

Biden's Latest Ukraine Update Is a "Bunch of Malarkey" Except for One Key Point

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, February 17, 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

There was one key point that he made that deserves further attention and that's his claim that "Neither the U.S. nor NATO have missiles in Ukraine. We do not — do not have plans to put them there as well."

US President Joe Biden [updated the American people](#) on the ongoing Russian-NATO tensions following Moscow's [announcement](#) on Tuesday that many of its troops will be returning to their barracks as scheduled due to the impending completion of military drills. That development led to the collapse of [the US' information-terrorism campaign](#) fearmongering about a so-called "Russian invasion of Ukraine" and prompted American intelligence agencies to deploy their Russophobic back-up plan of once again [ridiculously claiming](#) that Russian spies secretly run English-language websites in a desperate attempt to distract their targeted audience from the aforesaid.

His speech on [the undeclared US-provoked missile crisis in Europe](#) was a bunch of malarkey (a word that he's fond of using that literally means "nonsense") that mostly aimed to paint Russia as a contemporary Nazi-like threat that thankfully blinked under unprecedented American-led Western pressure and thus seems to have pulled the world back from the brink of another global conflict. That bulk of his remarks was nothing but information warfare and should accordingly be ignored by all objective observers, but there was one key point that he made that deserves further attention and that's his claim that "Neither the U.S. nor NATO have missiles in Ukraine. We do not — do not have plans to put them there as well."

Biden's obviously lying since Russian **President Vladimir Putin** very clearly articulated such concerns from his country's intelligence agencies while speaking at an "[Expanded Meeting of the Defense Board](#)" on 21 December according to the official Kremlin website. Up until this point, however, the US - whether its officials, "experts", or "perception managers" in the media - had refused to acknowledge its rival's worries. Instead, the entire crisis was deliberately misportrayed as being over Donbass, a sliver of deindustrialized and war-torn

territory along the Russian-Ukrainian border. The very fact that Biden finally felt compelled to at least deny these concerns is a major narrative development.

It might not lead to sea change in America's discourse on this crisis but it could at the very least make it "acceptable" to publicly discuss Russian intelligence's concerns, even if only to remind their target audience that Biden simply denied their credibility. Without realizing it, Biden - or whoever it was that wrote his speech - inadvertently undermined the US' strategic communications campaign and thus afforded Russia a narrative victory in the sense of finally raising awareness of this hitherto "taboo" topic among the Western public. Another interpretation though is that this was deliberate and thus implies that some relevant agreement might eventually be reached between the US/NATO and Russia on this.

After all, Russian **Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov** had [said](#) earlier that same day that "The swiftness with which NATO has changed its position suggests that not everything is lost in relations with this bloc; [It indicates] that they can admit the obvious when they really want it", which was mentioned in reference to the US and NATO's [response](#) to his country's security guarantee requests from late December. While Russia still deems it insufficient, it nevertheless believes that it's a pragmatic starting point for continuing diplomatic negotiations as evidenced by President Putin's public [commitment to diplomacy](#) following his meetings with [Lavrov](#) and **Defense Minister Sergey Shoigu** Monday evening.

What that key tidbit from Biden's speech seemingly reveals is that the US and the NATO bloc that it controls are finally coming around to realizing the seriousness of the missile crisis that they provoked with Russia after the American leader belatedly acknowledged his counterpart's concerns even if only to insincerely dismiss them in public at this point in time. This signifies a step in the direction of revising the European security architecture that had gradually eroded against Russia's interests following the US' unilateral expansion of military infrastructure closer to that Eurasian Great Power's borders driven by Washington's unstated attempt to eventually undermine Moscow's nuclear second-strike capabilities.

This observation doesn't mean that the crisis has finally ended, just that diplomacy is once again a hot topic behind the scenes ahead of Russia passing along its planned 10-page response to the US and NATO's own response to its initial security guarantee proposals that Lavrov seemed cautiously optimistic about on Tuesday. It'll of course remain to be seen whether the US sincerely has the political will to seriously pursue whatever proposals Russia is expected to put forth in that document, but this development is nevertheless a positive one when all things are considered since it suggests that the crisis is somewhat de-escalating, at least for the time being barring any provocations in Donbass.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca