

# Biden's Reckless New Provocation Ratchets Up Risk of Nuclear War with China

Sending U.S. Warships into South China Sea and Taiwan Strait in Violation of UN Convention on the Law of the Sea

By Jeremy Kuzmarov

Global Research, July 11, 2022

CovertAction Magazine 7 July 2022

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).


To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

On June 25, the U.S. Navy sent a warship, the USS Benfold, to the South China Sea, only one day after a U.S. spy plane provocatively flew over the Taiwan Strait under the close monitoring of the Chinese People's Liberation Army (PLA).

According to CNN, the U.S. flyover came <u>after China sent 29 planes into Taiwan's self-declared air defense identification zone (ADIZ)</u>.



Satellite image of USS *Benfold* entering South China Sea on June 25 through Verde Island passage. [Source: twitter.com]

From China's point of view, the U.S. spy plane mission on June 24 was especially provocative because it was the first U.S. military activity in the region after China made it clear that there are no "international waters" in the Taiwan Strait.

Under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, China claims jurisdiction over the Taiwan Strait.



Source: wikipedia.org

The PLA Eastern Theater Command organized aerial and ground forces and tracked the spy plane's movements on high alert throughout its entire course on June 24 according to Senior Colonel Shi Yi, spokesperson of the PLA Eastern Theater Command.

Shi slammed the Biden administration's move as being <u>"intentional,"</u> whose <u>purpose was</u> <u>"to disrupt the regional situation and endanger the cross-Straits peace and stability. We firmly oppose this," she said.</u>

# **Turning Taiwan into a Porcupine**

Ever since the Obama administration launched a "pivot to Asia," the U.S. has expanded its military forces and provocative military maneuvers in an effort to encircle and intimidate China. The Biden administration, following Trump, has extended this policy, with National Security Adviser Jake Sullivan stockpiling the National Security Council (NSC) with China hawks.<sup>[1]</sup>

U.S. strategic planners consider Taiwan—which broke away from China in 1949 after the defeated Guomindang in China's civil war took refuge there with U.S. backing—<u>essential in blockading China and a key source for the manufacture of advanced computing chips</u> essential to the U.S. military and industry.<sup>[2]</sup>

When Biden made a commitment to backing Taiwan militarily, he effectively overturned the "One China Policy"—established when the U.S. resumed diplomatic relations with China in 1979—recognizing Beijing to be the legitimate government of all China, including Taiwan. [3]

Since 2019, the U.S. has sold more than \$14 billion in weaponry to Taiwan and sent military advisers to train its Special Forces. A U.S. government official described the U.S. strategy as being designed to turn Taiwan into a "porcupine"— a territory bristling with armaments and other forms of U.S.-led support that makes it "appear too painful to attack."

## Rejecting China's Claim of Sovereignty over the Taiwan Strait

In line with this latter strategy, the Biden administration rejects China's claims to sovereignty over the Taiwan Strait. The U.S. Indo-Pacific Command said that the spy plane's transit demonstrates the United States's "commitment to a free and open Indo-Pacific."

U.S. State Department spokesman Ned Price told *Bloomberg News* that "the Taiwan Strait is an international waterway" where freedom of navigation and overflight "are guaranteed under international law. The United States will continue to fly, sail and operate wherever international law allows, and that includes transiting through the Taiwan Strait."

According to Price, China's assertion that <u>"there are no international waters"</u> in the Taiwan Strait is not legitimate but is intended to <u>"deter the U.S. from sailing through the Strait,"</u> something that Beijing says <u>"harms stability and send[s] the wrong signal to 'Taiwan independence forces.'"</u>

<u>Under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, which China has ratified but the U.S. has not, nations are entitled to territorial waters stretching 12 nautical miles (22km) from their coast.</u>

They may also claim an exclusive economic zone (EEZ) stretching another 200 nautical miles—beyond that are the high seas.

At its widest, the <u>Taiwan Strait spans about 220 nautical miles</u>; however, <u>at its narrowest, it is 70 nautical miles</u>—meaning recent U.S. actions are illegal.

If one accepts that Taiwan is part of China, as the U.S. nominally still does under the One China policy, then the entirety of the strait generally falls under Chinese jurisdiction—as China alleges.

# **A Habitual Aggressor**

According to the *Global Times*, the USS *Benfold*—a guided missile destroyer built by Ingalls Shipbuilding—is a habitual aggressor in the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait.

<u>In January 2022</u>, the destroyer illegally entered the Chinese territorial waters off the Xisha Islands in the South China Sea without authorization from the Chinese government, leading

the PLA Southern Theater Command to organize naval and air forces to warn it away.

U.S. Navy spokesmen referred to the USS *Benfold*'s operations as <u>"freedom of navigation operations."</u>

They accused China of violating international law by establishing baselines around dispersed islands like the Paracels in the South China Sea, which allows China to "claim more internal waters, territorial sea, exclusive economic zone, and continental shelf than it is entitled to under international law."

China, however, accuses the U.S. of <u>"infring[ing] on China's sovereignty and security,"</u> while <u>"pursuing maritime hegemony and militarizing the South China Sea. Facts fully prove that the U.S. is a 'risk-maker' in the South China Sea and the 'biggest destroyer' of peace and stability in the South China Sea."</u>

#### The South China Sea Is Not the Gulf of Mexico

We should remember that the name of the Sea where the U.S. is sending its naval vessels and spy planes is the South China Sea—and not the Gulf of Mexico.

If China were sending its warships on provocative missions off the coast of Mexico or Canada, U.S. leaders would respond with hysterics and probably immediately begin bombing.

## **Rising Specter of Nuclear War**

Mark Selden, the editor of *The Asia-Pacific Journal* and academic expert on China, raised concern in an interview about "the rising specter of nuclear war," particularly "in the wake of the Russian invasion of Ukraine" and "at a time when [the] U.S. calculus has shifted from welcoming growing Chinese economic and geopolitical strength, notably in the Nixon era, to across-the-board pressures on China."

According to Selden, the shifting U.S. calculus "includes mounting U.S. military support for Taiwan and stepping back from its position of calculated ambiguity on the future of the island in favor of direct and indirect challenges of China's claims. The result is the largest increase in U.S. military spending since World War II in the form of \$70 billion in aid...at a time when U.S.-China conflict again centers on Taiwan."


# **Tally of Provocative Military Maneuvers**

The Committee for a SANE U.S.-China Policy, an activist group that aims to prevent war, has compiled a tally of provocative military maneuvers and close encounters between the U.S. and China since January 2021 in the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait.

According to their findings, the U.S. in that time initiated 45 incidents, and the Chinese 53.

Joseph Gerson and Michael T. Klare, the founders of the committee, write that "almost every day, China and/or the United States deploy their ships and warplanes in a menacing ("muscle-flexing") fashion to demonstrate resolve and to throw the other side off balance....While officials on both sides claim that their forces are merely conducting military drills that pose no threat to their rival, these mock combat operations in the vicinity of opposing forces send an unmistakable signal of hostile intent. It is not unusual, moreover,

for ships and planes of one side to monitor the operations of the other, and even, on occasion, to interfere with them. When this occurs, there is always the risk of a collision or unintended shooting incident, leading to further military action and full-scale conflict."



Source: apjjf.org

In short, the specter of war between the U.S. and China has never been greater. It is up to us, consequently, to try to avert conflict and restore legality and sanity to U.S. foreign policy through concerted political activism.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Jeremy Kuzmarov** is Managing Editor of CovertAction Magazine. He is the author of four books on U.S. foreign policy, including Obama's Unending Wars (Clarity Press, 2019) and The Russians Are Coming, Again, with John Marciano (Monthly Review Press, 2018). He can be reached at: <a href="mailto:ikuzmarov2@gmail.com">ikuzmarov2@gmail.com</a>.

#### Notes

- 1. One of the hawks was Kurt Campbell, an architect of Obama's pivot who declared that "the period that was broadly described as engagement [with China] has come to an end."
- 2. Peter Symonds, "U.S.-China tensions flare over Taiwan Strait," *World Socialist Website*, June 24, 2022, <a href="https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2022/06/25/pbhh-j25.pdf">https://www.wsws.org/en/articles/2022/06/25/pbhh-j25.pdf</a>. The Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company produces more than 90% of the world's most advanced computing chips.
- 3. Bob Menendez (D-NJ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC) have introduced the bipartisan Taiwan

Policy Act into Congress that, according to Peter Symonds, "would drop any pretense of 'strategic ambiguity' and commit the U.S. to a war with China over Taiwan. As well as providing almost \$4.5 billion in military assistance to Taiwan, the bill would designate Taiwan as a Major Non-NATO ally."

Featured image: Biden laughing in 2021. [Source: ia.acs.org]

The original source of this article is <u>CovertAction Magazine</u> Copyright © <u>Jeremy Kuzmarov</u>, <u>CovertAction Magazine</u>, 2022

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

### **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Jeremy Kuzmarov** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>