

Beware of Nuclear False Flag Blaming Russia. If Someone Sets Off a Nuke, It Will be the US, Not the Russians

By [Kevin Barrett](#)

Global Research, October 10, 2022

[Kevin's Newsletter](#) 9 October 2022

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Military and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate This Article** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Dr. E. Michael Jones issued a disturbing warning on [this week's False Flag Weekly News](#):

Col. (Douglas) MacGregor was on some platform yesterday [saying that there is no evidence whatsoever that the Russians are planning to use nuclear weapons](#). They don't need to. They have overwhelming military superiority at the moment as they're building up for the fall offensive. So it seems to me what we're really talking about here is America setting off a nuclear bomb and attributing it to Russia. In case you didn't notice, they did this already with the pipeline, so why wouldn't they do it with a nuclear weapon?

Jones' warning comes amid signs that the US leadership is actively considering nuclear war. Joe Biden recently [announced that the world is on the brink of nuclear apocalypse](#). His government seems to be [preparing for that eventuality](#):

On Wednesday, the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) announced that it would spend \$290 million to secure an undisclosed quantity of Amgen's blood disorder [drug](#) Nplate, which has been approved to treat blood cell injuries caused by acute radiation syndrome (ARS) in both children and adults.

The [Union of Concerned Scientists agrees](#) that a civilization-ending nuketest is closer than ever. Their Doomsday Clock is currently set to "doom's doorstep"— 100 seconds from midnight. That is the worst "doom setting" since the Doomsday Clock was inaugurated in 1947.

Biden and the mainstream media are pre-emptively blaming Putin. They say that Russia is losing, growing desperate, and likely to resort to a nuclear strike.

But militarily experienced analysts like [Col. Douglas MacGregor](#) and [Larry Johnson](#) beg to differ. They point out that the vaunted Ukrainian advances are relatively insignificant. As Johnson writes:

Rolling across wide open plains represents a feel good moment, but this territory is not defensible once Russia decides to counter attack...Russia is baiting Ukraine to take territory and then face the task of trying to take a city Russia holds, such as Kherson...Ukraine will have to conduct a frontal assault on the city of Kherson and, in order to do this, will have to mass troops and equipment that will be easy targets for Russian artillery, missiles and bombs.

If Russia were really losing, wouldn't [the sanctions-flouting nations representing 85% of Earth's population](#) quickly capitulate to the US, cut off their trade with Russia, and beg for Uncle Sam's forgiveness? And wouldn't the Saudis and the rest of OPEC+ side with Biden rather than Putin? But that isn't happening. On the contrary, it seems that most world leaders are betting on the Russians, not the Americans. They know the actual military score. They know that the pre-war Ukrainian military is mostly destroyed, that Ukraine has taken atrocious losses, and that the mad dashes against undefended empty plains are a desperate PR stunt, not a real threat to the success of the Russian SMO. The Russians are currently massing for their winter offensive, and when it comes, Ukraine will lose everything it has gained and then some, setting the stage for a decisive resolution to the conflict.

So it is the Ukrainians and their American neocon backers—not the Russians—who are desperate. How desperate? Well, Zelinsky wants to the US to pre-emptively nuke Russia, that's how desperate.

But the Americans know that's impossible. You can't just pre-emptively nuke the biggest nuclear power on earth without destroying yourself in the process.

There are signs that American officials are annoyed with Ukrainian loose cannons like "nuke 'em first and ask questions later" Zelinsky. *The New York Times* recently published a barely-coherent article headlined "[U.S. Believes Ukrainians Were Behind Darya Dugina Assassination](#)" that appeared to be some kind of CIA message to the Russians, or the Ukrainians, or US vassals, or some combination thereof, insisting that "we Americans are really, really mad at the Ukrainian hotheads who killed Darya Dugina, and we're worried about the Ukrainians doing more recklessly stupid things."

[The US government is the last entity on Earth that should be telling other people not to do recklessly stupid things.](#) But this time they might have a point.

One extremely recklessly stupid thing Ukrainian hotheads might do is set off a nuclear false flag designed to be blamed on Russia. Maybe there is a faction of the CIA that doesn't like that idea, and the *New York Times* article is a sort of pre-emptive strike against it.


In any case, if one or more radioactive mushroom clouds arises over Ukraine or its general vicinity, regardless of whether it was done by Ukrainian hotheads or US special operatives or their Polish stooges or Blackwater or the same guys who blew up Nordstream or the team that murdered Darya Dugina or the liars who dreamed up the Ghost of Kiev and the Foul-Mouthed Martyrs of Snake Island, it won't really matter, because we all know the hysterical neocon propaganda media will blame Putin and bay in unison for his blood; Zelensky will

demand immediate Armageddon; and generally all hell will break loose, figuratively and perhaps literally. If people believe the propaganda, we'll be facing a World War III scenario.

That's why it's important to share this article and spread the news far and wide that if and when a nuke goes off, it will be the American-Ukrainian side, not the Russian side, that did it.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.



[“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)

by Michel Chossudovsky

Available to order from Global Research!

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

PDF Edition: \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

Michel Chossudovsky is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website www.globalresearch.ca . He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.

Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of ‘freedom and democracy’.”

–**John McMurtry**, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University

“In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably “humanitarian” wars of

aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call.”

-Denis Halliday, *Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations*

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world's only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

-Ellen Brown, *author of 'Web of Debt' and president of the Public Banking Institute*

The original source of this article is [Kevin's Newsletter](#)

Copyright © [Kevin Barrett](#), [Kevin's Newsletter](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kevin Barrett](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca