

Biden Has a Date with China's Xi Jinping, Putin's 'Main Squeeze'

By [Ray McGovern](#)

Global Research, November 14, 2022

[Antiwar.com](#) 11 November 2022

Region: [Asia](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*When China's **President Xi Jinping** meets with **President Joe Biden** Monday in Indonesia, he better bring a whiteboard and some simple handouts. For it is beyond the ability, or the intention, of Biden's elitist advisers to give him an accurate picture of the extremely close strategic relationship between China and Russia - and between Xi and Putin personally.*

Can **Secretary of State Antony Blinken** and **National Security Adviser Jake Sullivan** be so dense? That cannot be ruled out. But perhaps it is more likely that they (and the neocons behind U.S. foreign policy) simply do not want to give the president any reason to flinch in his Captain Ahab pursuit of weakening Russia in Ukraine "for as long as it takes."

Were they to warn Biden that he could face a two-front war with peer adversaries (Russia and China), he might opt for a more sensible policy. Biden might even be brought to understand that, for Putin, the conflict in Ukraine poses the same kind of existential threat that, say, President John Kennedy faced 60 years ago from [Soviet nuclear ballistic missiles in Cuba](#).

Likewise, Biden might be able to appreciate that China and Russia are each fully aware that if one of them goes down, the other is next in the sights of the a US military desperate to get back on the winning track after so many misadventures over the last 77 years.

Has Biden been told that, contrary to all expectations, China gave Putin a waiver on its bedrock Westphalian policy on sovereignty and noninterference, and that Xi has made it clear that China supports Russia's "core interests" in Europe, just as Russia supports China's core interests vis-à-vis Taiwan.

When the planned Biden-Xi meeting was announced Wednesday, Biden was asked whether the Chinese and the Russians are "putting together a real alliance," [Biden said](#):

I don't think there's a lot of respect that China has for Russia or for Putin. I don't think they're looking at it as a particular alliance. Matter of fact, they've been sort of keeping their distance a little bit.

Wrong: "Keeping their distance a little bit?" The adolescent-type textual analysis adduced for this media "insight" over recent weeks is rubbish. As to the far more important question as to whether Russia and China have a formal [defense alliance](#) or something short of that, well, this became largely moot on December 15, 2021 when President Xi stated that "this relationship even exceeds an alliance in its closeness and effectiveness."

Administration officials and the media have obediently insisted that "the two countries do not have a formal alliance". This is technically correct. It is also a distinction without much real difference. Prudent strategic planners would take this into account, and advise the president accordingly - particularly as the conflict in Ukraine escalates, as do tensions along China's Pacific frontier.

Putin's Main Squeeze

With the obvious lack of prudent planners in Washington, it will fall to Xi and his whiteboard to give Biden a tutorial during their meeting in Bali. For, sadly, it appears President Biden is still as misinformed about the Sino-Russian relationship as he was on June 16, 2021 during his only in-person summit with President Putin in Geneva. Embarking on the plane, [Biden let it be known](#) that the "Russians are in a difficult spot being squeezed by China."

And here is the bizarre way Biden described, at his post-summit presser, his decades-behind-the-times approach to Putin on China:

"Without quoting him [Putin] - which I don't think is appropriate - let me ask a rhetorical question: You got a multi-thousand-mile border with China. China is seeking to be the most powerful economy in the world and the largest and the most powerful military in the world."

Putin Nonplussed

Speaking on Oct. 27 at the Valdai International Discussion Club, Russian President Vladimir Putin [questioned](#) the sanity of those who would "spoil relations with China at the same time they are supplying billions-worth of weapons to Ukraine in a fight against Russia."

In answer to a question on "the growing tensions between China and the United States over Taiwan," [Putin](#) labeled visits by top US officials to Taiwan a "provocation." Putin added:

"Frankly, I do not know why they are doing this. ... Are they sane? It seems that this runs completely counter to common sense and logic ... This is simply crazy.

"It may seem that there is a subtle, profound plot behind this. But I think there is nothing there, no subtle thought. It is just nonsense and arrogance, nothing else. ... Such irrational actions are rooted in arrogance and a sense of impunity."

No, even if one can discern some degree of cleverness in the Blinken/Sullivan/neocon gambit of keeping Biden blissfully unaware of the danger of two-front war - in order to grease the skids for further escalation in Ukraine - no, that does not strike me as "sane."

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Ray McGovern works with *Tell the Word*, a publishing arm of the ecumenical Church of the Saviour in inner-city Washington. His 27-year career as a CIA analyst includes serving as Chief of the Soviet Foreign Policy Branch and preparer/briefer of the President's Daily Brief. He is co-founder of Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS).

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Antiwar.com
Copyright © Ray McGovern, Antiwar.com, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ray McGovern](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca