

# Biden Disinforms on the State of the Union About COVID Vaccines

By [Joel S. Hirschhorn](#)

Global Research, March 03, 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch\\_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#).

\*\*\*

*Everything Biden said about COVID vaccines was nonsense.*

*These are the points he made*

*“First, stay protected with vaccines and treatments. We know how incredibly effective vaccines are. If you’re vaccinated and boosted, you have the highest degree of protection. ...We will never give up on vaccinating more Americans. Now, I know parents with kids under 5 are eager to see a vaccine authorized for their children. ... If necessary, we’ll be able to deploy new vaccines within 100 days instead of many more months or years. ... And with 75% of adult Americans fully vaccinated and hospitalizations down by 77%, most Americans can remove their masks, return to work, stay in the classroom, and move forward safely.”*

Actual data contradicts what Biden said. If COVID vaccines were really effective, then how can the nearly one million COVID deaths be explained with 75% percent of adults vaccinated? The US COVID death rate is incredibly high compared to other nations. **Biden failed to acknowledge the many hundreds of thousands of Americans who have died or suffered serious illness from the COVID vaccines, not the infection.**

No one who follows actual medical science and looks at the benefits and risks of vaccination would seriously question whether they or their children should get the shot.

The public needs to know about a CDC database that has not received media attention. Here is the story.

The less visible and accessible data are in the CDC [Case Surveillance File](#). Here are the main key data points through the first week in February that sheds better light on the full and real extent of the pandemic.

Whereas the total deaths being reported in the press has been nearly 950,000, the CSF figure is almost 785,500. How is this explained? One possible explanation is that the CSF data are for accurately diagnosed cases and deaths definitely attributable to COVID. Perhaps the higher figure is linked to deaths *with* but not *from* COVID.

Of that total number of deaths, 425,726 happened in hospitals, and 79,988 in ICUs.

CSF indicates a total of 2,087,643 hospitalizations. That means that about 20% of hospitalized patients died.

This is a very high death rate and supports the fear among many people that being admitted into a hospital is a likely death outcome. This high COVID death rate is higher than for most stroke victims in most hospitals, about 15%. This is also the figure for heart attack victims in the best hospitals.

That high level of death suggests hospital protocols are not very effective. But the medical establishment has refused to seriously reexamine how late state COVID disease is managed. In particular, those who believe in the efficacy of ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine would be in favor of using those generics for hospitalized COVID patients to save lives. And there is solid clinical evidence that both generics have been effective for seriously ill hospitalized COVID patients.

The current case number reported in the press is nearly 80 million, compared to about 61.3 million in the CSF. Why are there nearly 20 million fewer cases in the CSF data? Are the higher numbers for cases and deaths being over-reported and featured in the press in an attempt to maintain public fear so that people are motivated to get the jab? That seems likely.

Also, note that the CDC just announced that nearly 150 million Americans whose blood was tested for antibodies against the COVID virus were infected at some point. That huge number means that nearly half the population had obtained natural immunity that many medical research studies have shown more effective, safer, and longer-lasting than vaccine immunity.

Interestingly, blood testing was done on samples collected by commercial laboratories doing testing for other reasons. That means the privacy of millions of Americans was violated. Individuals would benefit from knowing whether they had achieved natural immunity. That information could have caused them to reject COVID vaccines.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch\_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

*This article was originally published on [America Out Loud](#).*

**Dr. Joel S. Hirschhorn**, author of [Pandemic Blunder](#) and many articles and podcasts on the pandemic, worked on health issues for decades, and his [Pandemic Blunder Newsletter](#) is on Substack. As a full professor at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, he directed a medical research program between the colleges of engineering and medicine.

*As a senior official at the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment and the National Governors Association, he directed major studies on health-related subjects; he testified at over 50 US Senate and House hearings and authored hundreds of articles and op-ed articles in major newspapers. He has served as an executive volunteer at a major hospital for more than 10 years. He is a member of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, and America's Frontline Doctors.*

Featured image is an [official White House photo/Facebook](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Joel S. Hirschhorn](#), Global Research, 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Joel S. Hirschhorn](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)