

As usual, "The main target" for the testing phase of the chips are women in third-world countries. That means African and Indian women will be human guinea pigs, subject to more of [Gates' polio vaccine tests](#). Or [deaths/paralysis in Pakistan](#) and more [paralysis in Africa](#). But, I'm sure they will go back and make sure those women can conceive again, right? No one is admitting who is actually in control of the wireless capabilities of the implant that is allegedly impenetrable by hackers. Who does a woman see to have her ability to conceive turned back on? That would be an important piece of information, correct?

Interestingly, this news came right on the heels of New Zealand academic higher-ups [seriously suggesting](#) the temporary sterilization of all young teen girls - at least in New Zealand.

[Brave New World](#) much?

Headlines were simply calling it "[free contraception](#)" and recommending the temporary chemical shutting off of young female fertility for *society's sake*. No thought whatsoever to looking to solve the source of "the problem" if it even should be looked at so harshly, or to the health of developing teen girls. And just like Gates' moves in India, no real thoughts go to solving issues of impoverishment which historically, lead to blooming birth rates and medical birth issues. Why better people's lives when there is so much control in remotely and wirelessly deciding if a woman can conceive?

*Pregnancy costs too much....it's inconvenient...it's bad for mother and child*, and other dehumanizing reasons were given. Ethics, compulsory slippery slopes and STD concerns aside, critics zeroed in on health and environment concerns regarding chemicals. Isn't it convenient that Gates' birth-control microchip should launch to save the world from those critiques? Who needs artificial hormones when wireless voltage and gold are there to alchemically or A.I.-chemically create "an artificial organ" through biotech, [according to one of its developers](#). This is where Woman meets Machine to reproduce. Now there is no reason to protest - temporary sterilization for all!

How is that for female empowerment? It requires permission...

This writer's sentiments align with the anti-eugenics op-ed piece by [Leading Edge](#), that said:

For me, one of the most troubling aspects of this proposal is the way in which it so flippantly treats normal healthy female fertility as if it were something that needs to be shut down or medicated against - like we would a disease, or some other physiological problem.

Indeed, is the human body really worth altering to the point of switching on and off like it's an inconvenient afterthought? Why go against who you are - you are not a disease. At least

you deserve to be thought of as a glorious human being, and not something to be eradicated. Worse yet, why let someone else take the wheel from a remote location?

*Image by Heather Callaghan*

*[Heather Callaghan](#) is an independent researcher, natural health blogger and food freedom activist. You can see her work at [NaturalBlaze.com](#) and [ActivistPost.com](#). Like at [Facebook](#).*

The original source of this article is [Activist Post](#)  
Copyright © [Heather Callaghan](#), [Activist Post](#), 2021

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Heather Callaghan](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)