

Biden Officials Claim Rogue Ukrainian Navy Seals Pulled Off Deep Sea Nord Stream Sabotage, Despite Ukraine Not Having a Functioning Navy

The state's churnalists continue to publish anonymously sourced, comically deficient tales of ruling class heroism.

By Jordan Schachtel

Global Research, March 09, 2023

The Dossier 8 March 2023

Region: Europe, USA

Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation

In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The people in charge have become comically deficient at spinning tales of ruling class heroism and international intrigue, as evidenced by their latest story about the sabotage of the Nord Stream pipelines.

From scripts declaring that bat (or pangolin) soup led to a "global pandemic," to declaring that humanity had achieved a "miracle cure" vaccine (100% effective, said Pfizer) for the coronavirus, and now, distorted, source-free chronicles meant to boost support for the Slava Slush Fund, the lies continue to reach new heights of implausibility.

<u>The New York Times dropped</u> the latest spoon-fed script on Tuesday, with three of its intelligence community stenographers writing that an unnamed "pro-Ukrainian group" is said to be responsible for the September sabotage of Russia's Nord Stream pipelines.

Speaking truth to power? No no no. Forget about that! The New York Times uses power as an instrument to peddle distortions, completely detached from the truth. It is Soviet Pravda, except that the direct Uniparty affiliation remains an unspoken truth.

The sources for their information on the Nord Stream operation are anonymous intelligence agents. Notably, the reporters on the byline have a reputation for peddling stories for three letter agencies, regardless of the veracity behind their claims. These churnalists are very loyal media assets, as proven by their shameless, routine publishing of unsourced declarations from non-identified, anonymous "intelligence" agents. In exchange, they are awarded with journalism awards.

Making matters more absurd, this "pro-Ukrainian" group acted without the knowledge of the man in charge, President Volodomyr Zelensky, or any of his top deputies, the intelligence agents tell the stenographers.

Now, it's worth noticing that the New York Times article describes these actors as "pro-Ukrainian." However, the unnamed intelligence agents also told them it was a "proxy force with connections to the Ukrainian government or its security services," adding that "officials who have reviewed the intelligence said they believed the saboteurs were most likely Ukrainian or Russian nationals."

There are several reasons to dismiss the idea that some rogue Ukrainian Spetsnaz special forces divers carried out these operations on their own.

For one, the incidents took place close just outside the territorial waters of Denmark in the Baltic Sea, nowhere near Ukraine itself. Ukrainian forces have no naval access to those waters, and would have travel by land or air over Poland to get there.

Even more importantly, the Ukrainian special forces likely do not have the naval capacity to pull off such an operation, whether or not it was approved by Kiev. Only a handful of world powers have the resources to execute a sophisticated deep sea, multi pronged sabotage operation, the United States and Russia being two of these states.

The concrete is blown off, the reinforcing steel lies scattered across the floor like confetti, the steel tube of tha vertically sicking piece is shredded like the cardboard tube of a Chinese firecracker on New Year's Eve.

Well, that's just NS1. pic.twitter.com/QghfLXDYrw

— Michael Kobs (@MichaKobs) March 7, 2023

Get an idea of the size: pic.twitter.com/wZgE4em8JX

Michael Kobs (@MichaKobs) March 7, 2023

Ukraine, on the other hand, had a barely functioning Navy before the recent flare up with Russia. Moscow has since eliminated much of what was left of Ukraine's naval capacity, through the capturing of Mariupol and other successful coastal campaigns. Ukraine has a grand total of zero aircraft carriers, zero submarines, and zero destroyers. The rest of its vessels are either decades old or have been damaged or eliminated by Russian forces.

Following The New York Times report, Kiev <u>denied</u> any involvement in the Nord Stream explosions.

The new narrative comes just one month (fast turnaround time on government bureaucrat time) after journalist Sy Hersh reported in great detail on the alleged Biden Administration-directed sabotage of the Nord Stream systems.

The Hersh piece — albeit also anonymously sourced — created lots of new media inquiry in

the direction of the White House, which has denied having anything to do with the bombings.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from Freenations

The original source of this article is <u>The Dossier</u> Copyright © <u>Jordan Schachtel</u>, <u>The Dossier</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Jordan Schachtel**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$