

Poland Shouldn't Close the Border with Belarus. **Detrimental Impact of China Trade with Western Europe**

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, July 11, 2024

Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Spread the Truth, Refer a Friend to Global Research

This could worsen Poland's ties with Western Europe in parallel with cutting it off from this lucrative route upon which Chinese-EU overland trade is dependent.

Polish Foreign Minister Radek Sikorski revealed late last month that his country was considering closing its border with Belarus, which its Western-backed and Lithuanian-based "opposition" leader Svetlana Tikhanovskaya <u>criticized</u> him for on the basis that it would destroy the West's soft power. There's been no news about this since, thus prompting publicly financed Belarusian media BelTA to publish a piece on this subject earlier in the week titled "The play is over? How border games played out for Poland".

Region: Europe



It divided Poland's ploy into three phases: the buffer zone and consequences; Duda's Chinese voyage; and the blockade at Malaszewice. The first concerned the liberal-globalist government's restoration of its conservative-nationalist predecessor's policy, which hit local border economies very hard, while the second described the futility of the Polish President's efforts to get China to pressure Belarus on the <u>illegal immigrant issue</u>. It's the third of these phases where BelTA makes the best point.

According to them, President Xi's announcement alongside his Kazakh counterpart on 3 July that China will launch freight transportation to Europe along the Trans-Caspian ("Middle Corridor") route can be interpreted as a stinging rebuke of the Polish leader's recent efforts to turn him against Belarus. Malszewice is correctly described in the text as "China's gateway to Europe" and it's through here where the bulk of China's overland exports to Europe pass.

Although that dry point remains important, BelTA interpreted China's abovementioned move as signaling that it has alternatives for maintaining trade with the EU in the event that Poland indefinitely shuts down that crossing, which was coincidentally disrupted for 33 hours on the same day as Xi's announcement. One of the experts whose assessments they cited in their piece also noted that China could get France and Germany to pressure Poland to lift any potential blockade in order to restore access.

It would therefore be maximally detrimental to Poland to flirt with any more border closures since this could worsen its ties with Western Europe in parallel with cutting Poland off from this lucrative route upon which Chinese-EU overland trade is dependent.

Belarus wouldn't be all that adversely affected, their experts predicted, since non-Western companies are already replacing Poland's pre-sanctions role in that country's markets. The only one who'd be dealt a powerful blow would be Poland.

It's perhaps with these observations in mind, which France and/or Germany might have reminded Poland of during the recent Weimar Triangle meeting, that Poland has remained silent on this front.

Simply put, its leadership might have realized how counterproductive it would be to close the border with Belarus, which wouldn't have any significant effect on stopping illegal immigrant invaders. Only more robust border security and cooperation with Belarus can help curb these flows.

The first is already in progress while the second remains an impossibility so long as Poland continues imposing sanctions against Belarus and hosting anti-government militants that still threaten it. This policy isn't expected to change since Poland considers itself to be NATO's vanguard against Russia and Belarus. It's also presenting itself as a semi-autonomous pole of regional influence through its latest powerplay in Ukraine, which it plans to turn into a client state through their new security pact.

Nevertheless, for as regionally disruptive as these policies are, they could be even more counterproductive for the average Pole if Warsaw closed the border with Belarus and thus deprived their economy of its competitive advantage in serving as a middleman for Chinese-EU trade.

That policy still remains on the table in theory, but policymakers' conspicuous silence in recent weeks suggests that they're reconsidering its wisdom, which might have to do with Poland finally realize what it would lose.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on the author's Substack, Andrew Korybko's Newsletter.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Andrew Korybko, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Andrew Korybko**

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca