

# “Divider in Chief”: Biden Impairs the Soul of the American Nation by Pitting His People Against One Another

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, September 06, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*Maliciously smearing approximately half of the country as existential terrorist-inclined threats to “the soul of the nation” is nothing but the crudest Machiavellian means of dividing and ruling the population.*

## The Unprecedentedly Dangerous Divider-In-Chief

*US President Joe Biden’s nationally televised speech on Thursday that the [official White House website](#) headlined as being about “the continued battle for the soul of the nation” saw the incumbent become the most dangerous and divisive American leader in history. Far from trying to cleanse and protect that very same soul, he shamelessly spit on it by pitting his people against one another as part of an obvious divide-and-rule plot ahead of the neck-and-neck midterm elections that are only two months away.*

## Debunking Biden’s False Belief In Equality & Democracy

The first part that stands out is Biden emphasizing how the location of his speech, Philadelphia’s Independence Hall where the Declaration of Independence was made and the Constitution signed, reinforces the mutually complementary concepts of equality and democracy connected with those two documents. He doesn’t truly believe in either of those though as proven by White House Press Secretary Karine Jean-Pierre [condemning all minority views as “extremist”](#) earlier that same day.

Nevertheless, he pretended that he’s a true believer in them in order to artificially manufacture the basis upon which to contrast himself with former US President Donald Trump. Biden claimed that his predecessor and those who still support his [Make America Great Again \(MAGA\) movement](#) supposedly “represent an extremism that threatens the very foundations of our republic.” Falsely framing them as existential threats so close to the midterms is obviously aimed at manipulating voters’ perceptions.

## Applying The “Rules For Radicals” Against The MAGA Movement

This crude tactic would be condemned by the American Government if it was employed by any Global South leader irrespective of whether it's baseless like in Biden's case or genuinely backed up by facts. Biden then channeled the infamous Saul Alinsky's "[Rules For Radicals](#)", specifically the thirteenth rule to "Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, and polarize it", when claiming that "the Republican Party today is dominated, driven, and intimidated by Donald Trump and the MAGA Republicans".

By adding that "that is a threat to this country", the incumbent ominously implied that the full authority of the state will be brought down to bear on those who are even simply suspected of being remotely connected to the former president or his movement on faux national security pretexts. He then instantly reverted to gaslighting once again just like he earlier did by unconvincingly claiming that he supports the Founding Fathers' vision of equality and democracy by contrasting Democrats and MAGA on false bases.

## Who Really Employs Political Violence & Election Conspiracy Theories?

The same man who represents the party that frenziedly fanned the flames of the joint [Antifa- and BLM-led Hybrid War of Terror on America](#) all throughout summer 2020, whose countless antagonists were [manipulated into functioning as "useful idiots"](#) of the anti-MAGA faction of the US "[deep state](#)" (permanent military, intelligence, and diplomatic bureaucracies), counterfactually claimed that it's Trump and his supporters who divided the country through the use of violence for political ends.

Biden also insulted Americans' intelligence by gaslighting that it's only some MAGA folks who've ever rejected the outcome of a presidential election when most Democrats refused to recognize the legitimacy of Trump's victory in 2016. Not only that, but their anti-MAGA "deep state" puppeteers literally concocted the Russiagate conspiracy theory that they laundered through allied congressional representatives, law enforcement, media, and NGOs to discredit the entirety of his four years in office.

## The Democrats' Path To A Dystopian Post-Modern Dictatorship

The "carnage and darkness and despair" that the incumbent claimed that MAGA folks see when they look at America was actually the Democrats' rallying cry during all of Trump's term and especially ahead of the 2020 elections. When Biden said that "They spread fear and lies - lies told for profit and power", this objectively described the Democrats as was earlier explained with respect to the Russiagate conspiracy theory as well as their false fearmongering about Trump being a "dictator worse than Hitler".

On the topic of dictatorship, the author predicted shortly after 2020's disputed election that "[Biden's America Would Be A Dystopian Hellhole](#)", which continues progressively unfolding exactly as foreseen. "[Every Democrat Is A Wannabe Dictator](#)", so it naturally follows that the party's latest figurehead would play to this fantasy by falsely describing his political opponents as supposed "threats" in order to create the "publicly plausible" pretext for imposing a [Pakistani-like post-modern dictatorship](#).

His infamous speech perniciously [manipulated the concept of pre-bunking](#) in order to mislead Americans into falsely considering approximately half of the country to be an existential threat to "the soul of the nation." By dividing and ruling them in such a

stereotypically Machiavellian way, Biden (or rather those members of the “deep state” that are behind him and wrote his speech) is deliberately trying to radicalize the minority of at-risk MAGA folks to engage in highly publicized political violence.

## The MAGA Mentality

Objectively speaking, they’ve always been on the strategic defensive even before Trump’s election since [the whole reason why they voted for him in the first place](#) was their hope that he’d reverse - or at least slow down - the Democrat-driven trend of comprehensively dismantling everything that America stood for. Upon the 45<sup>th</sup> President entering office, they then found themselves viciously targeted by their opponents, who ultimately waged a nationwide spree of urban terrorism against them in summer 2020.

After their hero’s [scandalous departure](#) from the White House and his replacement with the “deep state”-backed Democrat’s placeholder, those who sympathize with MAGA or are at the very least perceived as being associated with it are now at risk of being actively oppressed by the state. Biden’s hate-filled speech will only exacerbate these fears, which could very easily radicalize the absolute minority of those folks with preexisting mental illnesses and thus push them to political violence.

## The Worst-Case Scenario

No sincerely law-abiding and patriotic American would ever harm their compatriots no matter how fierce their political disputes may get, yet it’s impossible to ever perfectly defend against “lone wolves”, including those that have previously been on the secret police’s (FBI) radar. In the worst-case scenario that one or some of them end up doing something terrible, God forbid, then there’s no doubt that the incident (which might even manifest as a terrorist attack) would be exploited by the ruling party.

Biden’s backers would certainly take advantage of it to accelerate America’s descent into a dystopian post-modern dictatorship, but for their plans to enjoy the greatest probability of success, they must first effectively divide and rule the population through gaslighting tactics exactly as the incumbent just did. The next step is to precondition them into expecting political violence by the side that’s misportrayed as an existential threat that’s supposedly predisposed to terrorism, which Biden also just achieved.

Upon the worst-case scenario transpiring, God forbid, so-called “cancel culture” can then immediately be weaponized to its most vicious political extreme by carrying out a nationwide crackdown against everyone even remotely suspected of being associated with MAGA. These devious plans aren’t anything that a sincere believer in “the continued battle for the soul of the nation” would plot, but that’s why nobody should fall for Biden’s, his backer’s, and their “useful idiots”’ false claims that they’re patriots.

## Unity & Division

From top-down and bottom-up, the Democrats are united like never before when it comes to carrying out this nationwide crackdown, even though their agreement with one another in this respect is thus far only tacit and not explicit. Be that as it is, so too can it be said that MAGA is unprecedentedly united in bracing for this dystopian post-modern dictatorship scenario, though it’s only the former that have the power to be “legitimate” agents of change due to their monopoly over the state’s use of violence.

To be absolutely clear so that there's no false perception of ambiguity whatsoever at all, the author is not in any way implying that MAGA should illegitimately employ violence (i.e. anything that isn't the legally enshrined right to self-defense) against anyone, whether their political opponents or the state. The movement that coalesced around Trump but organically arose long before his political rise must absolutely remain committed to peaceful and political means for regaining power through the ballot.

Having clarified that crucial point of principle that should always be at the forefront of every MAGA-aligned person's mind, political practice sometimes differs from political theory, so it can't be taken for granted that at least one of those folks who've objectively been on the strategic defensive for years as was earlier explained won't be triggered into committing political violence by Biden's speech. However it might unfold, God forbid, that worst-case scenario would set drastic events into motion.

## Reflecting On Biden's Hateful Remarks

Those who have the time to reread the incumbent's hateful remarks in full will more be able to more clearly discern the ulterior motives that are very strongly implied by his provocative words. He was tasked with repeating high-sounding rhetoric in order to gaslight people into not suspecting the Democrats of preparing for the sequence of events that would follow his deliberate attempt at radicalizing already the ultra-fringe minority of already psychologically disturbed MAGA folks.

Maliciously smearing approximately half of the country as existential terrorist-inclined threats to "the soul of the nation" is nothing but the crudest Machiavellian means of dividing and ruling the population. For as dark as the future might appear after Biden's unprecedentedly divisive information warfare provocation against the American people, the worst-case scenario isn't inevitable since nothing about the presently chaotic trajectory of the situation is deterministic.

## Pulling America Back From The Brink of Civil War

While it arguably does indeed appear as though an undeclared civil war might soon be fought between the "deep state"-backed Democrats led on the streets by a coalition of Antifa, BLM, and ideologically aligned law enforcement on one side against MAGA-affiliated Americans on the other (or is already being waged according to some), that scenario could also still be averted. Responsible influencers from both sides should immediately make it known that they disavow any and all violence.

Those within their ranks who disagree with their peaceful methods of resolving political disputes must be disowned so that any rogue violence that they might God forbid commit isn't credibly associated with either side's cause. Law enforcement members should also remember their duty to impartially uphold the law and not allow themselves to be manipulated by anyone for political ends, while lawmakers mustn't ever forget their sacred obligation to protect the people who they're responsible for.

## The Role Of Responsible Political Leaders & Law Enforcement Members

The Hybrid War of Terror on America could have easily been nipped in the bud had mayors/governors ordered local police/ National Guard to do so, but they purposely declined as part of their political plot to influence people's perceptions ahead of the 2020 elections so

that they'd vote against Trump. In the event of forthcoming large-scale unrest, whether driven by "deep state"-backed Democrat "useful idiots" or rogue MAGA folks, these same leaders must decisively act unlike before.

Likewise, law enforcement should prepare themselves to publicly disagree with those abovementioned leaders if their superiors once again decline to deploy them to protect the populace. The principled among them should also consider refusing to illegally restrict law-abiding citizens' Second Amendment rights if they're ordered to do so since that's one of the scenarios that could be employed by the Democrats in response to the earlier speculative worst-case scenario or possibly even to provoke it.

## Concluding Thoughts

America is dangerously on the verge of descending into a fast-moving and full-on dystopian post-modern dictatorship, pushed over the precipice by none other than its incumbent president and those shadowy "deep state" forces that are behind him. That disastrous outcome can still be averted, as well as the worst-case scenarios that would likely make this trajectory irreversible, but it'll require Americans of all political views coming together to peacefully defeat those who want to divide and rule them.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is taken from The Unz Review*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based

political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)