

Behind Every Refugee Stands an Arms Trader

By [Jan Oberg](#)

Global Research, April 28, 2015

[TFF Associates & Themes Blog](#)

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

The refugees in the Mediterranean

The European Union members states are trying to look as if they are doing something serious to care for the poor, catastrophe-stricken people who flee from war zones to Europe under the most risky and inhuman conditions. Apart from the lack of genuine humanism and compassion and the cynical intention to uphold the "deterrence" factor - other features surround these tragic events.

In various media reports and political statements the word "refugee" is increasingly being replaced by "migrant" - hardly just a coincidence given the fact that the number of [refugees, internally displaced persons and asylum-seekers passed 50 million fellow human beings worldwide last year](#).

Migrants and refugees

A *migrant*, according to the UN, is a person who is engaged in (seeking) a remunerative activity in a state of which he or she is not a national. A *refugee* is an entirely different person who is outside his or her home country because of having suffered (or feared) persecution on account of race, religion, nationality, or political opinion; because he or she is a member of a persecuted social category of persons; or because they are fleeing a war. A refugee has the right to seek asylum and shall not be penalised for his or her illegal entry or stay.

[More here](#).

"Seeking a better life" ?

Add to that the now often repeated but totally misleading wording - that these refugees are coming "to seek a better life" in Europe - a very Euro-centric formulation that plays into the hands of those who say that these people just come here to steal our jobs and benefit from our welfare systems - as if their lives were already good but they want it better.

It's plain nonsense. The issue is not what they flee *to* but what they flee *from*. Refugees are on the run from some version of hell. These boat refugees run away to another continent without shoes, money or belongings because their lives are unbearable and they have absolutely no hope. Refugees are not happiness-seekers.

Using "migrants" instead of "refugees" takes away our attention from why they flee, from our own complicity in all this and it reduces our responsibility to protect refugees.

War the elephant in the room we shall not see

Because *most of these refugees come from zones where Western military interventions and arms exports have failed miserably their officially stated purposes and caused only more problems.*

No, there is a much better explanation: “Behind almost every refugee stands an arm trader”. I’m here quoting freely the Swedish human rights advocate and humanist, Dr. Peter Nobel, former head of the Swedish Red Cross, when he was chairman of TFF’s Board. It’s not to be taken literally, of course – people also flee from, say, natural catastrophes and maldevelopment caused by the exploitative modes of Western economic operations.

Another reason is that here is a *classical case where a genuine humanitarian intervention would be appropriate.* However, since the first “humanitarian” intervention in Yugoslavia, this concept has been used only to legitimise military operations. And EU leaders recognise that they can’t handle the boat refugee problem with F16s.

Like with so many other problems these years, *there is a psycho-political denial of the fact that Western militarism is the single most important cause of the problems we are facing:*

Hatred and terrorism against the West (e.g. ISIS) is a problem caused predominantly by the US-led invasion, occupation and (mis)administration of Iraq. The Iraq and Iran nuclear issues have been caused by there being nuclear weapons in the first place and a few wanting monopoly over them while denying others the same privilege.

The most important single reason behind the dissolution of states – say Libya – is the attempt to solve structural, economic and democratic problems by military means. Further, the slow but sure weakening of the West, the relative U.S. economic decline in particular, is caused to a large extent by the costs of its (failed) wars, foreign bases and the accompanying lack of legitimacy in the eyes of ever more millions of people around the world.

We still do not have – anywhere – a decent public debate about the negative effects of virtually all wars.

Money alone don’t solve problems

Now, look at what the EU actually does: It holds a meeting – politically high-level but intellectually and ethically low-level.

Its leaders maintain that they take both quick action and responsibility. In reality, they display a typical Western programmatic malfunctioning: To solve a problem, we allocate more money but don’t discuss diagnosis – causes underlying the problem – or changing of attitudes and ethics. They apply no self-criticism, statesmanship and moral leadership. There is symptoms repair with no chance of learning lessons and prevent the next catastrophe caused by the same old reasons.

Civilisation: What about this instead?

Imagine EU leaders had instead stated something like this:

“We have found that refugee numbers are increasing due mainly to arms trade and wars and therefore we are going to invest in early conflict warning and violence-prevention, in educating experts in these fields, in using smart civilian

means including dialogue, mediation and negotiations – and we will increasingly put up arms embargoes instead of intensifying arms deliveries to these and future conflict areas.”

The international “community” leaders who keep silent about, say, the Saudi-Arabia-led aggression on Yemen and never formulated any self-criticism about the peace-making catastrophes called Iraq, Libya and Syria conveniently also never connect the dots: *Our own wars and arms profiteering is a major cause of these problems coming boomeranging back in the shape of refugees, terrorism and economic crisis.*

Humankind has said goodbye to slavery, absolute monarchy, cannibalism and, in principle, child labour. To solve, or at least reduce, the mounting refugee problem, we should begin to discuss *how to increase human civilisation by criminalising the arms trade and by abolishing war* – as stated in the UN Charter Preamble.

But there are taboos on such common sense ideas in all the countries which consider themselves civilised compared with the morally weak and uncivilised countries they destroy, one after the other.

The original source of this article is [TFF Associates & Themes Blog](#)
Copyright © [Jan Oberg](#), [TFF Associates & Themes Blog](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jan Oberg](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca