

Biden Administration Envisages Using Private Firms to Spy on Americans Via their Online Social Media Activity

It's illegal for law enforcement to gather information under false pretenses and so using outside sources would be a way to infiltrate 'domestic extremists.'

By Calvin Freiburger

Global Research, May 05, 2021

LifeSiteNews 4 May 2021

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version). Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg_globalresearch.

The Biden administration is reportedly interested in contracting with private firms to collect information on Americans supposedly involved with "extremist groups" in an apparent effort to circumvent legal limits on the government's ability to spy on American citizens.

CNN <u>reported</u> that a "source familiar with" the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's (DHS's) efforts to monitor "domestic extremists" via their social media activity has discussed working with outside entities that could legally join private groups on platforms such as Facebook under false pretenses, then collect data on those groups and turn it over to DHS.

The government would analyze that data to assess "narratives" that it claims could lead to violence – i.e., political views, assertions, or theories declared beyond the pale by those currently in control of the executive branch. "What do you do about ideology that's leading to violence? Do you have to wait until it leads to violence?" a former senior intelligence official said.

Such partnerships would effectively be an end-run around the legal prohibition against federal agents joining such groups under false pretenses for the purposes of intelligence-gathering, which critics suspect is a pretext to persecute the Biden administration's political foes. Judicial precedent <u>allows</u> illegally-obtained evidence to be used in court only if it was obtained by a private citizen not acting as an agent of law enforcement.

DHS denied the report as "blatantly false" in a statement, insisting it is "not partnering with private firms to surveil suspected domestic terrorists online" and that its current activities are "done consistent with the Constitution and other applicable law, and in close coordination with our privacy and civil liberties experts."

Despite that denial, one DHS official told CNN that the department is "exploring with our

lawyers, civil rights, civil liberties and privacy colleagues, how we can make use of outside expertise."

"There's a tension between wanting to empower (DHS's intelligence office) to do this kind of work around domestic terrorism on the one hand and then on the other hand the misuse of its capabilities during the summer of 2020, gives a lot of people on the Hill pause (about) potentially giving them new authorities, capabilities or resources," said an unidentified Senate aide, referring to the government's violations of the privacy rights of journalists and innocent civilians over the course of its response to Left-wing-riots in Portland, Oregon last summer.

They spied on Donald Trump's presidential campaign and skated by with no consequences... and now they want to spy on you too.

This is a chilling, terrible idea that should be roundly rejected. https://t.co/fD1GxLDjUQ

Mark Meadows (@MarkMeadows) May 3, 2021

Spying on Americans through proxies is still spying on Americans. https://t.co/3ylqzXG12g

— Derek Hunter (@derekahunter) May 3, 2021

But at least there are no more mean tweets amirite https://t.co/8jQic4MKC3

— Dana Loesch (@DLoesch) May 3, 2021

Such drastic measures are predicated on claims that the <u>January 6 riot</u> at the U.S. Capitol was fueled in part by conservatives questioning the accuracy of the 2020 presidential election results. Despite dramatic rhetoric that the riot constituted an "insurrection," most of the individuals arrested for their participation <u>have only been charged</u> with non-violent misdemeanors such as trespassing.

Nevertheless, many on the "Left" have cited the riot as a justification for further restrictions of conservative speech on social media and stigmatization of political positions the establishment deems beyond the pale.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Featured image is from LifeSiteNews

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Calvin Freiburger

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca