

The Biden Regime Has Just Issued a Very Suspicious Directive Permitting Military Intervention in US Domestic Affairs. Dr. Paul C. Roberts

By [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#)

Global Research, October 14, 2024

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

The Department of Homeland Security has flagged individuals questioning COVID-19 origins, vaccine efficacy, and election integrity as potential domestic terrorism threats.

Is a coup being set in place?

A new Department of Defense directive 5240.01 issued September 27, 2024, just prior to the November presidential election **allows the US military to use lethal force against American citizens in assisting police authorities in domestic disturbances.**

A report on this development lists these civil liberties concerns:

- **Right to protest:** There are fears that expanded authority could suppress legitimate protests.
- **Privacy rights:** Increased military involvement in domestic intelligence gathering could infringe on privacy.
- **Due process:** The military's role in law enforcement could bypass standard due process protections.
- **Freedom of speech:** The broad definition of "national security threats" could target individuals for their political beliefs.
- **Civilian control:** The expanded military role could erode civilian oversight of the military.

Here are some Constitutional concerns:

- **Challenging the Posse Comitatus Act:** This Act traditionally limits the powers of the federal government in using military personnel for domestic law enforcement. The new DoD directive, by permitting the use of lethal force through military assistance in civilian law enforcement, may push the boundaries of these limitations.
- **Potential First Amendment Concerns:** Natural health advocates and others exercising their First Amendment rights, such as questioning the government's response to COVID-19 or the integrity of elections, have been labeled as potential domestic extremists and/or terrorists by some agencies. This directive could expand those classifications into scenarios involving lethal force interventions, potentially chilling free speech under the guise of national security.
- **Fourth Amendment Considerations:** This directive also allows intelligence sharing between military and law enforcement under emergency conditions,

raising questions about the right to privacy and the potential for expanded surveillance.

- **Due Process Implications (Fifth Amendment):** The possibility of military use of lethal force in domestic scenarios introduces concerns about how due process protections might be maintained before potentially life-altering decisions are made.

Why these ominous changes one month before the election? Is something in the works? Why is there no reporting and no debate on this change in policy?

[Here is the Directive.](#) (Suggest you read Section 1, pp. 3-6)



DoD DIRECTIVE 5240.01

DoD INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED ACTIVITIES AND DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE COMPONENT ASSISTANCE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND OTHER CIVIL AUTHORITIES

Originating Component:	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security
Effective:	September 27, 2024
Releasability:	Cleared for public release. Available on the Directives Division Website at https://www.esd.whs.mil/DD/ .
Reissues and Cancels:	DoD Directive 5240.01, "DoD Intelligence Activities," August 27, 2007, as amended
Incorporates and Cancels:	DoD 5240.1-R, "Procedures Governing the Activities of DoD Intelligence Components that Affect United States Persons," December 7, 1982, as amended
Approved by:	Kathleen H. Hicks, Deputy Secretary of Defense

Purpose: This issuance:

- Implements Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12333 and 13388, and Title 50, United States Code (U.S.C.).
- Establishes policy and provides direction for DoD intelligence and intelligence-related activities.
- Assigns responsibilities and provides guidelines for Defense Intelligence Component intelligence assistance to law enforcement agencies and other civil authorities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: GENERAL ISSUANCE INFORMATION	3
1.1. Applicability.	3
1.2. Policy.	4
SECTION 2: RESPONSIBILITIES.....	7
2.1. USD(I&S).	7
2.2. General Counsel of the Department of Defense (GC DoD).	8
2.3. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs.....	8
2.4. Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Privacy, Civil Liberties, and Transparency.....	8
2.5. DoD Component Heads.	9
2.6. Secretaries of the Military Departments.	9
2.7. Defense Intelligence Component Heads.....	9
SECTION 3: DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE COMPONENT ASSISTANCE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND OTHER CIVIL AUTHORITIES.....	11
3.1. General Principles.	11
3.2. Permissible Assistance.....	12
3.3. Levels of Authority.....	13
a. Secretary of Defense Approval.	13
b. USD(I&S) Approval.	13
c. Defense Intelligence Component Head Approval.....	14
d. General Counsel Approval.....	14
3.4. Approval Considerations.	14
3.5. Exigent Circumstances.....	15
GLOSSARY	17
G.1. Acronyms.	17
G.2. Definitions.....	17
REFERENCES	21

Here is the [report](#).

Ever since the CIA used the Washington Post and the media to cover up the assassination of **President John F. Kennedy**, the term, “conspiracy theory,” introduced by the CIA, has been used by the press and government to demonize truth and those who speak truth, and to protect official narratives, such as “Saddam Hussein’s weapons of mass destruction.”

Unless Trump cuts a deal with Democrats not to hold them accountable and also a deal with the Ruling Elite not to interfere with their control, I can see no way that either will permit Trump to be President.

The Biden regime and the Trump Justice (sic) Department and FBI are criminal operations. I can see indictments and arrests for those who have weaponized law enforcement. The Ruling Elite and leading Democrats such as Hillary Clinton and John Kerry can all be arrested, honestly unlike Trump and his supporters, for insurrection as they have openly called for the overthrow of the United States by demanding the overthrow of the First Amendment of the US Constitution. No fooling, this is treason. The US is the Constitution. Advocating the overthrow of the Constitution is advocating the overthrow of the United States.

This is a major issue of our time, and it is not mentioned — which displays the control the elite have over what can be an issue subject to notice and discussion.

That one month prior to the presidential election the corrupt Biden regime issued a directive that permits the US military, purged of its patriotic officers, to use lethal force against American citizens is an indication that something is afoot. Why is such a directive suddenly needed, a directive that goes against all previous policy?

Here is a possible scenario: If despite the media's assault on Trump and the in-place legalized election theft procedures in the swing states, the Democrats and Ruling Elite conclude that the election can neither be won nor stolen, an orchestrated false flag insurrection is staged that activates Department of Defense directive 5240.01 issued September 27, 2024. Trump, his supporters, the Republican Party, probably sparing the RINOs as a fake opposition party will be needed to carry on the illusion of democracy under one-party rule, will be arrested as insurrectionists. The courts will be helpless as they have no enforcement powers, and any intervention against the coup will be misrepresented as "siding with insurrectionists."

Absurd! Extreme! Nutcase! No, I am none of these. Just think of all the violations of US law and international law by Democrat officials. They are subject to arrest nationally and internationally. Trump, unless he cuts the deals I mentioned, is a threat to the Ruling Establishment as he can put most of them in prison. How can the Democrats and the Ruling Elite let a man and a movement this dangerous to them become President? They cannot.

Unless Trump sells out, he is in a fight to the death. Elon Musk knows it. Musk wondered on Tucker Carlson how long his prison sentence would be if Trump loses the election. Most Americans, however, are too insouciant to realize that if Trump loses the election, or it is stolen from him, or prevented from happening, there will never again be an election in the United States of America. A Stalin type election, perhaps, where 99.9% of the votes are taken by the ruling personage.

A country whose government, intellectuals, and Ruling Elite do not believe in the Constitution do not believe in the country.

A country whose government, intellectuals, and Ruling Elite do not believe in family, borders, evil, that uses education to indoctrinate white people against themselves as racists, misogynists, domestic terrorists, that uses censorship to prevent the emergence of challenges to official narratives which are lies, has an agenda that it knows the American people will not accept. So the agenda is left unstated and forced on the people by controlling the explanations.

Over the course of my life I have watched the collapse of spiritual life and sexual morality. Female modesty and female chastity no longer exist. Mothers have 12 year old daughters on birth control pills. College girls maintain themselves with porn sites where they copulate for all the world to see. Who wants to marry a public whore?

The Democrats have raised hatred as their banner. The hated are white heterosexuals who have a traditional moral code.

The white heterosexuals are going to lose, because to them it is just a conspiracy theory.

John Kerry calls for a Ministry of Truth: "The First Amendment stands as a major roadblock for us right now."

John Kerry calls for a Ministry of Truth:

"The 1st Amendment stands as a major roadblock for us right now"
pic.twitter.com/1mtpjjxeM7

— End Wokeness (@EndWokeness) [September 29, 2024](#)

[Hillary Says the First Amendment](#) means “We Lose Total Control”

[Fearful of the Public, Western Leaders Turn to Censorship](#)

Wall Street Journal Editor Emma Tucker said: “We owned the news. We were the gatekeepers, and we very much owned the facts as well.”

[She added](#) that people are learning that they cannot trust the whole media and that, consequently, the press is having a difficult time protecting the official narratives, which are lies that permit a handful of corrupt elites to impose their agendas.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Birds Not Bombs: Let’s Fight for a World of Peace, Not War](#)

Paul Craig Roberts is a renowned author and academic, chairman of The Institute for Political Economy where [this article](#) was originally published. Dr. Roberts was previously associate editor and columnist for The Wall Street Journal. He was Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for Economic Policy during the Reagan Administration. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#)

About the author:

Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury and Associate Editor of the Wall Street Journal, has held numerous university appointments. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research. Dr. Roberts can be reached at <http://paulcraigroberts.org>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca